

9mA program update

John Carwardine Global Systems Webex meeting, 31st August 2011

John Carwardine

Global Design Effort

1



- LBT Workshop
- FLASH Beam Allocation Committee (9th Sept)
- Extrapolating to 9mA high gradient...?
- NML studies on CM1 in support of 9mA program

2nd Workshop on Linac Operations with Long bunch-trains



John Carwardine:

Global Design Effort

2nd Workshop on Linac Operations with Long bunch-trains

https://indico.desy.de/conferenceOtherViews.py?view=standard&confld=3007

- 6-8 June at DESY
- 40 participants (incl. 10 from ILC/GDE, 2 from STFC)
- 38 presentations (available from the workshop website)
- Five main themes:
 - Results and analysis from the 9mA studies
 - Toward future 9mA studies
 - Operations modes for FLASH2
 - Feedback and control of longitudinal phase space
 - Machine protection for long bunch-train operation

Long bunch-trains workshop report

- We distributed a 'final draft' of the report to the workshop participants this week for their comment
 - ~30 pages with figures
 - Includes a list of 21 specific follow-up items
- Report draft is available from the LBT workshop website: https://indico.desy.de/conferenceOtherViews.py?view=standard&confld=3007
 - Comments are being accepted until 6th Sept
 - Final report will be released as a DESY 'M-Division' report and as an ILC report

Some identified issues and follow-up items

- Thermally related changes in Loaded-Q
- Widen the Loaded-Q adjustment range
- Quantify cavity misalignments by correlating beam trajectory with changes in cavity detuning
- Issues with online measurement of cavity detuning
- Methodology for reaching full current / full pulse length
- Criteria for measuring cavity operational gradient limits

Restricted adjustment range of Loaded-Qs...solved? ACC7 / Module PXFEL1 coupler



John Carwardine:

Global Design Effort

D. Kostin

Orbit changes due to misaligned cavities and changes in detuning.

Motivation: flat gradients => orbit changes 102 Unfortunately very small range: SASE 100 Detuning curves C3.ACC3 **ΔE~ 14%** 98 Detuning Piezo Voltage 350 96 1 V300 E [µ]] 2 V 94 -3 V 250 4 V [ZH] 200 5 V 92 -6 V -7 V 90 150 -8 V -9 V 88 -10 V 100 -11 V 86 50 Hz 2 10 11 3 4 5 6 7 Piezo Voltage [V] 8 9 1000 800 200 400 600 1200 1400 1600 t [us] BPM 3BC3 Y -1.26 Orbit Amplitude C3.ACC3 21 Gradient ∆y~ 80um -1.28Piezo Voltage 20.5 -1.31 V 2 V 3 V [III/ 19.5 4 V 5 V $\Delta A \sim 0.5 \text{ MV/m}$ -6 V -7 V -1.36 $+\Delta\phi \sim 6.5^{\circ}$ 8 V 19 Q V -1.38-10 V -11 V 18.5 -1.4

John Carwardine:

Global Design Effort

ic **Experimentally determined operational limits**



What do we mean by operational gradient limits...?

- Test stand single-cavity gradient (breakdown) limits are defined to be the gradients at which (first of these):
 - Onset of cryo instability (LHe pressure/level)
 - Loaded Q drop by some 10% (flat-top breaking)
 - Sharp increase of cryo-losses to >5W per cavity.
 - High field emission (gamma radiation increase)
- Operationally, three additional issues to take into account:
 - CALIBRATION!!!
 - Time-dependence of the onset of a quench: if a quench occurs 500us into a pulse, can we run at higher gradients with 400us pulses...?
 - Effect of Vector Sum control: total voltage from module can be stabilized even during the onset of a quench
- How do these factors impact operational gradients...?

il.

- The present FEL studies campaign ends mid Sept 2011
- FLASH shutdown: mid Sept to ~January
 - Primary driver: preparatory civil work for FLASH-II beamline installation
 - Secondary items include installation of new uTCA-based LLRF control systems (XFEL prototypes)
- Accelerator studies will begin immediately after shutdown and will continue to ~March/April (date TBD)
- Next FEL studies campaign starts in ~March/April
- FLASH Beam Allocation Committee meets on 9 Sept
 - Develop top-level schedule for FEL campaign (2012-2013)
 - Schedule for next 9mA studies will be discussed

Proposal to BAC for next 9mA studies

- Up to now, we had assumed we would only get one more block of 9mA studies shifts before the end of 2012
 - During accelerator studies period in first quarter of 2012
- But we don't want our studies to be immediately after a major shutdown when new hardware is being debugged
- An alternative proposal for **two** one-week blocks has been discussed and will be presented to the BAC on 9th Sept:
 - First block: end of Q1 just before start of FEL User op.
 - This would be with 800us ≤6mA as previously discussed
 - Second block: probably in Sept/Oct timeframe
 - Maybe revisit the feasibility of operating at ≤9mA (at higher rep rates, lower charge??)
 - If approved, would be very positive for the 9mA program



High beam power and long bunch-trains (Sept 2009)								
	Metric	ILC Goal			Achieved			
	Macro-pulse current		6mA	9mA	9mA			
	Bunches per pulse	2400) x 3nC	(3MHz)	1800 x 3nC 2400 x 2nC			
•	Cavities operating at high gradients, close to quench	31.	5MV/m	+/-20%	4 cavities > 30MV/m			

Gradient operating margins (Feb 2011)

	Metric	ILC Goal	Achieved
•	Cavity gradient flatness (all cavities in vector sum)	2% ΔV/V (800μs, 9mA)	2.5% ΔV/V (400μs, 4.5mA) <i>"Methodology established"</i>
•	Gradient operating margin	All cavities operating within 3% of quench limits	(Focus of early 2012 run)
•	Energy Stability	0.1% at 250GeV	<0.15% p-p (0.4ms) <0.02% rms (5Hz)

Achievements relative to top-level goals: what's left?

- Demonstrate principles of tailoring Pks/Qls to flatten cavity gradients with beam loading
- Module operation close to quench with ILC-like gradients & gradient spread and ILC-like beams
 - Next logical steps
 1. Flatten gradients on all 16 cavities with 6mA / 800us bunch-trains, including

 Better control of systematic errors
 Automated procedures for Pk/Ql optimization

 2. Operation of all 16 cavities within a few percent of guench with 6mA / 800us bunch-trains



Significant progress

Preparatory studies at NML...?

TDR topic: extrapolating to 9mA...?



Musings... (to be explored, elaborated,...)

- If we want to emulate 9mA running at 4.5mA, does this mean that running within 3% of quench at 9mA translates to running within 1.5% of quench at 4.5mA?
 - Or at least that we can control the tilts twice as well)
- The 'bootstrap' issue of getting from zero beam loading to full beam loading at full gradient without quenching
 - Will get harder as we go up in gradient and current
- If we run two cavities within few percent of quench (maximum extent of the gradient spread above and below the vector sum), can we show mathematically that we've effectively demonstrated we can do it for all conditions?

Central themes for future 9mA webex meetings

- Analysis of Feb 2011 studies data
- NML studies in support of 9mA program
- Modeling and simulation work
- Follow-up items from the LBT workshop