# CONSTRUCTING PIO FINDER

Masakazu Kurata

08/22/2014

#### INTRODUCTION

- For flavor tagging improvement
  - Vertex mass is the key to separate heavy/light flavor vertex
  - Many pi0s will escape from B/D vertex  $\rightarrow$  checked that using MC truth
  - Mass resolution will be degrade due to escaping neutrals
  - Is there possibility to recover pi0s which escape from vertices?

o Building  $\pi^0$  finder – many components are necessary

- Gamma finder using shower profile in calorimeters
- $\pi^{0}$  finder solving gamma pairing
- Vertex finder which vertex is the  $\pi^{0}$  coming?

Second step is to reconstruct pi0s – pairing of 2 gammas

- Similar to jet pairing
- Using Bayesian approach(naïve Bayes)
- Checking good pairing eff. & mis-pairing eff.

#### GAMMA PAIRING Using naïve Bayes

# • Posterior probability: $P(\pi^{0}|x) = \frac{P(x|\pi^{0}) \cdot P(\pi^{0})}{P(x)} = \frac{P(x|\pi^{0}) \cdot P(\pi^{0})}{P(x|\pi^{0}) \cdot P(\pi^{0}) + P(x|wrong) \cdot P(wrong)}$

• Identify as gamma pair from pi0 with  $P(\pi^0|x)$ >threshold (need to optimize)

• Key point: pi0 decay kinematics

$$m_{\pi^0}^2 = 2E_{\gamma 1}E_{\gamma 2}(1-\cos\theta)$$

So, 2gammas' variables are highly correlated

Avoid mis-pairing when many gammas are located in very small area

- In many case, pi0s are flying in same direction!
- So far, no very nice idea…

#### FOR THIS ANALYSIS

# Introducing 2D-likelihood

- Includes correlation effect
- $E(\gamma 1)+E(\gamma 2)$  v.s.  $\theta$  &  $E(\gamma 2)$  v.s.  $\theta$
- p.d.f.s from these distributions





• Distribution of other gammas inside the cone of decay angle

To avoid mis-pairing of gammas located in small area









# PROBLEM OF PIO RECONSTRUCTION IN THE EVENTS

- o Pi0 reconstruction: maximize likelihood(minimize  $\chi^2$ ) globally in the event
- If, num. of pi0s in the event is known, it is very easy!
- o Big problem: num. of piOs in the event is a free parameter!!!
- So, trivial answer to meet the condition(maximum likelihood) IS:
  →no pi0s in the event!! (Likelihood is of course 0(max)!)
- To avoid it: impose a penalty for unpaired gammas • So define the information criterion:  $IC = 2\sum \log I(\pi^0) + k \cdot N(ampaired x)$ 
  - $IC = -2\sum \log L(\pi^0) + k \cdot N(unpaired \gamma)$

• Gamma pairing is performed according to IC:

→minimize IC

If k(>0.0) is large, pairing of gammas is boosted
 →it is necessary to optimize k!

# K OPTIMIZATION SO FAR

K will be set at the point where num. of pi0s are almost same as the capacity of pi0 reconstruction matched with MC truth
 Set k = 0.03 · log N(γ)



#### RESULTS

# • Good pairing eff. & mis-pairing eff.

	Correct pair	Wrong pair
eff. (%)	46.0±0.3	54.0±0.4

- Bad pairing eff. is the problem…
  - When gammas are located in small area
  - In many case, gammas tend to jam in small area

 Need to check the degradation when neutral hadrons are contaminated

#### CHECKING KINEMATICS

#### • Pi0 decay kinematics





# MC truth Pi0 finder

### TODO

• Method to minimization(maximization)

- Do I really get minimized IC?  $\rightarrow$  algorithm for minimization
- IC is a good estimator?

o I don't know how to improve gamma pairing eff....

• Only  ${\sim}50\%$  so far