Full simulation study on $H \rightarrow \mu \mu$ with the ILD detector

C. Calancha (IPNS, KEK) LCWS12, Arlington (USA)

Oct 25, 2012

 $H \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$



Benchmark analysis for the DBD: test of the expected linear relation between fermion mass and its coupling to the Higgs boson.

- Small branching ratio: Expected \approx 91 events with beam polarization (e⁻¹,e⁺¹)=(-0.8,+0.2)
- clean signature.
- ILCSOFTv16

Background

• Irreducible background: same final state: $ZZ(WW) \rightarrow \nu\nu\mu\mu, Z \rightarrow \nu\nu\mu\mu$





Other sources considered:



- $Z \rightarrow \mu \mu$
- Effect from $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu\mu$ is negligible after applying final selection.

C. Calancha (KEK)

Ecm = 1 TeV beam polarization (e-,e+): (-0.8,+0.2) Signal

• $H \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

Background

- processes with 2 or 4 fermions in the final state.
 - Only the irreducible backgrounds give relevant contribution.
- All samples fully simulated with ILD_o1_v05 and reconstructed with ILC software relase v01-16
- No overlay $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow hadron$.

$\gamma\gamma ightarrow \mu\mu$ Contribution

- Photons from the beam produce low Pt muons
- After a cut on Pt of the Higgs (Pt>20) there is no contribution.



- Weizsaecker-Williams photons also negligible after selection cuts
- virtual photon (e side) beam γ (p side):



• beam γ (e side) virtual photon (p side):



• Two virtual photons:







• $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu\mu$ contribution is negligible with loose cuts:

- # tracks < 5
- missing $E_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$
- $|cos\theta(\mu_i)| < 0.95$
- |cosθ(H)| < 0.98
 |
 </p>
- E(H) < 400 GeV
- energy all tracks $E(\mu_1)$ $E(\mu_2) < 200 \text{ GeV/c}$

Muon selection use deposited energy on the calorimeters:

- Charged track.
- ecal/totcal < 0.5
- totcal/ |*p*| < 0.3
- 95 % signal muons pass this requirement.

$H \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ preselection

- Two muons with E > 15 GeV, $|M(\mu, \mu)-125 < 30|$ GeV/c²
- and E(H)<400 GeV/c

77 signal events pass this selection (85 % from the expected 91)

Optimization



F after optimization $\rightarrow 0.39$

C. Calancha (KEK)

Cut Table

Process	Pres.	cut 1	cut 2	cut 3	cut 4	cut 5	cut 6	cut 7	cut 8	cut 9
signal	54	50	44	43	41	39	38	38	36	32
z_vv	49	23	23	23	23	0	0	0	0	0
zzorww	30	24	12	11	11	8	8	8	7	4
sznu	13	12	9	9	6	6	6	6	6	6
sze	322	91	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$\sqrt{S+B}$ /S (%)	39	27	21	21	21	18	18	18	19	20

- # tracks < 5</p>
- 2 missing E_T > 40 GeV
- Initial missing Energy > 600 GeV
- energy all tracks $E(\mu_1)$ $E(\mu_2) < 10 \text{ GeV/c}$
- **o** $d_0(\mu_i) < 0.006 \text{ mm}$
- **(**) $|z_0(\mu_i)| < 0.01 \text{ mm}$
- $\bigcirc p_{\mathcal{T}}(H) > 40 \; \text{GeV/c}$
- **3** $|cos\theta(\mu_i)| < 0.95$
- (a) $|\cos\theta(H)| < 0.90$

Last cuts prevent from $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu\mu$ contribution.

C. Calancha (KEK)

Results





Statistical precision (√S+B/S) of σ × BR ≈ 20 %
 CLIC CDR: 15 % (at 2 ab⁻¹, beam pol. (0,0))

• Signal selection efficiency 35 %

Summary

- $H \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$: Benchmark analysis for the DBD
- *E_{cm}* = 1 TeV, L=1 ab⁻¹ beam pol. (-0.8,0.2)
- $\sigma \times BR$ Statistical error \approx 20 %

moving forward

- Include $\gamma\gamma$ overlay.
- Explore selection with MVA tools.

BackUp