Some progresses of SSA study 13th September 2013 K. Kotera Shinshu University For Physics-Software meeting of ILD-Asia

1



- JEK is improved than previous my result w/o Birk's law.
- Even whe Birk's law, jet energy resolution by myself degrades than John's result w/o Birk's law

uds jet energy: 5 x 5 x 2 mm³ Sc



Resolution

uds jet energy: 5 x 5 x 2 mm³ Sc



Resolution

- ScECAL issues were implemented in v01-16-02 and Hcal Ring gap problem in ILDCaloDigi which found by John Marshall was fixed.
- good jet energy resolution with both 1 mm and 2 mm thick scintillator tile layers.

uds jet energy: 45 x 5 mm² Sc

Resolution



 45 mm x 5 mm x 1 mm strip based ScECAL also has good energy resolution without so large degrading from 5 mm x 5 mm ScECAL .

uds jet energy: 45 x 5 x 2 mm³ Sc

Resolution



- 45 mm x 5 mm x 1 mm strip based ScECAL also has good energy resolution without so large degrading from 5 mm x 5 mm ScECAL
- Comparing with previous my result, degrading ratio of JER with SSA from 5x5 mm² tile is reduced with factor of 2.

← Calibration toward strip ECAL with SSA

Summary and plan

- 45 x 5 mm² strip ScECAL with SSA has almost similar energy resolution for z->q qbar (uds) events up to 500 GeV center of mass energy to the case of 5 x 5 mm² tile ScECAL
- Absolute difference of energy resolution (σ /E) between them is 0.1%.
- Plan
 - is this kept until 1 TeV center of mass energy?
 - go to study with higher energy jet.
 - a small difference with SiECAL at 500 GeV center of mass even with tile ScECAL.

 \rightarrow John has shown the stand alone photon clustering can remove this \rightarrow I will try it.

 It's already shown that particle separations are not different in both tile ScECAL and strip ScECAL with SSA except 10 -20 mm particle distance.

 \rightarrow Is this reasonable? \rightarrow See MC true

- Distribute this analysis parameters to
 - Study of Hybrid ECAL, Study of tau decay. ...