Higgs Branching Ratio study

ILDWS 2011 May. 24. 2011 H. Ono (NDU), A. Miyamoto (KEK)

Higgs Branching Fraction study

Measurement of the branching ratio is one of the issues of ILC especially for Higgs quark decays $(H \rightarrow bb/cc)$



2011. May. 24

Higgs BR analysis procedure



Neutrino (vvH) channel analysis

Di-jet mass after all cuts w/o b-tag

Selection criteria

- Missing mass (Mz) (80<MM<140 or 50<MM<240)
- Transverse momentum (20<Pt<70 or 10<Pt<140)
- Longitudinal momentum (|PI|<60 or 130)
- 4. # of charged tracks (N<10)
- 5. Maximum momentum (Pm<30 or 60)
- 6. Y value (Y₂₃<0.02, 0.2<Y₁₂<0.8)
- 7. Di-jet mass (M_H) (100<M_H<130)

4f, 2f background is considered tt is also considered at 350 GeV



2011. May. 24

vvH likelihood ratio cut



Hadronic (qqH) channel analysis

Selection criteria

- 1. Jet paring χ^2 (χ^2 <10)
- 2. # of charged tracks in jet (N<4)
- 3. Y_{34} (3 \rightarrow 4 Jet pairing Y threshold) (Y_{34} <2.7)
- 4. Thrust (<0.9 or <0.85)
- 5. Thrust angle ($|\cos\theta| < 0.9$)
- 6. H jets angle (105< θ <160 or 70< θ <120)
- 7. Fitted Z mass (85<M_z<100)
- 8. Fitted H mass (105<M_H<130)

Jet pair combination from 4 jets

$$\chi^2 = \left(\frac{M_{12} - M_Z}{\sigma_Z}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{M_{34} - M_H}{\sigma_H}\right)^2$$

Minimum χ^2 pairs are selected

5 Constraints fit is applied

• ΣP_i=0

•
$$\Sigma E_i - E_{cm} = 0$$

•
$$|M_{12}-M_{34}| = |M_{Z}-M_{H}|$$

In order to improve the background reduction, likelihood ratio cut is applied after the all the selections

Likelihood variable cut



L cut position is defined at significance maximum



Signal significance is improved with likelihood variable cut

Lepton (IIH) channel analysis



Simple BR extraction with flavor cut



2011. May. 24

Measurement accuracy of BR

Accuracy of Relative BR	Channel	Ecm	$\Delta\sigma/\sigma({\tt bb})$	Δσ/σ(cc)
	Neutrino	250	1.8%	29.1%
$\Delta \text{RelBR} \left[\left(\Delta \sigma_{cc} \right)^2 \right] \left(\Delta \sigma_{bb} \right)^2 \right]$	(vvH)	350	1.3%	17.1%
$\frac{1}{\text{RelBR}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma}} + \frac{\sigma}{\sigma}$	Hadron	250	1.8%	49.2%
$DD(II \mathbf{\lambda}) = (\mathbf{D} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D} $	(qqH)	350	1.7%	24.1%
BR(H \rightarrow s)= $\sigma_{s}/\sigma_{H\rightarrow all}$, ReIBR= σ_{cc}/σ_{bb}	Muon	250	4.1%	51.4%
$\Delta \sigma_s = \sqrt{N_s + N_{BG}}$ N _s : Num. of H \rightarrow s	(mmH)	350	5.8%	56.8%
$\sigma_{\rm H} = \frac{N_{\rm BG}}{N_{\rm BG}}$ Num. of BG	Electron	250	3.6%	41.4%
(Relative BR is dominated by H→cc accuracy)	(eeH)	350	5.3%	59.2%
Small improvement is obtained with likelihoo	d cut 25 35	50GeV 50GeV	: 30.9%→ : 24.0%→	>29.1% >17.1%

Better measurement accuracy is obtained at <u>350 GeV</u>

 \rightarrow Apply the template fitting to improve the flavor separation

Template fitting analysis

2011. May. 24

Flavor template samples for fitting

Template sample statistics is increased to 1000fb⁻¹ to reduce the fluctuation of fitting result



Relative BR with template fitting

Relative branching fraction

$$\frac{Br(H \to c\bar{c})}{Br(H \to b\bar{b})} = \frac{r_{cc}/\varepsilon_{cc}}{r_{bb}/\varepsilon_{bb}}$$

 $r_{bb}\!/r_{cc}$ are extracted with the template fitting as fit parameter

Template fitting

Poisson statistics are considered for each template sample bin $p_{ijk} = \frac{\mu^{X} e^{-\mu}}{X!} \quad X = N_{ijk}^{data} \\ \mu = N_{ijk}^{template} = \sum_{s=bb,cc,others} \left(\frac{N^{Hall}}{N^{s}} \right) \\ N_{ijk}^{s} + \left(r_{bkg} N_{ijk}^{bkg} \right) \\ N_{ijk}^$

$$L = -\log P = -\log \left(\prod_{i,j,k} P_{ijk} \right) = -\sum_{i,j,k} (\log P_{ijk}) r_{xx} \text{ are fitted with minimizing } L$$

Apply 1,000 times Toy MC and evaluate relative errors or r_{xx}

Binning dependence consideration

Apply entries cut to reduce the small entry bin's affect \rightarrow N \leq 1 bins are eliminated from the template fitting



Template samples statistics is increased from 250fb⁻¹ to 1000fb⁻¹

Summary of template fitting results

Measurement accuracy of Relative BR is evaluated with 1000fb⁻¹ samples

	Ecm	Rel Error of RelBR
Neutrino	250	14.8%
(nnH)	350	7.7%
Hadron	250	13.1%
(qqH)	350	12.7%
Muon	250	39.5%
(mmH)	350	43.9%
Electron	250	47.5%
(eeH)	350	37.8%
Combined	250	9.3%
Combined	350	6.4%

Low statistics bins are ignored to suppress the over estimation $(N_{ijk}>1)$

IIH mode analysis will be improved by Nina (Bonn Univ.)

Worse accuracy is caused from the low statistics of signal sample and low template sample luminosity

(Statistical error only)

From the template fitting analysis for 250 and 350 GeV, better measurement accuracy has been obtained at <u>Ecm=350 GeV</u>

2011. May. 24

Summary and status

- Measurement accuracy of Higgs BR is evaluated at 250 and 350 GeV Ecm.
 - Use LR cut to improve the BG reduction
 - 10~15 % measurement accuracy is obtained with the template fitting analysis
- Consider toward DBD analysis (vvH @1TeV)



2011. May. 24

BR extraction from fitted parameters

$$BR(H \rightarrow s) = \frac{r_s}{r_s^{SM}} \cdot BR(H \rightarrow s)^{SM}$$

BR(H
$$\rightarrow$$
bb)SM=65.7%
BR(H \rightarrow cc)SM=3.6%
(in pythia)

	vvH		qqH		Combined		
Ecm	250	350	250	350	250	350	
Lumi (fb ⁻¹)	250	250	250	250	250	250	
BR(bb)	65.6±0.8%	65.7±0.6%	65.8±1.6%	65.7±1.7%	65.6±0.7%	65.7±0.6%	
BR(cc)	3.6±0.5%	3.6+0.3%	3.6±0.5%	3.6±0.5%	3.6±0.3%	3.6±0.2%	
Relative Δ BR(bb)	1.2%	1.0%	2.4%	2.5%	1.0%	0.9%	
Relative $\Delta BR(cc)$	14.7%	7.7%	12.9%	12.5%	9.2%	6.4%	

(including IIH analysis)

Lepton (eeH, µµH) channel analysis

Electron/Muon identification

- 1. Lepton isolation + track energy selection
- 2. Calorimeter Edep information Electron deposits its most of energy at ECAL

If # of candidates > 2 :

select di-lepton whose mass is closest to Mz





No large difference in Lepton ID efficiency

Likelihood variable cut for qqH 250 GeV

Likelihood variable cut is tried to improve the background reduction



vvH 250 GeV likelihood cut

Likelihood variable cut is tried to improve the background reduction



vvH channel template bins

Apply entries cut to reduce the small entry bin's effect $\rightarrow N \le 1$ bins are ignored from the fitting at this moment



Select template bins for 250 and 350 GeV separately from the different # of events after the BG reduction

Consideration of binning dependence

Apply entries cut to reduce the small entry bin's affect $\rightarrow N \le 1$ bins are eliminated for the fitting at this moment \rightarrow We determin the each template binning with <u>best accuracy point</u>



Higgs study with different Ecm

Ecm=250 GeV (ZH production threshold around 230 GeV at Mh=120 GeV)

- ZH Largest production cross-section with <u>Z/H almost at rest</u> Suitable for <u>mass and cross-section measurement with recoil study</u>
- <u>Higgs-strahlung (ZH) process</u> dominant

Ecm=350 GeV

- Reduce cross-section and <u>Z/H will be boosted</u>
- Increase <u>W/Z fusion process</u> contribution
- <u>tt background</u> should be considered



\rightarrow Higher peak luminosity, better S/N, with top study

	RDR (LOI)			NB w/ TF			SB2009 w/ TF		
Ecm (GeV)	250	350	500	250	350	500	250	350	500
Peak L (10 ³⁴ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)	0.75	1.2	2.0	0.8	1.0	2.0	0.27	1.0	2.0
Integrated L (fb ⁻¹)	188	300	500	200	250	500	67.5	250	500

Evaluate the effect of different Ecm for BR study