

#### The SCIPP Simulation Group

Bruce Schumm, Pl

<u>Undergraduate Researchers:</u>

**Christopher Milke, leader** 

George Courcoubetis (graduated)
Luc D'Hauthuille
Alix Feinsod (Computer Science)
Olivia Johnson
Jane Shtalenkova

#### **Motivation**

Original SiD  $L^*$  was 3.5m (SiDLOI3); in interest of a common ILD/SiD  $L^*$ , we have explored the effect of increasing this to 4.1m

Also, interest in effects/necessity of anti-did field in light of new BeamCal plug region designs

**Explored effect on Vertex Detector occupancy** 

May be fait accompli at this point but worth noting the effect.

#### **Tools**

**GEANT4** implemented via SLIC geometry wrapper

Geometry implemented with LCSIM GeomConverter package

**SLCIO** output analyzed within LCSIM framework


Note: the pairbackground stdhep files were provided by Anne Schuetz (DESY), and were simulated on the GRID by Jan Strube (PNNL)

#### **Geometry Clarifications**


Interaction Region has been realigned to be concentric about the outgoing beampipe

Shifting in L\* means moving BeamCal and Forward Low Z mask, and lengthening the Forward M1 mask


#### **IR Layout**



#### **BeamCal Face Geometry Options**



## Incidence of pair backgrounds on BeamCal with and without "anti-DiD" field



Tom Markiewicz, SLAC

#### Tom Markiewicz, SLAC

|                  | No DID |        | AntiDID |        |
|------------------|--------|--------|---------|--------|
|                  | # Hits | Energy | #Hits   | Energy |
| Out 3cm exit     | 17.9%  | 78.4%  | 81.9%   | 85.4%  |
| Out 2cm entrance | 1.8%   | 0.4%   | 0.6%    | 0.3%   |
| Hit the plug     | 74.9%  | 15.2%  | 6.7%    | 2.8%   |
| Outside the plug | 5.4%   | 6.0%   | 10.9%   | 11.4%  |

#### Conclusion:

- •The Anti-DID really only helps the plug region between the beam pipes
- •Without the plug to create secondaries, VXD backgrounds should be LESS with no Anti-DID and radiation dose to BEAMCAL should be less

This study for a BeamCal at 3m, but as exit hole size will scale with distance, should be true regardless of final layout

#### **Performance Studies**

#### **Vertex Detector**

Bunch-by-bunch occupancy per layer Mean occupancy vs phi (barrel) and R (endcap)

#### **BeamCal**

- Explore efficiency vs. radius for identifying 50 GeV electrons
- •For selection for which 10% of beam crossings mistakenly identify an electron
- Factorize into "geometric" (acceptance) and "instrumental" (S/B) efficiency

#### **Configurations Explored**

Nominal: L\* = 4.1m; no antiDiD; plug in place

Then, relative to Nominal:

**Small L\*: L\* = 3.5m** 

**AntiDID: Include antiDiD field** 

Small L\* AntiDID: L\* = 3.5m with antiDiD field

Wedge: Remove BeamCal plug

**Circle:** Remove additional BeamCal coverage as shown in prior slide.

#### **Vertex Detector Configurations**

We have studied occupancy as a function of two aspects of the VXD readout architecture

#### Pixel size


- 15 x 15 microns<sup>2</sup>
- $-30 \times 30 \text{ microns}^2$

#### Integration time


- 1 beam crossing
- 5 beam crossings

# Vertex Detector Results

# Nominal IR Geometry Occupancy Distributions (Barrel)



# Nominal IR Geometry Occupancy Distributions (Endcap)




#### We note that:


- Pulse-by-pulse variation is small
- Occupancy only appreciable for largest pixel size (30x30) and greatest integration time (5 Bx)
- Inner layer (0) dominates occupancy in barrel
- Inner layer (0) characteristic of occupancy in endcap
- •Study IR configuration dependence with layer 0 (both endcap and barrel) for 30x30 pixel integrating over 5 Bx.

In terms of: azimuthal dependence in barrel; radial dependence in endcap


#### Barrel: Mean Occupancy vs. Phi




#### Endcap: Mean Occupancy vs. R



#### Vertex Occupancy Dependence on L\* Configuration




#### Vertex Occupancy Dependence on Anti-did Field



Phi (radians)


3

#### Occupancy Dependence on Plug Geometry




### Beam Cal Results


#### BeamCal Efficiency vs. Radius



Difference due solely to loss of coverage?




#### BeamCal Efficiency L\* Dependence




larger L\* consistently displays higher efficiency

BeamCal Efficiency L\* Dependence Factorized



#### BeamCal Efficiency anti-did Dependence




anti-did field does improve efficiency with plug in place




# Forward Electromagnetic Calorimeter Kpix Buffer Depth Study

#### **Event Types Included**


#### Pair Backgrounds






Gamma-gamma to Hadron

#### BhaBha






Low Cross-section

#### Incremental Occupancy Rates Over a Full Train





#### <u>Summary</u>

#### Vertex:

Occupancy always less than 2x10^-3; general less than 2x10

Small dependence on L\*

With plug in place, anti-did improves occupancy

Removing plug entirely provides 20-40% reduction in occupancy

#### **BeamCal**:

Efficiency improves with larger L\*

Anti-did field improves efficiency

Cutting out plug region reduces acceptance

#### Forward Ecal:

With buffer depth of 4, losing 10^-3 events

Further studies coming soon

#### Backup