Tau reconstruction for reduced ECAL size

Trong Hieu TRAN

Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet,

Ecole polytechnique, CNRS/IN2P3

Outline:

- Tau decay modes
- Analysis procedures
- Comparison between ILD models (baseline vs reduced radius)

Tau decay modes

Tau jet reconstruction: a crucial key for an estimation of detector performance.
Tau jet is compact. Separation of photons in final state is essential.

5, 7 tracks: very infrequent



Topologically: 3 decay modes (1,3,5-prong)
1-prong: single charged pion and any number of π^0
3-prong: $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+$

Final state	Branching fraction
$e^-\bar{\nu}_e\nu_\tau$	$17.85 \pm 0.05\%$
$\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu\nu_\tau$	$17.36 \pm 0.05\%$
$\pi^-\nu_\tau$	$10.91 \pm 0.07\%$
$\rho^-\nu_\tau\ (\rho^- \to \pi^-\pi^0)$	$25.52 \pm 0.10\%$
$a_1^-\nu_\tau\ (a_1^- \to \pi^-\pi^0\pi^0)$	$9.27 \pm 0.12\%$
$a_1^-\nu_\tau\ (a_1^- \to \pi^-\pi^+\pi^-)$	$8.99 \pm 0.06\%$
24 other modes	10.10%

Branching fraction of main decays

This analysis:
consider only 1-prong decay

$\pi^-\nu_\tau$	0 photon
$\rho^-\nu_\tau\ (\rho^- \to \pi^-\pi^0)$	2 photons
$a_1^-\nu_\tau\ (a_1^- \to \pi^-\pi^0\pi^0)$	4 photons

Samples

DBD generators $e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \tau^- \tau^+$
at 250 GeV C.M. energy
(mixed with $e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \mu^- \mu^+$
→ preselection of τ events using generator informations)

τ energy ~ 125 GeV





Tau-tau invariant mass

- Two independent Tau-decay are used (double statistics)



The two tau's are back-to-back in the Z-rest frame



Angle cluster-tau1
Vs angle cluster-tau2

Simulation & reconstruction

Softwares
Ilcsoft v01-17-06, Mokka-08-04
Garlic v3.0.2

- Baseline ILD design (DBD): **SiW ECAL**, **R_{ECAL}^{inner} = 1843 mm**
- Alternative setup: **R_{ECAL}^{inner} = 1450 mm**
- Reduced TPC radius → ECAL, HCAL, Yoke, … radii are reduced
- Keep same aspect ratio: Radius/Length (→ for a reduced radius, the length is reduced as well)
- Other configurations unchanged (cell size, thicknesses)



Nb of reconstructed tracks with baseline ILD and with reduced TPC radius
→ **no difference**

- Garlic (v3.0.2) is used for photon reconstruction
- however its cuts are not used but some simple cuts based on track-cluster distance & cluster energy
- Strategy:
  - preselection based on MC info: choose only **1-prong** decays
  - $|\cos(\theta)|^{tau} < 0.7$
  - photon in tau direction within 0.15 rad
  - sample with only **one track** in tau direction



Photon selection:

photonE vs distance to track





Aim to remove fake clusters at low energy or from pion

For the moments:
All cuts are the same for ECAL**(R=1843)** and ECAL**(R=1450)**



$E > 4e3/d^2 + 0.5$
d : distance track-cluster



Comparison track-cluster distance at ECAL surface
1843 vs 1450

Photon selection: fake EM clusters

- Fake clusters created from interaction with detector
- "Asymmetry" of energy very close to 1

$$\text{Asymmetry} = |E_{\gamma 1} - E_{\gamma 2}| / (E_{\gamma 1} + E_{\gamma 2})$$



Example of photon invariant mass vs asymmetry



Angle photon-photon

Choose to merge closest clusters with asymmetry close to 1.

Number of reconstructed photons



$R_{ECAL}^{(inner)}$ = 1843 mm



$R_{ECAL}^{(inner)}$ = 1450 mm

Decay mode known from MC info.
Look at samples with different number of reconstructed photons.
If everything is fine: **πν**: 0 photon, **ρν**: 2 photons, **a_1ν**: 4 photons.

Cut definition: Invariant mass $\pi^+\gamma(s)$





Invariant mass for R_{ECAL} = 1843 mm for **different number of reconstructed photons**







Cut definition: Invariant mass $\pi^{+}\gamma(s)$

- **In the case of 1 reconstructed photon:**
  - for **$\rho\nu$** & **$a_1\nu$** decays, photon is real (from π^0)
  - **$\pi\nu$** : cluster created from radiation → invariant mass can take any value
-



→ events with reconstructed mass (π + photons) > M_τ are identified as **$\pi\nu$** decay

Cut definition: Invariant mass γ(s)







- **2 reconstructed photons:**
  - $a_1\nu$: 2 photons have been lost.
- **3 reconstructed photons:**
  - $\rho\nu$: at least one photon is fake $\rightarrow \pi^0$ mass
  - $a_1\nu$: 1 photon is lost, however, photon invariant mass is mostly far from π^0 mass
- **4 reconstructed photons:**
  - similar criteria is applied

Comparison: R_{ECAL}=1843 vs R_{ECAL}=1450 mm





$R_{ECAL}^{(inner)}$ = 1843 mm
$R_{ECAL}^{(inner)}$ = 1400 mm

Reconstructed tau jet invariant mass for known decay modes.
Slight difference between radii 1843 and 1450 mm.
(Same cuts are used.)

Comparison: R_{ECAL}=1843 vs R_{ECAL}=1450 mm

Nb of rec photons : pinu



Nb of rec photons : rhonu



$R_{ECAL}^{(inner)}$ = 1843 mm

$R_{ECAL}^{(inner)}$ = 1400 mm

Reconstructed π^0 mass

R_{ECAL}=1843 vs R_{ECAL}=1450 mm





- Nice peak photon-photon (π^0) invariant mass
- tail due to high π^0 energies
- Good signal/background



Reconstruction efficiency



Tau final state reconstruction efficiency
shown in percent
for R_{ECAL} = 1843 and R_{ECAL} = 1450 mm

Slight difference in term of efficiency for two ECAL models.
This is due to smaller distance between photons for reduced radius
BUT also: cuts are determined for R=1843 for the moment!

Summary

- Tau decay mode reconstruction (E_{tau} **~ 125 GeV** *which is equivalent to taus in ZH, H → $\tau^+\tau^-$ at 500 GeV cms*) being investigated using Garlic v3.0.2 (ilcsoft v01-17-06).
- Nice mass peaks observed
- High reconstruction efficiency even with a reduced detector size
- Comparison between ILD with ECAL of radii 1843 and 1450 mm
shows slight difference! (less than 5% in term of reconstruction efficiency)
- Result for R_{ECAL} = 1450 is quite comparable with M. Reinhard's analysis
→ with a reduced ECAL size, we would still be able to measure CP violation via decay $H \rightarrow \tau\,\tau$

[%]	π^{sim}	ρ^{sim}	a_1^{sim}
π^{rec}	95.9	2.8	0.6
ρ^{rec}	3.9	90.8	11.2
a_1^{rec}	0.1	6.1	86.8
not identified	0.1	0.3	1.4

J.C. Brient, ILD meeting 2010

ee → ZH, H → ττ, cm E = 360 GeV

0.1 ± 0.0	9.9 ± 0.3	84.5 ± 2.2
3.3 ± 0.3	84.4 ± 1.2	13.1 ± 0.7
96.3 ± 1.9	4.0 ± 0.2	0.7 ± 0.1

R^{inner}_{ECAL}=1450 mm

ee → Z → ττ, cm E = 250 GeV

Extra slides

Number of rec photons [%]



$R_{ECAL}^{(inner)}$ = 1843 mm



$R_{ECAL}^{(inner)}$ = 1450 mm

Rec efficiency vs cosTheta



Slight dependence on |cosθ|

Effect of distance-energy cut



Example (1)



R_{ECAL} = 1400 mm



R_{ECAL} = 1843 mm

Example (2)



DRUID, RunNum = 0, EventNum = 34
R_{ECAL} = 1400 mm
RunNum = 0, EventNum = 34
R_{ECAL} = 1843 mm