QD0 Alignment in ILD

Karsten Buesser

12.04.2012 ILD Regional Integration Meeting

Alignment Requirements

- ILD detector axis:
 - ±1 mm, ±100 µrad
 - laser reference system on platform or hall, positive indexing system on platform
- QD0 magnets:
 - before low current beam is allowed in:
 - \pm 50 µm, \pm 20 mrad (roll) \pm 1 mrad (pitch, yaw)
 - after beam-based alignment:
 - Stability over 200 ms: ±200 nm, 0.1 µrad
 - Vibration stability: less than 50 nm within 1ms bunch train
 - Alignment and positioning system on ILD
 - Cam movers on QD0s
- Reference line: defined by QF1 magnets in the beam line

QD0 Alignment in ILD LoI

- MONALISA (Oxford)
 - frequency scanning laser interferometer
 - could provide necessary precision for QD0s
 - could align ILD globally
 - could link left and right QF1
- Problems:
 - Lasers need to be in vacuum tubes -> No mechanical solution to access QD0s cold mass via yoke end caps

To Detector

Oxford group stopped to work on ILD



CLIC Approach

- CLIC requirements are much tighter for QD0 magnets:
 - alignment better than ±10 µm !
- CERN surveyor group is working on solutions for ILD@CLIC
- Klaus Sinram and myself met them at CERN during CFS BTR and disussed, if CLIC solution could be applied to ILD@ILC as well....

MDI area



Determination of the position of QDO w.r.t other components of the BDS (1)



Requirements:

- Position of the zero of QDO w.r.t ideal straight line of the 500 last meters of BDS: ± 10 µm rms (including fiducialisation)
- \checkmark Longitudinal relative position between QDO and QF1: ± 20 μ m rms

Solutions:

- ✓ Main difference concerns the MRN network (due to lack of space):
 - No overlapping of stretched wires in the last 250 m
 - No HLS system needed for the modeling of the sag, which will be extrapolated on the last 250 m.





Determination of the position of QDO w.r.t other components of the BDS (2)

 Longitudinal monitoring of QD0 w.r.t QF1: capacitive sensors coupled to each component measuring w.r.t targets of a common carbon bar



Capacitive sensor

Carbon bar with targets on both extremities

- Development of special mechanics and sensors to displace the stretching device when QDO is removed.
 - Development of « opened » WPS sensors



• Fixed part of stretched wire will have to displaced remotely, radially (get out the WPS installed on QDO) and longitudinally (get out the support tube of QDO). Can not be removed as it gives an alignment reference for all the BDS components over the last 500m.

Next steps

11

- Propose a design for these solutions and integrate them
- Validate prototypes on dedicated mock-ups



Left side w.r.t right side

No survey galleries foreseen at ILC Maybe not needed....

Requirements:

- ✓ Determination of left reference line w.r.t right reference line : within ± 0.1 mm rms
- ✓ Monitoring of left reference line w.r.t right reference line : within a few microns
- \checkmark Monitoring of the position of left QD0 / right QD0 within ± 5 μm rms

Solutions:

✓ Determination of left reference line w.r.t right reference line &monitoring of one BDS w.r.t other:
→ link stretched wires on both side by a common reference (as in the LHC). using the survey galleries



MDI area



Left side w.r.t right side

Monitoring of the position of left QD0 /right QD0: Concept

- ✓ 4 Reference Rings (RR) located at each extremity of QDO, supported from outer tube
- ✓ 6 radial spokes per RR



In two steps:

- A monitoring of the position of QD0 w.r.t RR thanks to proximity sensors. (initial calibration of their position performed on a CMM)
 - A transfer of the position of RR thanks to 6 spokes to alignment systems. By combination of redundant information, the position of the center of 4 RR is computed.

See next presentation by Harry van der Graaf

Next steps

- Validation of spokes design and alignment systems at NIKHEF (2011-2012)
- Validation of the concept on a mock-up at CERN (2012-2013)
- 13







H. v.d. Graaf





Mechanical aspect of alignment LumiCal calorimeters

What about FCAL? slides from Leszek Zawiejski







Carbon tube made with pipes for laser beams (higher stiffness) Possible (?) windows in beam pipe for laser beams

LumiCal calorimeters - space for laser beams

What about FCAL? slides from Leszek Zawiejski





Carbon tube with glued carbon pipes (left) – less material, more stiffness, limited number of laser beams

Double layer carbon tube (right) – less material, more stiffness, lot of space for many laser beams

Reference points

What about FCAL? slides from Leszek Zawiejski

Laser beam

LHCAL

There are several points in LumiCal's neighborhood in ILD to be used as reference for position measurement: •The best – QD0 •Very good – Beam Position Monitors •Good (?) – Beam pipe How to get to

Possible measurements of the relative distances to QD0 in X,Y and Z directions

them with laser

beams?

miCal

Comments

- We need to write something about the QD0 alignment in the DBD
- The LoI system was MONALISA: open technical questions; no-one is working on it anymore for ILD
- CLIC has much more stringent requirements and the CLIC group is working on an alignment system for ILD@CLIC
- Should we copy it?
- If yes, what do we still need to do:
 - continue discussions with CLIC experts
 - assign space in the detector for spokes and lines of sight for RASNIKs
 - understand differences
 - definition of magnetic axis at s/c quadrupoles different than at permanent quadrupoles (CLIC)
- Look for synergies (e.g. combine systems for QD0 alignment and LumiCal)