$BR(h \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-)$ Study Status

Shin-ichi Kawada Hiroshima University

Asian Physics & Software Meeting (2015/Jun./5)

Recent Work

- MC stat. error estimation
 - some processes have large stat., and some processes have limited stat.
 - anyway, we can estimate the error of MC stat.

MC Stat. Error Estimation

can be estimated by binominal distribution

$$-\Delta \varepsilon = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}{N_G}} \text{ (ε: efficiency, N_G: # of MC]}$$
events)

$$-\Delta n = N_G \Delta \varepsilon = \sqrt{n \left(1 - \frac{n}{N_G}\right)}$$
 (n: # of remained MC events)

- If n = 0, I estimated with using Poisson distribution with CL = 68%.: $n = 0^{+1.8410}_{-0}$

Ex: qqh250 Cut-based Analysis

- $N_{sig} = 1016.0 \pm 3.1$
- Background
 - $-N(qqh, other) = 3.99 \pm 0.75, N(llh, nnh) = 29.0 \pm 2.0$
 - $-N(2f) = 4.8^{+24.4}_{-2.5}, N(4f) = 535^{+21}_{-20}, N(1f_3f) = 2.5^{+205}_{-1.4}, N(aa_2f) = 0^{+439}_{-0}$
- Significance = $25.47^{+0.22}_{-3.23}$, $\frac{\Delta(\sigma \times BR)}{(\sigma \times BR)}$ = $3.926^{+0.570}_{-0.033}$ %

Ex: qqh250 TMVA Analysis

- $N_{sig} = 1232.4 \pm 4.6$
- Background
 - $-N(qqh, other) = 1.91 \pm 0.72, N(llh, nnh) = 20.1^{+2.5}_{-2.3}$
 - $-N(2f) = 9.3^{+48.9}_{-2.3}, N(4f) = 512^{+29}_{-28}, N(1f_3f) = 1.8^{+409.8}_{-1.8}, N(aa_2f) = 0^{+878}_{-0}$
- Significance = $29.23^{+0.31}_{-5.79}$, $\frac{\Delta(\sigma \times BR)}{(\sigma \times BR)}$ = $3.421^{+0.845}_{-0.036}$ %

Ex: eeh250 Cut-based Analysis

- $N_{sig} = 69.89 \pm 0.38$
- Background
 - N(eeh, other) = 4.77 ± 0.83 , N(mmh) = $0^{+0.27}_{-0.00}$, N(tautauh) = $0.0088^{+0.2697}_{-0.0087}$, N(qqh, nnh) = $0.17^{+0.33}_{-0.15}$
 - $-N(2f) = 1.5^{+24.4}_{-1.5}, N(4f) = 39.3^{+7.9}_{-4.5}, N(1f_3f) = 0.35^{+204.89}_{-0.35}, N(aa_2f) = 0^{+439}_{-0}$
- Significance = $6.49^{+0.16}_{-3.65}$, $\frac{\Delta(\sigma \times BR)}{(\sigma \times BR)}$ =

 $15.41^{+19.80}_{-0.37}$ %

Combined Results: 250 GeV

250 fb ⁻¹ significance	qqh	e ⁺ e ⁻ h	$\mu^+\mu^-h$	vvh
Cut-based	$25.47^{+0.22}_{-3.23}$	$6.49^{+0.16}_{-3.65}$	$8.22^{+0.20}_{-4.29}$	$2.555^{+0.022}_{-0.060}$
TMVA	$29.23^{+0.31}_{-5.79}$	$6.93^{+0.23}_{-4.46}$	$8.84^{+0.22}_{-5.79}$	$3.087^{+0.029}_{-0.097}$

Cut-based: Combined significance = $27.66^{+0.34}_{-6.49}$ precision = $\frac{\Delta(\sigma \times BR)}{(\sigma \times BR)}$ = $3.615^{+1.109}_{-0.044}$ % TMVA: Combined significance = $31.47^{+0.45}_{-9.41}$ precision = $\frac{\Delta(\sigma \times BR)}{(\sigma \times BR)}$ = $3.178^{+1.355}_{-0.045}$ %

Asian Physics & Software Meeting (2015/Jun./5)

Combined Results: 500 GeV (except eeh)

500 fb ⁻¹ significance	qqh	$\mu^+\mu^-h$	vvh
Cut-based	$20.07\substack{+0.48 \\ -2.88}$	$4.970\substack{+0.095 \\ -2.541}$	$12.25_{-0.21}^{+0.21}$
TMVA	$21.63\substack{+0.67 \\ -1.94}$	$5.604^{+0.089}_{-3.476}$	$14.51\substack{+0.21 \\ -0.31}$

Cut-based: Combined significance = $24.30^{+0.53}_{-3.85}$ precision = $\frac{\Delta(\sigma \times BR)}{(\sigma \times BR)}$ = $4.115^{+0.775}_{-0.088}$ % TMVA: Combined significance = $26.64^{+0.71}_{-3.99}$ precision = $\frac{\Delta(\sigma \times BR)}{(\sigma \times BR)}$ = $3.754^{+0.661}_{-0.098}$ %

Asian Physics & Software Meeting (2015/Jun./5)

Summary

• Estimation of MC stat. error has been performed.

- There is a discussion of overestimation.

- The final results will not so affected, the effect for sensitive channel is not so large.
- O(%) can be achieved even considering MC stat. error (3 - 5% level) at 250 GeV and 500 GeV.
- Summarize as soon as possible...