IP Parameters in TDR from RDR

T. Tauchi, KEK

2012年 3月 9日 金曜日

Proposal / rationale

- Adopt uniformly high D_y for all E_{cm} (~25)
- Include 10-15% (20%!?) gain from coherent vertical waist shift at IP (~0.8σ_z)
- Assume a 30% increase in collimation depth for $E_{cm} \le 250 \text{ GeV}$
 - split FD approach
 - factor 1.7 reduction in β_{x}^{*} (over ~1/ γ scaling)
- Assume intra-train fast feedback at linac exit will constrain vertical beam jitter ≤ 1.5 µm RMS at SP2/SP4
 - ~0.2 $\sigma_{\!_V}$ at 250 GeV beam energy
- Keep "effective" IP $\gamma \epsilon_v \sim 35 \text{ nm}$
 - average emittance from LET emittance tuning simulations +10%

• Drop TF because

- Difficultly in implementing the crabbed waist
- Very high disruption parameter too challenging (incl. collimator wakes)

N. Walker, AD/I meeting, 29 February 2012

General Parameters			TF = Trav	eling Focu	IS							
	T.	G V	•••				-00	L Upgrade	E _{cm} Up	ograde		
Centre-of-mass energy	E _{cm}	Gev	200	230	250	350	500	500	1000	1000 B1b	comment	
Beam energy	E beam	GeV	100	115	125	175	250	500	500	500		
Lorentz factor			#######	#######	#######	#######	#######	#######	9,78E+05	9,78E+05		
Collision rate	f _{rep}	Hz	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4		
Electron linac rate	f_{linac}	Hz	10	10	10	5	5	5	4	4		
Number of bunches	n _b		1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	2625	2450	2450		
Electron bunch population	N_	×10 ¹⁰	2,0	2,0	2,0	2,0	2,0	2,0	1,74	1,74		
Positron bunch population	N +	×10	2,0	2,0	2,0	2,0	2,0	2,0	1,74	1,74		
Bunch separation	t.	ns	554	554	554	554	554	366	366	366		
Bunch separation $\times f_{\text{BE}}$	t _b	115	720	720	720	720	720	476	476	476		
Pulse current	Ihaam	mA	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.79	8.75	7.6	7.6		
	- beam		5,0	5,0	5,0	5,0	5,15	0,75	7,0	7,0		
RMS bunch length	z	mm	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,3	0,250	0,225		
Electron RMS energy spread	p/p	%	0,206	0,194	0,190	0,158	0,125	0,125	0,083	0,085	See EDMS D*971945	
Positron RMS energy spread	p/p	%	0,187	0,163	0,150	0,100	0,070	0,070	0,043	0,047	See EDMS D*971945	
Electron polarisation	P	%	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80		
Positron polarisation	P ₊	%	31	31	30	30	30	30	20	20	Approximate numbers	(Wanming Liu)
Horizontal emittance	x	m	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	TeV numbers are poter	ntially too optimistic. Check with K.Kubo.
Vertical emittance	у	nm	35	35	35	35	35	35	30	30	TeV numbers are poter	ntially too optimistic. Check with K.Kubo.
IB horizontal bate function	*	mm	16.0	14.0	13.0	16.0	11.0	11.0	22.6	11.0		
IP vertical beta function (no TE)	x *	mm	0.34	0.38	0.41	0.34	0.48	0.48	0.25	0.23		
	У	11111	0,54	0,50	0,41	0,54	0,40	0,40	0,25	0,23		
IP RMS horizontal beam size	* x	nm	904	789	729	684	474	474	481	335		
IP RMS veritcal beam size (no TF)	y*	nm	7,8	7,7	7,7	5,9	5,9	5,9	2,8	2,7		
Horizontal distruption parameter	D _x		0,2	0,2	0,3	0,2	0,3	0,3	0,1	0,2		
Vertical disruption parameter	D _y		24,3	24,5	24,5	24,3	24,6	24,6	18,7	25,1		
Horizontal enhancement factor	H _{Dx}		1,0	1,1	1,1	1,0	1,1	1,1	1,0	1,0		
Vertical enhancement factor	H _{Dy}		4,5	5,0	5,4	4,5	6,1	6,1	3,5	4,1		
Total enhancement factor	H _D	$\times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ c}^{-1}$	1,7	1,8	1,8	1,7	2,0	2,0	- 1,5	1,6		
decometric luminosity	L _{geom}	xiu cili s	0,30	0,34	0,37	0,52	0,/5	1,50	1,//	2,64		
2 2 2 Luminosity	L	$\times 10^{34}$ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹	0.50	0.61	0.68	0.88	1.47	2.94	2.71	4.32		
Average beamstrahlung parameter	av		0,013	0,017	0,020	0,030	0,062	0,062	0,127	0,203		
Maximum beamstrahlung parameter	max		0,031	0,041	0,048	0,072	0,146	0,146	0,305	0,483		
Average number of photons / partic	c n		0,95	1,08	1,16	1,23	1,72	1,72	1,43	1,97		
Average energy loss	E _{BS}	%	0,51	0,75	0,93	1,42	3,65	3,65	5,33	10,20		
Luminosity	L	$\times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$	0,498	0,607	0,681	0,878	1,50	3,00	3,23	4,31	all 8* 7	
Coherent waist shift	w _y	111 • • 10 ³⁴ · · · 2 · 1	250	250	250	250	250	250	190	190	~U.0 · Z.	
Eraction of luminosity in top 10 ⁶		×10 cm ⁻ s ⁻	0,56	0,67	0,75 87.1 <i>0</i>	1,0 77 AC	1,8	3,6	50 207	4,9 11 50		
Average energy loss	E _{0.01} /I		0.65%	08,0%	07,1%	1.0%	1 5%	1 50%	5.6%	10.5%		
Number of pairs per hunch crossin	• N	×10°	44 7	55.6	62 /	93.6	139.0	139.0	200.5	382.6	> 1 MeV	
Tranioer of pairs per outen crossing	- · pairs		,/	55,0	02,4	,0	157,0	157,0	200,0	562,0		
Total pair energy per bunch crossin	E _{pairs}	TeV	25,5	37,5	46,5	115,0	344,1	344,1	1338,0	3441,0		
I uminocitu	т	×10 ³⁴ cm ⁻²	0.64	0.72	0.07	1.17	3.05					
Fraction of luminosity in top 1%	L Loor/I	xiu cm s	0,04	89.0%	83.0%	1,17 77 9%	60.8%	The TF number are	e retained as r	eterence. Not	te nowever that so far a	
Average energy loss	E.nc		0.61%	0.79%	1.26%	1 78%	4 33%	found. The prefer	ed values and	therefore the	ose quoted above with	N. Walker. AD/I n
Number of pairs per hunch crossing	Nasia	×10 [°]	46.9	55.7	81.0	101.1	211.1	the coherent wais	t shift.			
rianioer of parts per outer crossing	- pairs		10,5	55,1	01,0	101,1	211,1				_	

2012年 3月 9日 金曜日

Comparison to TF numbers



Fractional *L* in top 1% E_{cm}



N. Walker, AD/I meeting, 29 February 2012

Issues

- Optics solution still needs verification
 - smaller (in general) β functions
 - short (split) FD solutions for low E_{cm}
 - Including re-evaluation of collimator depth
- Luminosity stability (high D_v)
 - should we include some degradation in *L* for this effect? If so how much?
- Fast trajectory correction implementation
 - should be feasible, but clearly needs a realistic design concept
 - location of BPMs, kickers, performance analysis etc.

- Optics solutions will be looked at by R. Tomas starting April.
 - Solutions probably May/June
 - Plan for success in the meantime.
- Complicated problem which really requires "start-to-end" like simulations
 - including fast feedback correction etc.
 - legacy simulations exist but for for different lattice (RDR), but results were very positive
- Need to look at lattice and see how this might fit
 - Should not be a real problem
 - Performance evaluation requires more design work
 - May need adjustment of early BDS lattice to accommodate hardware

Issues

Optics solution still needs verification

- smaller (in general) β functions
- short (split) FD solutions for low E_{cm}
- Including re-evaluation of collimator
- depth by SAD and MQRAD
- Luminosity stability (high D_v)
 - should we include some degradation in *L* for this effect? If so how much?
- Fast trajectory correction implementation
 - should be feasible, but clearly needs a realistic design concept
 - location of BPMs, kickers, performance analysis etc.

- Optics solutions will be looked at by R. Tomas starting April.
 - Solutions probably May/June
 - Plan for success in the meantime.
- Complicated problem which really requires "start-to-end" like simulations
 - including fast feedback correction etc.
 - legacy simulations exist but for for different lattice (RDR), but results were very positive
- Need to look at lattice and see how this might fit
 - Should not be a real problem
 - Performance evaluation requires more design work
 - May need adjustment of early BDS lattice to accommodate hardware

FD for low E

FD optimized for lower energy will allow increasing the collimation depth by ~10% in Y and by ~30% in X (Very tentative!)

 One option would be to have a separate FD optimized for lower E, and then exchange it before going to nominal E

 Other option to be studied is to build a universal FD, that can be reconfigured for lower E configuration (may require splitting QD0 coil and placing sextupoles in the middle)





Global Design Effort

2012年 3月 9日 金曜日

A. Seryi, 19 Jan 2011, BAW



2012年 3月 9日 金曜日

Luminosity degradation due to the collimators

TDR 1. Collimation depth, wakefield and emittance growth \rightarrow 28.6 @Eb=100GeV $C_{dep_y} = \theta_y^{max} / \sigma^{*'y} / safety_factor$ $A_{y} = 0.0482 \gamma^{-1} C_{dep y}^{-1.5} \epsilon_{y}^{-0.75}$ \rightarrow 5.8 Emittance growth in y = $(0.4*Jitter_{train}*A_y)^2 \rightarrow 1.37 \rightarrow 0 (< 0.2)$ Values in RDR; $\theta_y^{\text{max}} = 1 \text{ mrad}$, e.g. no syn.rad hit 20mm ϕ beam pipe for ±10m around IP safety_factor = 1.5Jitter_{train} = 0.5 (scaled by beam size) \rightarrow 0.2 with "FONT" feedback i.e. emittance growth to 1/6.25 Jitter_{b b} = 0.1 (scaled by beam size) 2. Bunch-to-bunch jitter effect on the luminosity $\rightarrow 0.59$ $\sigma_{b_b} = \text{Jitter}_{b_b} * (1 + A_y^2)^{0.5}$ $\rightarrow 0.92 \rightarrow 1$ $L_{b b} - \Delta L_{b b} = EXP(-(\sigma_{b b}^2)/4)$

Collimator Wakefields



ic

TDR : Assume ga	amepsX,Y i	ncoming al	ready includ	le emittano	ce growth d	ue to wake	fields etc.	and no effect	ct of b-b jitt	er	
F	Nominal 200	HD 200	Nominal 250	HD 250	Nominal 350	HD 350	Nominal 500	HD 500	Nominal 1000	HD 1000	-
Ecms [GeV]	200	200	250	250	350	350	500	500	1000	1000	-
gamma	1.96E+05	1.96E+05	2.45E+05	2.45E+05	3.42E+05	3.42E+05	4.89E+05	4.89E+05	9.78E+05	9.78E+05	
N 0-	2.00E+10	2.00E+10	2.00E+10	2.00E+10	2.00E+10	2.00E+10	2.00E+10 2.00E+10	2.00E+10	1.74E+10	1.74E+10 1.74E+10	-
nb	2.002+10	1312	2.002+10	1312	2.002710	2.002710	2.002410	2.00EF10	2425	2425	
Teen [ns]	554.0	554.0	554.0	554.0	554.0	554.0	554.0	554.0	366.0	366.0	-
lave in train [A] e-	0.0058	0.0058	0.0058	0.0058	0.0058	0.0058	0.0058	0.0058	0.0076	0.0076	-
f	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	-
Pb [W] e-	2.10E+06	2.10E+06	2.63E+06	2.63E+06	3.68E+06	3.68E+06	5.25E+06	5.25E+06	1.35E+07	1.35E+07	
Electron polarization, %	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	80	
Positron polarization, %	31	31	31	31	29	29	22	22	30	30	
Electron E-spread, %	0.220	0.220	0.190	0.190	0.158	0.158	0.125	0.125	0.083	0.083	
Positron E-spread, %	0.170	0.170	0.150	0.150	0.100	0.100	0.065	0.065	0.043	0.043	
IP Parameters											
gamepsX incoming	1.00E-05	1.00E-05	1.00E-05	1.00E-05	1.00E-05	1.00E-05	1.00E-05	1.00E-05	1.00E-05	1.00E-05	-
gamepsY incoming	3.50E-08	3.50E-08	3.50E-08	3.50E-08	3.50E-08	3.50E-08	3.50E-08	3.50E-08	3.00E-08	3.00E-08	
bx	1.60E-02	1.60E-02	1.60E-02	1.60E-02	1.50E-02	1.50E-02	1.10E-02	1.10E-02	2.26E-02	1.10E-02	
by	3.30E-04	2.00E-04	3.30E-04	2.00E-04	3.50E-04	2.00E-04	4.80E-04	2.00E-04	2.50E-04	2.30E-04	
sigx_geom	9.04E-07	9.04E-07	8.09E-07	8.09E-07	6.62E-07	6.62E-07	4.74E-07	4.74E-07	4.81E-07	3.35E-07	
sigy_geom	7.7E-09	6.0E-09	6.9E-09	5.3E-09	6.0E-09	4.5E-09	5.9E-09	3.8E-09	2.8E-09	2.7E-09	
sigx_effective	9.04E-07	9.04E-07	8.09E-07	8.09E-07	6.62E-07	6.62E-07	4.74E-07	4.74E-07	4.81E-07	3.35E-07	
sigy_effective	7.7E-09	6.0E-09	6.9E-09	5.3E-09	6.0E-09	4.5E-09	5.9E-09	3.8E-09	2.8E-09	2.7E-09	
sigxp	5.65E-05	5.65E-05	5.05E-05	5.05E-05	4.41E-05	4.41E-05	4.31E-05	4.31E-05	2.13E-05	3.05E-05	
sigyp	2.33E-05	2.99E-05	2.08E-05	2.67E-05	1.71E-05	2.26E-05	1.22E-05	1.89E-05	1.11E-05	1.15E-05	
gamepsX effective	1.00E-05	1.00E-05	1.00E-05	1.00E-05	1.00E-05	1.00E-05	1.00E-05	1.00E-05	1.00E-05	1.00E-05	باصيد
gameps renective	3.50E-08	3.50E-08	3.50E-08	3.50E-08	3.50E-08	3.502-08	3.50E-08	3.502-08	3.00E-08	3.002-08	Wak
Max divergence X	4.005.04	4.005.04	4.00E-04	4 005-04	4 005-04	4 005-04	4.00E_04	4.005-04	4.00E-04	4 005.04	_
Max divergence Y	1.00E-03	1.00E-03	1.00E-03	1.00E-03	1.00E-03	1.00E-03	1.00E-03	1.00E-03	1.00E-03	1.00E-03	@cc
Collim safety factor	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	800
Coll depth X	4.7	4.7	5.3	5.3	6.0	6.0	6.2	6.2	12.5	8.7	
Coll depth Y	28.6	22.3	32.0	24.9	39.0	29.5	54.6	35.2	60.2	57.7	
BDS Inc. t-t jitter, sigma	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	
BDS Inc. b-b jitter, sigma	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	
Coll wake kick power xi, K~1/r*xi/ga	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	
Coll wake Ay	5.8	8.5	4.7	6.8	3.2	4.9	1.8	3.4	1.4	1.5	
Coll wake Y-emit growth	1.365	2.894	0.874	1.852	0.408	0.945	0.125	0.463	0.083	0.094	. ←
Increased b-b jitter, sigma	0.593	0.856	0.478	0.688	0.335	0.496	0.203	0.355	0.175	0.183	
Lum reduct due to b-b jitter	0.916	0.832	0.944	0.888	0.972	0.940	0.990	0.969	0.992	0.992	
sgz	3.00E-04	3.00E-04	3.00E-04	3.00E-04	3.00E-04	3.00E-04	3.00E-04	3.00E-04	2.50E-04	2.55E-04	-
Dx e+	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.2	
Dy e+	25.0	32.1	25.0	32.1	25.0	33.2	24.9	38.7	18.9	28.8	-
ThetaU	6.39E-04	6.40E-04	5.72E-04	5.73E-04	4.99E-04	5.00E-04	4.86E-04	4.88E-04	2.10E-04	3.00E-04	
xp_max_out	4.89E-04	4.90E-04	4.37E-04	4.38E-04	3.81E-04	3.82E-04	3.71E-04	3.73E-04	1.60E-04	2.30E-04	
yp_max_out	1.07E-04	8.71E-05	9.59E-05	7.79E-05	8.35E-05	6.62E-05	8.18E-05	5.68E-05	4.43E-05	4.47E-05	-
Uave e+	0.013	0.013	0.019	0.019	0.032	0.032	0.063	0.063	0.131	0.183	
Umax e+	0.0055	0.0055	0.0092	0.0063	0.0160	0.0161	0.0390	0.0303	0.0569	0.1008	
D Beamstrahlung MI	1 15E+04	1 15E+04	2 16E+04	2 17E+04	5.805+04	5.02E+04	2.04E±05	2.065+05	7.675+05	1.365+06	-
P_beamstraniung [vv]	0.04	1.152404	2.102+04	2.172404	1.08	1.02	2.046+03	2.002+03	1.072+03	1.302+00	
Lide	0.94	0.94	1.00	1.05	1.20	1.20	1./1	1.71	1.42	1.97	
Hax	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.1	
Hdy	4.4	2.5	4.4	2.5	4.7	2.6	6.1	2.8	3.6	3.8	-
	1.7	1.4	1.7	1.4	1.7	1.4	2.0	1.5	1.5	1.6	
Geo Lum (cm-2 s-1)	3.01E+33	3.86E+33	3.76E+33	4.83E+33	5.28E+33	6.98E+33	7.51E+33	1.16E+34	1.76E+34	2.62E+34	-
Lum. dil.	0.916	0.832	0.944	0.888	0.972	0.940	0.990	0.969	0.992	0.992	-
Lum. (cm-2 s-1)	5.06E+33	5.39E+33	6.33E+33	6.74E+33	9.10E+33	9.85E+33	1.47E+34	1.76E+34	2.69E+34	4.21E+34	
Lum/bc	7.71E+29	8.21E+29	9.64E+29	1.03E+30	1.39E+30	1.50E+30	2.25E+30	2.68E+30	2.78E+30	4.34E+30	
Coherent nairs/hc	5.64E-167	1 20E-166	2 90E-117	4 98E-117	2 83E-85	4 11E-85	1.00E-28	1.48E-28	6 75E-10	1.88E-04	
les ssishe (11)	1.405+04	1.405+04	1.955-04	1.075+04	2.005-00	2.125-04	E 10E-04	R 10E-04	7.445-04	1 1002-04	-
Inc. pairs/bc (LL)	1.40E+04	1.49E+04	1.65E+04	1.97E+04	2.90E+04	3.13E+04	5.12E+04	0.12E+04	7.44E+04	1.10E+05	-
Inc. pairs/bc (BW)	1.64E+03	1.75E+03	1.80E+03	1.92E+03	2.21E+03	2.40E+03	3.55E+03	4.27E+03	1.34E+03	3.41E+03	
Inc. pairs/bc (8H)	1.23E+05	1.31E+05	1.56E+05	1.67E+05	2.36E+05	2.55E+05	4.33E+05	5.20E+05	3.81E+05	7.62E+05	
Inc. Pairs/bc (tot)	1.39E+05	1.48E+05	1.77E+05	1.88E+05	2.67E+05	2.89E+05	4.88E+05	5.85E+05	4.57E+05	8.81E+05	
Caliculations by CAIN											CAL
Lum. (cm-2 s-1)	5.09E+33	6.04.E+33	6.36E+33	7.55.E+33	9.25E+33	1.10.E+34	1.55E+34	1.94.E+34	2.66E+34	4.85.E+34	
Lum. (cm-2 s-1) w/ waist shift	5.74E+33	5.95.E+33	7.18E+33	7.44.E+33	1.04E+34	1.08.E+34	1.68E+34	1.91.E+34	2.98E+34	4.79.E+34	4
Lum top 1% : L(0.01)/L	0.913	0.908	0.870	0.862	0.867	0.769	0.613	0.597	0.606	0.452	• • • • • •
Lum top 1% w/ waist shift	0.911	0.908	0.866	0.863	0.774	0.770	0.612	0.601	0.599	0.457	wai
Lum Tem offsetV w/world shift	0.968	0.952	0.961	0.942	0.947	0.922	0.927	0.871	0.920	0.828	
Long Thim onset t w waist shift	0.958	0.942	0.950	0.932	0.934	0.910	0.916	0.847	0.905	0.814	hv l
energy loss	0.034	0.035	0.038	3 80 5 63	0.047	0.049	0.072	0.078	0.043	0.074	, Dy
Inc. Pairs/hc (lot)	2.5554.04	2 92 5+04	3.50E+04	4.00 E+04	5.015+04	8 75 5+04	1 225+05	148 Ex05	1 315+05	2 77 E+05	2
Inc. Pairs/cc (tot) w/ waist shift	2.80E+04	2.82.E+04	3.84E+04	3.91 E+04	6.37E+04	6.59 E+04	1.305+05	143 E+05	1.312+05	2.73 E+05	6
THE REAL PROPERTY AND AND A DESIGN AND A DES		2.000.12 · 0.14	2.012.04		0.01 - 04	STORE TON	1.000.00		1.102.100	2.10.2.00	

CAIN simulation

waist scan by 0.6 σ_z