Japanese Test Beam 2012 Preliminary Analysis

Outline

- The Data
 - Limited, due to traveling computer
- Preliminary results
 - Distortions
 - Resolution vs drift
- Main issues
 - Charge vs drift

The Data

- One data set: Z scan, 2.5 modules, 20,000events/run
 - 11runs, z=2.5 to 50cm
- Updated GEAR file (from pad plane measurements)
- Reconstructed with MarlinTPC
 - Tracking with TrackMakingKalmanFilterProcessor
- Only track hits information
 - Data reconstructed in DESY
- Track selection:
 - 1 track in event
 - Momentum cut (drift dependent for consistent efficiency, to be better understood)

Residuals



Distortions



Large distortions between GEM plates The distortions do no depend on the drift length

Distortions



Naive correction (subtract polynomial fit) There is a remaining structure (still GEAR file?)

Resolution



The resolution is not as good as in 2010 (lower gain, higher noise?) However, the fit does not look good ($\sigma_0^2 < 0$)

Main issue: Charge vs drift



The effect did not seem to depend on O2 content Possibly due to clustering cutting tails because of high noise Has to be investigated on raw data

Outlook

- The data looks promising in spite of a missing ¹/₂module
- GEAR file has been improved
- The resolution looks good, but needs to be better understood
- Analysis computer, with data, coming back
- Analysis meeting next week to start more serious analysis

Backup

Corrected resolution?



If we assume the charge decay correspond effectively to a loss of electrons (e.g. O2 absorption), Neff and sigma0 are very similar to 2010

Main issue: Charge vs drift



The hit charge distributions look OK (~Landau)