

groups involved in "world-wide LC detector work"




2 explanatory slides, serving just to give background info...






CERN LCD project participation





"CLIC physics and detector study" Implementation model based on typical HEP experiment model





CLIC physics and detector study: organisation




The following principles are imbedded in the structure:

- Acknowledgement of strong collaboration with LC physics/detector studies
- A means for institutes to participate (semi-)formally
- A means for participating institutes give direction to the project
- A means for participating institutes to appoint an executive body + role rotation
- A small executive team
- A steering board for the physics and detector study
- A strong link to the CLIC accelerator project via the CLIC Steering Committee (CSC)
- CSC involving CLIC accelerator and detector, ILC accelerator representation, LC detector study representation, 3-region representation
- A host laboratory (CERN)




"CLIC physics and detector study"






Possible future LC organisation



Possible future LC organisation



Some questions about the future LC organisation

- The interface between "concepts" and "R&D collaborations"
- Can we limit the number of "steering groups"?
- Can we limit the number of "review" bodies, and ensure that the review bodies are real "expert bodies"?
- Role of WWS?
- Which parts of the structure needs to be fully global?
- Which parts of the new structure need <u>"regional representation"</u>?
- Which parts are simply "bottom-up", and driven by the persons/institutes who are actually participating?