

0 mrad Interaction Region -Final Focus design status-

Jacques PAYET CEA/DAPNIA/SACM

0 mrad meeting ORSAY, 17th May 2006

17/05/2006 CEA DSM DAPNIA SACM J. PAYET

0 mrad meeting

Outline



- Why we need to change the Final Focus
- The new lattices

saclay

- Luminosities
- Multi Kink instability
- Conclusions

Why to change

- The goal was to keep a 10.5 m distance between the IP and the separator
- With the previous lattice we take into account many remarks
 - SD0 sign
 - B1 field
 - DMSQ length
 - We have checked the optimization procedures
 - Lattice matching
 - Luminosity optimization
 - Losses computation
 - ...
 - But I don't take care to the sextupole field :
 - with 7 T on coil, the sextupoles are too much strong
 - I have to correct this !!!

saclay



- >4 m long drift to IP.
- >B1 deviation is 1.8110^{-5} rad.
- >The distance IP \rightarrow B1 is the same.

➢FD quadripôles with maximum gradient (249.34 T/m).

>FD sextupoles with maximum strength (ϕ 56 mm, ~ 3 T on coil).

≻2 m long drift between the last sextupole and the separator.

 \Rightarrow The element lengths are minimum

 \Rightarrow The distance IP \rightarrow Separator varies with the distance between QP:

- •With 1.21 m free \Rightarrow 11.33 m
- •With 0.64 m free \Rightarrow 11.03 m
- •With 0.00 m free \Rightarrow 10.82 m

Length of Elements

dapnia

œ

saclay

Free Drift (m)	QD0 (m)	SD0 (m)		QF1 (m)	SF1 (m)	IP To ES (m)	
1.2104	1.242	0.602		0.718	0.354	11.3264	
0.6424	1.298	0.670		0.786	0.432	11.0284	
0.0	1.380	0.806		0.886	0.546	10.8180	
1 1 Relative change 11 % Relative change 23 %							
Relative change 34 % Relative change 54 %							

New Final Focus Chromaticities

Chromaticities



When the distance decrease :

➤The QP chromaticities increase

➤The SX correction increase

The SF1 coupling increase and it counteracts the SD0 contribution

 \Rightarrow The QP/SX lengths change are not similar.



Parameter Space for E=250 GeV L=2 10³⁴ cm⁻²s⁻¹

dapnia			Nominal	Large Y	Low P	High L	TESLA	Med Q P
	N	x 10 ¹⁰	2	2	2	2	2	1.3
	n _b		2820	2820	1330	2820	2820	2820
saclay	ε _{x,y}	µm,nm	9.6, 40	12, 80	10, 35	10, 30	10, 30	9.6, 30
	β _{x,y}	cm,mm	2, 0.4	1, 0.4	1, 0.2	1, 0.2	1.5, 0.4	1, 0.2
	σ _{x,y}	nm	626.5, 5.7	495.3, 8.1	452.1, 3.8	452.1, 3.5	553.7, 5	443, 3.5
	σ _z	μm	300	500	200	150	300	200
	Bunch space	ns	308.5	308.5	462.4	308.5	308.5	308.5
	D _y		19.12	28.30	26.72	21.66	24.98	19.16
	δ_{BS}	%	2.2	2.2	5.1	6.2	2.7	2.5
	Р	MW	11.3	11.3	5.3	11.3	11.3	7.3



•Optimization for the TESLA case.

 Matching with QM16-QM13 for the other cases.

 Specific optimization gives an identical result.

•The best luminosity curves are obtained for the largest free drift.

•The luminosity curves are similar to the previous one.





0. 5 mrad deviation for 25 m long electrostatic separator.

The parasitic collision is at 46.165m and the horizontal separation is :

•With 1.21 m free \Rightarrow 11.17 mm

•With 0.64 m free \Rightarrow 11.32 mm

•With 0.00 m free \Rightarrow 11.42 mm

The separations are comparable with the previous lattice.

In the Low P case the parasitic collision occurs at 69.196 m from the IP.

```
The separation is then ~ 22.68 mm.
```



The multi kink instabilities are evaluated for the largest free drift \Rightarrow lowest separation.

With 0.5 mrad deviation for 25 m long electrostatic separator the separation is sufficient for all cases except for the High L case.

Kick at IP



The IP Kick is given by $D_y/\sigma_z/2$ (the reduction by 2 is for coherent beam-beam)



Nominal	Large Y	Low P	High L	TESLA	Med QP
31864	28298	66803	72200 1	41635	47890
		2.	 5 to 1.5 la	arger	

Comparable, but larger separation

 \Rightarrow We have to enlarge the separation at the parasitic collision



With 0.685 mrad deviation for 25 m long electrostatic separator (13.7% field increase) the separation is sufficient for the High L case.



New Final Focus : Multi Kink stability for High L Central Trajectory



The multi kink instabilities are evaluated for the largest free drift \Rightarrow lowest separation.

With 0.79 mrad deviation for 34.8386 m long electrostatic separator (4.6% field increase) the separation is sufficient for the High L case.



The multi kink instabilities are evaluated for the 0 m free drift \Rightarrow largest separation.

With 0.712 mrad deviation for 35 m long electrostatic separator (1.7% field increase) the separation is sufficient for the High L case.

Conclusions

daphia

saclay

- We have new final focus designs which reach the requirements
 - The B1 deviation angle is $1.8 \ 10^{-5}$ rad.
 - The sextupole field is limited to 3T at 0.028 m.
 - The SD0 is a negative sextupole.
 - For all tunings, the luminosity is larger than 0.88 L0 for momentum below 0.5%.

• The electrostatic separator allows sufficient separation to avoid multi kink instability, except for the High L tuning.

- In this later case, we can enlarge or/and strengthen the separator to obtain the stability.
- In the worse case (1.21 m free drift inside the FD) we need :
 - 13.7% field increase for a 25m long separator.
 - 4.6% field increase for a 34.84 m long separator.
- In the best case (0 m free drift inside the FD) we need :
 - 11.2% field increase for a 25 m long separator.
 - 1.7% field increase for a 35 m long separator.
- We have to select the appropriate final focus lattice in comparison with the extraction easiness.