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## KEK general stance

- We establish recipe with single-cell study (2006)
- Aim at higher gradient, even higher than 35MV/m.
- Learn basic requirements to establish BCD.
- We try to prove 35MV/m gradient in 9-cell cavities. (2006)
- 4 TESLA-like cavities and 2 ICHIRO cavities are installed into STF$I$ as scheduled and with gradient reached then.
- 2 ICHIRO cavities are reserved without scheduled installation and used for proving higher gradient.
- We help develop capacity from PAL, IHEP, ....
- We understand we need to promote industry capability
- How, when,??


## R\&D strategy for ILC high gradient

- We establish the Recipe by Single cell cavities(2006)
- New recipe will become in reality through recent pilot study
- 7 existing IS cavities are reset by CBP and evaluate yield till next TTC in Sep.
- We make 6 new IS cavities and test the recipe.
- We propose to evaluate DESY-made cavities.
- We promote test at US by sending IS cavities.
- We demonstrate high gradient in 9-cell cavity (2006-2007)
- Based on recipe obtained by single-cell study, we further improve the gradient with reserved two ICHIRO cavities. (2006)
- Two more LL cavities, with improved ICHIRO design, are made and tested. (2006)
- International collaboration (2007-2009)
- More cavities are made and investigate yield.
- Pursue industrialization in practice.
(IS : ICHIRO single-cell cavity)

Pilot study for 45MV/m by single cell cavitity

|  |  | IS\#2 | IS\#3 | IS\#4 | IS\#5 | IS\#6 | IS\#7 | RE2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ILC WG5-Asia Recipe | Eacc, max | 36.9 | 31.4 | 45.1 | 44.2 | 48.8 | 28.3 |  |
|  | Qo@Emax | 1.53E10 | 8.66E9 | 9.07E9 | 5.38 e 9 | 9.56E9 | 1.94 e 9 |  |
| +re-HPR+No Bake(48hr) | Eacc,max | 37.6 | 32.7 | 43.7 | 22.0 | 51.4 | 29.9 | 33.8 |
|  | Qo@Emax | 1.42 E 10 | 7.27E9 | 6.07E9 | 8.28E9 | 7.77E9 | 1.10 E 10 | 1.23 E 10 |
| +HF rinsing+No Bake, No Q-disease! | Eacc,max | 37.1 | 36.7 | 50.4 | Troubled | 50.2 | 30.0 |  |
|  | Qo@Emax | 1.64 E 10 | 1.43 E 10 | 9.97E10 |  | 3.90E9 | 3.33E9 |  |
| +CP(10)+HPR+Bake(48) | Eacc,max |  |  |  |  | 41.0 | 40.5 | 22.3 |
|  | Qo@Emax |  |  |  |  | 6.65E9 | 5.57E9 | 3.19E9 |
| +EP(3, closed, new acid)+ HPR+Bake(48) | Eacc,max | 41.6 | 40.3 | 41.1 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Qo@Emax | 1.00 E 10 | 1.28 E 10 | 1.17 E 10 |  |  |  |  |
| $+E P(20+3$, closed, new acid)+ HPR+Bake(48) | Eacc,max | 47.1 |  | 47.8 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Qo@Emax | 1.06 E 10 |  | $7.81 \mathrm{E9}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $+E P(20+3$, closed, new acid)+ <br> HF rinsing+HPR+Bake(48) | Eacc,max |  | 44.7 | May 9 |  |  | 43.9 |  |
|  | Qo@Emax |  | 0.98E10 | May 9 |  |  | 1.17 E 10 |  |
| +EP(30+3, closed, conc. HF) <br> +HPR+Bake(0-48hr) Q-slope! | Eacc,max |  | 28.0(B=48) |  |  | 27.6(B=48) | 30.6(B=0) |  |
|  | Qo@Emax |  | 2.14E9 |  |  | 3.07E9 | 3.17E9 |  |
| HPR@KEK <br> TOC=16, Bacteria=80-200 | Eacc,max | 26.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Qo@Emax | 4.39E9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Q-slope is related to oxidation of the surface. HF rich EP acid promotes Q-slope? Or shorten the Baking term?

## 9-cell Cavity R\&D Capacity in KEK and

## An Example of Cavity production for H.G. Study

| Cavity <br> Fabrication <br> (HPVC based) <br> [Cavities/year] | 10 | KEK in-house | Industry | Cavity Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cavity <br> Preparation <br> [Cavities/year] <br> Turn around | STF(one <br> cavity/month) <br> $10-20$ | STF+Nomura <br> $20-30$ | $7 \mathrm{M} ¥$ KEK in-house <br> $\sim 20 \mathrm{M} ¥$ Industry fab. | 3 |
| Preparation <br> re-work | STF (one <br> cavity/week) <br> $20-30$ | STF+Nomura <br> $50-60$ |  | 3 |
| Vertical Test <br> [Times/year] | ARE(one test/week) <br> 40 | ARE+STF <br> 80 |  | 2 |

## Shape decision



## A proposal of <br> international single-cell cavity study

- In order to hold common idea of required treatment to reach the BCD performance
- We need quick systematic evaluation of treatments.
- Single-cell cavities are suited for it.
- Exchange single-cell cavities among laboratories helps mutual confirmation, in addition to information exchange.
- Single-cell is the step before and in parallel with 9-cell cavity development.


## A proposal of international 9-cell cavity study

- Exchange of 9-cell cavities, for example;
- To apply different treatment such as CBP on some XFEL cavities
- Asian-made LL cavities will be tested with treatment by DESY
- Exchange cavities once proven in gradient to be evaluated at other facilities and with other treatments
- KEK can contribute more
- Increasingly from 2007
- Depend on international recommendation


## Conclusion

- KEK should establish recipe with single-cell study.
- Several $\mathbf{~ 1 0 ~ s i n g l e ~ c e l l ~ c a v i t i e s ~ a r e ~ u s e d ~ f u l l y . ~}$
- Pursue under international collaboration.
- KEK try to prove high gradient in 9-cell.
- Firstly install 4-6 cavities in STF-I as scheduled with gradient reached then. This gives rough idea of yield of KEK then.
- We use 2 ICHIRO cavities and make two new cavities to pursue higher gradient.
- We want to make $\sim 10$ cavities in 2007, before STF-II. We hope it will be realized if international R\&D recommendation pushes.
- We think it important to exchange cavities to mutually evaluate to get confidence in recipe.
- Both single-cell cavities and 9-cell cavities.
- KEK helps PAL and IHEP develop production and test facility.


## Addendum

## ILC High Gradient R\＆Dに向けた開発戦略（案）

1）Establish of the Recipe by Single cell cavities（2006）
この間のpilot studyによりnew recipeの目処あり。
－7個のIS cavityの表面をCBPでresetして， next TTC meetingまでにそのrecipeでのyieldを確認 （2006のR\＆D項目）。

- 6個のnew IS cavitiesで再確認（2006のR\＆D項目）。
- DESYのsingle cell cavitiesでの確認（Cavityの交換？）。
- USでのsingle cell でのR\＆Dの推進（IS Cavityの貸与？）。

2）Demonstration of the high gradient by 9 －cell cavities（2006）
－2台のICHIRO cavityを使い，単セルrecipeを9－cellにfeedback（2006R\＆D変更）。
－LL 9－cell shapeの改良（2006R\＆D項目）。
3）International Study of Yield Statistics by 9－cell cavities ${ }^{\top}$ 20087－2009

# KEK cavity fabrication capacity 

KEK in-house: ICHIRO 4 cavities
2005 Jan-May, one cavity/month
10 cavities(without HPVC)/year

MHI Production: STF 35MV/m 4 cavities 2005 Aug-Dec, 1-1.5 cavities/month 40 cavities ( without HPVC)/year 20 cavities (with HPVC)/year

## Capacity of preparation

- CBP : 4-10 days (depends on EBW), Capa. 2 cavities
- Light etching(BCP) + HPR : One day
- Annealing $750^{\circ} \mathrm{C}: 3$ days, Capa. 2 cavities
- Pre-tuning: 3 days, Capa. 2 cavities
- EP 80 micron + HPR: 3 days
- Cavity Assembly: 3hr
- Baking + Vac. Evacuation : 3 days

Total: 17.2 - 23.2 days

## Capacity of Vertical Test

- Evacuation @ Test stand : 2 - 3 days
- Vertical test: 2 days
- Warm up: 2 days


## One test/one week

## Vertical test stand at Asia

- Present
- KEK AR-east
- one 9-cell dewar
- one single-cell dewar
- One vacant pit
- 2007~
- KEK STF one 9-cell (not yet budgeted)
- PAL SC facility ??
- IHEP China ??

