Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers

2012 IEEE NSS/MIC/RTSD Anaheim, California

27 October - 3 November 2012





Alignment challenges for a future linear collider

H. SCHMICKLER

OUTLINE

- ✓ Introduction: alignment tolerances achieved for the LHC
- Specifications for alignment of CLIC and ILC, and alignment challenges
- ✓ Solutions being studied
 - Long range alignment system
 - Short range alignment system
 - Fiducialisation
 - Case of the Machine Detector Interface area
- ✓ Conclusion

Introduction : state of the art

Steps of alignment of an accelerator



Introduction: state of the art (case of the LHC)

Fiducialisation

Operation during which the position of the fiducials is measured w.r.t. a reference axis (magnetic, mechanic) → Uncertainty of measurement < 0.1 mm (10)

Absolute alignment

Initial alignment of each component w.r.t underground geodetic network \rightarrow uncertainty of measurement < 0.3 mm (1 σ)

Relative alignment of the elements

Smoothing : relative position of components w.r.t to the trend curve \rightarrow uncertainty of measurement < 0.1 mm (1 σ) over 150 m

Control and maintenance of the alignment

Monitoring and remote adjustment in specific areas

Monitoring of the relative displacements w.r.t a given reference time or position σ = a few microns using alignment systems and remote adjustment using motorized jacks







Above state of the art for ILC:

| Area | Nb of beam | Error of misalignment on the fiducials (1 σ) | |
|------------|---------------|--|--------------------------------|
| Main linac | 1 | 0.2 mm rms over 600 m | |
| BDS | 1 | 0.02 mm over 200 m | Fiducialisation: : 0.05 mm rms |

Above state of the art for CLIC:

Special case of main linac and BDS



The zero of each component will be included in a cylinder with a radius of a few microns:

- ✓ 14 µm over 200 m (RF structures)
- ✓ 17 µm over 200 m (MB quadrupoles)
- $\checkmark~$ 10µm over 500m (BDS and final focus components)

Adjustment required: step size below 1 μ m

Up to a few microns



Submicrometric displacements along 3/5 DOF

Special case of MDI area



Requirements (CLIC):

- ✓ Determination of left reference line w.r.t right reference line : within ± 0.1 mm rms
- ✓ Monitoring of left reference line w.r.t right reference line : within a few microns
- \checkmark Monitoring of the position of left QD0 / right QD0 within ± 5 μm rms

Taking into account:

- ✓ Push pull detectors
- ✓ Last component (QD0) inside the detector (L*=3.5m)



As it is not possible to implement a straight alignment reference over kilometers: → use of overlapping references





Reference over hundreds of meters



Wire Positioning Sensors (WPS)

Solution consisting of overlapping stretched wires and WPS sensors chosen for CDR of CLIC and RDR of ILC

Long range alignment system

Stretched wire

Main issue: long term stability of a wire

(effects of temperature, humidity, creeping effects, air currents)

→ Modelization of the wire using Hydrostatic Levelling Systems (HLS)

but only in the vertical direction

but HLS system follows the equipotential of gravity which needs then to be known

studies undertaken concerning the determination of the equipotential of gravity

Subject of a PhD thesis:

« Determination of a precise gravity field for the CLIC feasibility studies »

TT1 facility



One of the objectives → to determine the precision and accuracy of a reference network consisting of overlapping stretched wires.

Simulations

Position & orientation of the metrological plates in the coordinate system of the tunnel

Monte Carlo method using theoretical readings of sensors

→ in 97.5% of the cases, all the prealignment errors fit in a cylinder with a radius of 10 μ m.

Results in TT1

Precision on a 140 m wire: better than 2 microns over 33 days
 Accuracy: 11 microns in vertical, 17 microns in radial. Can be improved!

Vertical residuals of the 2 longest wires: σ (wire 1) = 1.6 μ m σ (wire 2) = 0.5 μ m

Accuracy of the TT1 network adjusted by the least squares method in vertical: $\sigma = 11 \ \mu m r.m.s (27 \ \mu m max. value)$

Long range alignment system

Development of laser based alternatives

Latest achievements on RasDif:

- First configuration installed successfully in 2009
 - Resolution below 0.1µm
 - Appears to behave as a very precise seismometer
- Double configuration showed non coherent results
 - → inter-comparison needed with WPS

First simulations performed: angular orientation & repeatability of shutter should be better than 0.2 mrad and 12 μ m in order to detect a micrometric displacement

- Basic laboratory experiments with low cost elements and simple methods done at short distance (about 2 m)
- Measurement repeatability within an interval of [-4 µm,4 µm] around the mean values
- Standard deviation less than 5 µm

Issue: WPS sensor fulfilling the requirements

- « absolute measurements » (known zero w.r.t mechanical interface)
- ✓ no drift
- sub micrometric measurements

Upgrade of an existing WPS

Capacitive based WPS (cWPS)

Optical based WPS (oWPS)

<u>Sensors</u> : cWPS

<u>60 sensors installed in the LHC on the low beta triplets</u>

- ✓ Rad Hard (sensors up to 300 kGy, Remote electronics up to 50 kGy)
- \checkmark Resolution: 0.2 μ m

But relative measurements only!

Latest achievements:

- \checkmark An isostatic mechanical interface allowing a repositioning within 1 μ m and an absolute calibration has been developed
- \checkmark A very accurate linearity bench
- An « absolute » bench
- \checkmark Dedicated lab with a temperature stable within ± 1°C

Status / current issues:

- Linearity depends of the generation of carbon peek wire used:
 5 µm over the whole range (better in middle range)
- ✓ Repeatability & interchangeability < 1µm</p>
- ✓ Accuracy ("absolute calibration") of cWPS < 20 µm (bench recalibrated last week in the metrology lab).

Short range alignment sensors

Sensors : oWPS

Main characteristics (from the manufacturer)

- ✓ Resolution: < 0.1 µm</p>
- ✓ Range : +/- 5 mm (along two axes)
- ✓ Repeatability: 2µm
- ✓ Accuracy : < 5µm</p>
- ✓ Wire: Vectran

Latest achievements:

- A very accurate linearity bench
- ✓ A vectran wire (manufactured fiber spun from a liquid crystal polymer) visible to infra-red light and not antistatic → silver plasma coated wire.

<u>Status</u>

- \checkmark Resolution < 1 μ m, interchangeability < 5 μ m
- ✓ Noise problem to be solved
- \checkmark Impact of temperature: ~ 6μ m/°C to be corrected
- Absolute calibration to be controlled.

<u>Sensors : RasChain</u>

2 possibilities: RasNik versus RasDif

RasNik

<u>Status:</u>

- Test setup to validate RasNik & Rasdif on 4 m
- ✓ First results: jitter and refractive bending of light
 → thermal shielding needed
- Preparation of sensors for two beam modules and inter-comparison tests with other sensors

Fiducialisation

First solution: CMM measurements (dimensional control, pre-alignment of components on their supports, fiducialisation), but STATIC

MPE = 0.3 μm + L/1000 (L in mm)

Most accurate but for very short components → Development of portable means

Micro triangulation

Latest achievements:

- ✓ Fiducials developed
- Several means developed and validated:
 - AT401 ~ 5μm @ 2m
 - Micro triangulation ~ 5μm @ 2m
 - Romer arm ~ 10 μm @ 2m
- Test of fiducialisation strategy:
 - Very precise for short objects on CMM
 - \circ Accuracy ~ 20 μ m on 2m long objects

Romer arm

Laser tracker: AT 401

Special case of MDI area

Monitoring on both sides of the detector:

No direct line of sight at the level of the beam

External line of sight at 3m in "dead space"

Spokes equipped at both ends by alignment sensors linking the components and line of sights

MDI area

Link between left and right sides:

Common reference

Parallel survey galleries

Laser system shielding coupled to the push-pulled detector

Next steps:

Left reference

• Distance reference to reference : means to be developed

Right reference

N-point laser based alignment system over ~ 100 m to be developed

- In comparison with alignment requirements of the LHC, the alignment of linear colliders is a real challenge, with precision and accuracy of a few microns over several hundreds of meters, including the fiducialisation process.
- Solutions are under development concerning long range alignment system:
 - A stretched wire solution has been proposed for the RDR of ILC and CDR of CLIC.
 - Results from test setup have shown that an uncertainty in the determination of the position of a sensor over 140m is of the order of 11 $\mu m.$
 - Alternatives, based on laser beam under vacuum, are under development, first to validate the stretched wire solution, and why not to replace it.
- Short range sensors are under development as well, and the latest results show that an accuracy below 5 µm and a repeatability below 1µm are reachable.
- Fiducialisation at a micron level is a challenge too, with a level of difficulty depending of the size of the component. For very short components (length <1m), CMM are a must, but will need to be replaced by portable means that are under development and validation.