

TDR 17th Sept Snapshot Addressing EC Comments

John Carwardine
1 October 2012



Broad comments

- Dramatic progress has been made since the July snapshot. If similar progress is made by Arlington, will be a v. good document
- The general tone in the document isn't always convincing enough that the technology is mature and that we're ready to construct
 - Up front, need to be declarative of success and readiness
 - Some of the text and highlights could easily leave the reader with the impression that we're definitely not ready
- Too many layers of 'Introduction' and 'Summary' make reading the document monotonous in some places

These items should be addressed through the top-level editing



Intros, SCRF, Main Linac

- Common Preamble, Introductions to TDR1&2 (Brian)
 - Already iterated several times (Intros), and still need to reconcile differing perspectives
 - Brian + Barry will revise
- TDR1 SCRF (Mike, Eckhard)
 - Eckhard is heavily editing
 - Comments from Mike will be forthcoming
 - Add the Marx Modulator from TDR2 to HLRF R&D
- TDR2 Main Linac + site variants (Nick)
 - Nick is heavily editing, EC comments being integrated



Post-TDR

- TDR1 Post-TDR R&D (Barry)
 - Very important chapter, politically
 - Has been extensively worked on
 - Need to ensure we've had input from the Post-TDR LC Leadership. What's the process for this?
- TDR2 Scope of Post-TDR Engineering (Marc, Barry)
 - Very important chapter, politically
 - Need to ensure we've had input from the Post-TDR LC Leadership. What's the process for this? (Barry)
 - Not written yet <u>must be finished for Arlington</u> (Marc)



Cost & Schedule

- C&S: Schedule section (who to follow up?)
 - Poorly written + needs lot of additional technical content
 - EU site centric, nothing on Japanese sites
 - Needs to be fixed by Arlington
- C&S: Costing (EC + Gerry)
 - Detailed 80-page write-up for cost review but far too detailed for TDR + lot's of sensitive information
 - EC will make policy decision on level of detail for TDR
 - Gerry will generate condensed version for TDR



Accelerator Systems, Upgrade & Staging, Evolution of Design

- TDR Accelerator Systems R&D (Kaoru)
 - Address detailed comments from Toshiaki
- TDR2 Accelerator Systems design chapters (Nan)
 - Address detailed comments from Toshiaki
- Evolution of the Design (Maura)
 - Remove from TDR1, add to TDR2 as an Appendix
- TDR2 Possible Upgrade and Staging Options (EC)
 - Requires discussion with physics community at Arlington meeting, eg on Higgs factories, Giga-z
 - Leave as-is for Arlington, revise as needed thereafter



- All three would need a lot of work!!
 - In the worst case, the bare minimum needed are cost bases of estimates to include in C&S chapter as needed
- Installation + Handling Equipment (Marc)
 - Heavily EU centric, don't include Japanese site
 - Set a deadline for completion: 10 days before LCWS?
- Alignment (who to follow up?)
 - Mostly describes 'standard accelerator alignment'
 - ILC-specific alignment issues are mainly related to CM
 - Eliminate Alignment as a stand-alone section
 - Add a subsection on cavity and CM alignment to CM Integration section of ML Chapter



Beam Test Facilities

- FLASH (John)
 - Address detailed comments
 - Update with results from Sept 9mA studies run
- NML (TLE)
 - Doesn't paint an overall positive picture
- CesrTA (Kaoru)
 - Address detailed comments
- ATF2 (Kaoru)
 - "Needs to be updated with results from Oct-Dec run"
 - How to reconcile timeline with Arlington and PAC?



Technical

- Important questions
 - What can be accomplished from the technical editing and presentation perspective by the end of Arlington
 - Timelines for for freezing the content
- Triage the known open items, eg
 - Inconsistent use of terms and terminology, eg
 Baseline or Reference Design, or something else,...
 - Size and legibility of Figures and Tables
 - References
 - Formatting, style, page layouts, etc



Top-level editing

- TLE Quartet:
 - TDR1: Harrison, Carwardine
 - TDR2: Foster, Walker

...with technical editing support from Maura and Benno

- A 1,000m look at the entire TDR for overall tone, selfconsistency, level of detail, language, etc
 - Likely will need specific help of editors
- No time left for long drawn-out editing iterations
 - Propose that TLEQ make final editing decisions on the content of the draft submitted to EC
- Starts right away, so we need to collectively be on the same page with which sections/chapters are not yet stable