## Strip AHCAL performance and test beam results

16th December 2014 Reima Terada Shinshu University

### Motivation

- Pixel size of Digital HCAL is 10mm x10mm so far.
- Digital HCAL has good capability for position measurement.
- Analog HCAL has good performance on energy resolution.
- $\cdot$  and Semi-digital HCAL is being developed.
- We think another way to make 10mm x 10mm segmented "full analog" HCAL by using scintillator strip technology.
- · potentially good performance is expected.
- Challenge is to apply the strip splitting algorithm to the MIP like tracks close in hadron and EM cluster.

## Strip AHCAL

- We choose 90mm x 10mm scintillator because it covers the same area 9cm<sup>2</sup> as 30mm x 30mm tile AHCAL.
- Strip directions are orthogonal to those in the neighboring layers.
- Effective segmentation is 10mm x 10mm.
- Further improvement is expected with tile layers between strip layers. (alternative)



# Particle separation

Fraction of events successfully reconstructed as two  $K_L$  events v.s. particle distance.

Reconstructed energy of 10 GeV K<sub>L</sub> injected together 30 GeV  $\pi^+$  v.s. particle distance.



less than 200mm distance, 10mm x 10mm segmentation makes better separation than 30mm x 30mm.

## uds jet simulation and other

- $\cdot\,$  uds Jet simulation on going
- need Optimize Parameter
  - $\cdot$  detector parameter
    - · calibration
    - $\cdot$  time window
    - · etc.
  - · Sc size
    - $\cdot$  10x10mm<sup>2</sup>, 15x15mm<sup>2</sup> tile
    - $\cdot$  90x10mm<sup>2</sup>, 180x10mm<sup>2</sup> strip
    - altanative option
      (90x10mm<sup>2</sup> with 30x30mm<sup>2</sup>)
  - · PFA parameter





### Test Beam

#### Strip AHCAL

#### · CERN PS TB at Oct. 2014

· 4 Layers

DAQ system
 EASIROC-NIM MODULE

 $\cdot$  Run stand alone



### MPPC and scintillator



1600pixel MPPC 1cable has 9ch



#### enveloped in Kimoto reflector film

#### length 180mm



width 10mm thickness 2mm with WLSF

#### length 90mm

# **Scintillator Layer** trip layer x2 90mm strip layer x2

#### 180mm strip layer x2





18ch



4layer 108ch no absorber use in this test beam





# LED calibration at Lab



separation 1p.e., 2p.e.

#### channel status Map

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### channel status Map

ipnijūuoj

91

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### MIP event display

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

0<sub>0</sub>

![](_page_12_Figure_4.jpeg)

Hit Position

### Analysis status

- $\cdot\,$  took 33M events at beam time.
- · half of 90mm strips can separate MIP peak.
- all 180mm strips and other 90mm strips cannot separate MIP peak.
- · dead channels are 6ch.
- now try temperature correction.
- try MIP analysis of all data, apply SSA, extract det.eff. and response uniformity

### Next Test Beam Plan

- · SPS test beam (next year)
  - · Use HBU (strip Ver.)
  - 2mm Sc -> 3mm Sc (TDR thickness)
  - · New MPPC
  - $\cdot$  with absorber
  - take muon and pion data

![](_page_14_Picture_7.jpeg)

strip HB

15

tile HBU

## Summery

- $\cdot\,$  We are developing strip AHCAL.
- Strip AHCAL has potential to have good position resolution.
- $\cdot$  need to study the simulation more.
- · took a lot of data at CERN Test Beam Oct. 2014.
- $\cdot$  analysis of test beam data on going.
- · plan next test beam at SPS next year

# Back Up

### Strip Splitting Algorithm(SSA)

 SSA recovers better position resolution to strip direction.

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

18

### Alternative option

- Further improvement is expected with tile layers between strip layers.
- · We install it to ILD detector model.

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

30mm x 30mm simulation on going

# Jet Energy Resolution

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

With default PandoraPFA parameters

10mm x10mm segmentation results are similar to 30mm x 30mm tiles.

need optimization of parameters

simulation is on going

#### setup

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_0.jpeg)

# <sup>90</sup>Sr test

put <sup>90</sup>Sr at center of strip

#### with EASIROC

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

MIP peak = 18p.e. MIP peak = 8p.e. decide operation voltage set 90mm 3.5V 180mm  $4V_{23}$ 

#### events

date	event	
	5 852 760	
	$J_0J_1/00$	
	4,491,974	
1012	4,491,974	
1013	3,694,628	
1014	3,072,119	
1015	3,466,431	
1016	1,908,871	
1017		blackout
8101	1,656,375	
1019	2,838,432	
1020	1,807,382	
1021	5,010,846	
sum	33,799,818	

### Uniformity of response

with camas ADC by Tsuzuki

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

## EASIROC-NIM MODULE

- · for MPPC
- $\cdot\,$  developed by KEK and OSAKA University
- $\cdot$  EASIROC Chip x2
- · 64 ch / module
- $\cdot$  + ADC, HV power supply
- · settable Individual bias voltage, gain, shaping time
- $\cdot$  controlled by PC via Ethernet
- power needs 6V (NIM or AC adapter)

![](_page_25_Picture_9.jpeg)

## EASIROC chip

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### peak hold

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### DAQ Φ õ -6-•0 ÷ Connectors (modules) Conned (senso -68 Φ ¢. Θ 12 cables 400r 108 channels Max Height = 11mm LEMO Connector Holes PINs

64 channels x 2 Easiroc-NIM module

## Trigger and DAQ

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### cell size vs Jet Energy Resolution

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Uniformity of response

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

# <sup>90</sup>Sr test

put <sup>90</sup>Sr at center of strip

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

event

with EASIROC