# Proposal: Benchmarking for the ILD optimisation study

J.List

ILD Meeting @ LCWS

Belgrade, October 8, 2014

### Goals of further Simulation Studies

### Open physics case questions

- High-level perspective
- Ultimate luminosity requirements
- Polarisation sharing
- Not yet (fully) demonstrated key measurements
- => interplay with running strategy & accelerator & detectors

## Detector issues not yet studied (sufficiently)

- Calibration & alignment
  - => need for Z pole running?
  - => machine implications!
- Systematic uncertainties
- PID, low momentum particles...

## Detector cost justification (reduction?)

- shrink overall size?
- Ecal technology?
- Why a TPC?

**–** ...

### Change requests from machine

- $L^* = 4.4 \text{ m} \rightarrow 4.0 \text{ m}$ ?
- Crossing angle 14mrad -> 10mrad ?
- => cf Yokoya-San's presentation & MDI session

# Strategy for Detector & Physics Benchmarking

- 1-1 relation between physics measurement and one specific detector performance aspect is *rare*
- ⇒can we factorize the two?
- Physics studies:
  - formulate requirements on various detector performance aspects, ideally "partial derivative"
  - this includes requirements on controlling systematics
- Detector benchmarking:
  - Test a comprehensive list of performance aspects for various detector configurations

## Strategy for Factorisation

### Detector-level performance

- Efficiencies, resolutions etc
- Study for O(3-4) detector models in full simulation

### Example: Particle ID

- Determine actual capabilities in FullSim
- Study impact on analyses by varying PID efficiencies & fake rates in SGV

### Physics performance

- ILD\_o1 full simulation: reference analysis
- Where ever possible: determine relative impact of
  - efficiencies
  - resolutions
  - systematic uncertainties

in SGV or cheated full sim

## Optimisation benchmarks - Detector Level -

- Hermeticity:
  - for high E (>90% $E_{heam}$ ?)  $e^{+-}/\gamma$
  - for "normal" e,  $\mu$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\pi$ , n
- Calorimetry:
  - Jet energy resolution, including 5 < E<sub>iet</sub> < 50 GeV</li>
  - Photon energy & angle resolution
  - Bhabha reconstruction
- Tracking system:
  - Efficiency, fake rate
  - $-\sigma(1/p_t), \sigma_{IP}$
  - Vertex efficiency, resolution
  - Jet charge
  - Flavour tag

- Low momentum particles (p<sub>+</sub> = 0.1....2 GeV):
  - Tracking efficiency,  $\sigma(1/p_t)$ ,  $\sigma_{IP}$
  - Calorimeter detection efficiency
- Particle ID (dE/dx & calo)
  - $e/\mu/\pi^{+-}/p/K/n/\pi^0/\gamma$
  - Low p<sub>t</sub> and "normal"
  - Particle ID in jets
- Exclusive decay mode reconstruction:
  - τ leptons
  - B, D hadrons

## Optimisation benchmarks - Physics Level -

### m<sub>H</sub> from ee->vvH->vvbb

- JER
- $\pi^0$  reconstruction
- b-tag, I in jet, excl. B decays
- JES, b-tag, had., frag, neutral hadrons fraction uncertainties

Similar, but for "light jets": m<sub>w</sub> from ee->evW->evqq

### A<sub>FB</sub> (top)

- JER, lepton ID, b-tag
- Jet charge, excl. B-decays,

### **Higgs CP properties H->ττ**

- τ reconstruction
- PID, Exclusive decay modes
- momentum & impact parameter

### **Near-degenerate Higgsinos**

- Reco of low momentum particles
- Fake tracks
- PID, Exclusive decay modes
- Hermeticity
- Low and high-energy photon energy & angle resolution

#### **Mono-photon WIMPs**

Photon energy resolution & scale, hermeticity, suppression of Bhabhas, dL/dE<sub>CM</sub>

## First Testcase: Hermeticity

- Two changes in the pipeline
  - L\*: we have been asked to evaluate how far can reduce L\*
  - Smaller crossing angle 14 -> 10 mrad: this is an offer from the machine side – but will only come if we quantify the benefits
- In both cases:
  - Study hermeticity for e, y, mu, hadrons in various configurations
  - Quantify impact of loss / gain of hermeticity for physics analyses
- => Understand "parameter space" around the optimum, take informed decision

## News from the ILD Analysis WG

- Started to collect an up to date list of ongoing activities – will help to channel newcomers to places where they're most urgently needed
  - please check <a href="http://agenda.linearcollider.org/getFile.py/access?">http://agenda.linearcollider.org/getFile.py/access?</a> contribId=6&resId=0&materialId=slides&confId=6526
  - Email comments / additions to jenny.list@desy.de
- Started a subgroup on systematic uncertainties led by M. Vos, G. Wilson + NN (Higgs/flavour tag)

## Your comments?