HIGGS SELF−COUPLING ANALYSIS WITH H→WW*

Masakazu Kurata 05/29/2015

STATUS

- Vertex finding
 - Check using c jets
 - Compare Nominal & AVF+BNess algorithm
 - check BNess tagger fake track rejection bias

- Study for jet clustering
 - Study jet structure
 - Trying to distinguish quark & gluon jets
 - Trying to catch any hints
 - So far, no hint can be obtained…

VERTEX FINDING OF CJETS

- Common parameters are set at same values for comparison
- Same event sample(ccHH sample) 35763 events
- o 6 jet clustering, jet matching with MCtruth is performed
- Num. of vertices

method	cjet with 2vtx	cjet with 1+1vtx	cjet with 1vtx	total
Nominal Algorithm	339	941	28327	29607
AVF&BNess	666	1062	30506	32233

- Total: ∼9% increased
- Vertex mis-ID eff. is increased
 - Though num. of vertices is small
 - →need additional selection? (e.g.)vertex mass?)

• Fake rate per vtx: so far under investigation

method	cjet with 2vtx	cjet with 1+1vtx	cjet with 1vtx
Nominal Algorithm	0.00±0.00	0.08±0.01	0.04±0.001
AVF&BNess	0.00±0.00	0.09±0.01	0.04±0.001

FOR JET CLUSTERING

- Try to separate quark and gluon jets
 - Start from 20 jet clustering using Durham(qqHH \rightarrow qqbbbb sample)
 - Separate candidates of quark core jets and gluon jets
 - Construct the separator(first trial…)
 - Can separate well

```
But, this classifier can't be identified core jets
Perfectly…
```

- o Especially, low energy quark jet fragment into small energy partons & flying in different direction
- When some quarks are going in same direction
- So, jet clustering can't catch the correct direction of all quark jets
 - e.g.) durham 6 jet clustering for $qqHH \rightarrow qqbbbb$ events

	Good events	Bad events	
Durham	0.686 ± 0.01	0.314±0.007	

• Can we improve this??



4