

The International Linear Collider Precision physics at the energy frontier

Marcel Stanitzki

17/12/2014

Glasgow



Mission Accomplished ?







The Higgs -What do we know ...





Looks like the Standard Model Higgs Boson...





Now what ?



Dark Matter

Dark Energy & Inflation

Standard Model Electroweak Symmetry Breaking

Matter Asymmetry

Neutrinos



CERN CERN



A bit of History -Top Prediction





LEP-EWWG Plot from 1993, before Top evidence from the Tevatron.

SM precision constraints the Higgs



Measurements from LEP (and SLD, Tevatron)

CERN CERN



M_µ= 94 GeV +29 GeV/- 24 GeV



Higgs as Window to new physics

SM Higgs Sector

Incomplete: Fine-tuning/mass stabilization

SUSY and Friends

- New particles
- 5 or more Higgs Bosons
 Modified Higgs couplings

Higgs Compositeness Modified Higgs Couplings

Search for new Particles

Precisely probe Higgs Couplings





Probing new physics



Supersymmetry (MSSM) Composite Higgs (MCHM5)



ILC 250+550 LumiUP

Percent-level accuracy on Higgs Couplings essential !



CERN CERN



Precision for the Terascale



- To complement the LHC/HL-LHC a precision machine is required
 - Only e⁺e⁻ colliders offer the required precision (1%)
 - e⁺e⁻Advantages
 - Well defined initial state and tunable E_{CMS}
 - Clean environment, no QCD backgrounds
 - All background process can be calculated with high precision
 - low radiation environment

Why a Linear Accelerator



- Basic Limitations e⁺e⁻ synchrotons
 - Synchrotron radiation loss ~ E⁴/r
 - Synchrotron cost ~ quadratically with Energy (B. Richter 1980)
 - E_{CMS}=~ 200 GeV as upper limit
- A Linear Accelerator offers a clear way to higher energy
 - Not limited by synchrotron radiation
 - Cost ~ linear with Energy

- Polarization of both beams





It is not just the luminosity



- B_s Oscillations
- ALEPH (LEP)
 - ~ 6 million Z's
- SLD
 - ~ 300000 Z's
- Main advantage of SLD:
 - **Pixel Vertex detector**
 - Much closer to the IP







The ILC Project



- The ILC (International Linear Collider)
 - A 500 GeV (baseline) GeV e+e- Linear Collider
 - Upgrade Path to 1 TeV
 - Polarization of both beams



C Power of polarization at the ILC

- The ILC offers polarized e⁺/e⁻ beams
- Baseline
- 80 % polarization for e⁻
 30 % polarization for e⁺
 Upgrades possible
 90 % polarization for e⁻
 60 % polarization for e⁺
 Unique capabilities
- CERN CERN Controlling Backgrounds 13







ILC physics program







Higgs physics at the ILC



- Couplings, Mass, Spin
- ILC does Modelindependent measurements
 - Unique at the ILC
 - Total Higgs Width
 - H→cc/gg
- Higgs-Selfcoupling

CERN GRIC will establish its



Model-independent Measurement of $\sigma_{_{\rm HZ}}$ at 250 GeV





ILC BR Measurements





Most couplings

- Approaching 1% accuracy
- Derived in a modelindependent way
 - Accuracy on topcoupling
 - Improves with higher E_{CMS}



CERN CERN

17



ILC – A Top Factory



- Top Threshold scans
 - $\Delta m_{top}^{}$ < 40 MeV
- Conversion to MS scheme
 - Measured top mass at ILC can easily be converted to MS mass
 - This yields an total error of Δm_{top}^{200} MeV
 - Theory/ α_{s} limited

CERN CERN





Top as a window to new physics

- Probing the ttz and ttγ Vertices at the ILC with high precision
- This allows also access to (g-2),
 - Currently almost unconstrained
 - (g-2)_t ~m_t/M
 - M being the compositeness-scale
 - Expected ILC accuracy of 0.1%
- ILC probes compositeness up to 100 TeV



Probing SM Vacuum Stability



m_{Higgs}=125 GeV (LHC)

- Quite close to the minimum M_{Higgs} value that ensures absolute vacuum stability within the Standard Model
- Current measurements indicate we're in a metastable universe

CERN CERN





In Search for Supersymmetry

- Supersymmetry (SUSY)
 - Still very popular
 - Comes in many flavors
- Rich SUSY spectrum expected at the TeV scale
- Direct Searches at the LHC
 - No evidence so far

- Limits for colored SUSY





A potential SUSY spectrum





CERN CERN

22



For the pMSSM

A lot of room left even after HL-LHC

 ILC is required to exclude the parameter space or it will discover SUSY, even if HL-LHC does not

and wall to

The ILC Accelerator



The ILC Machine

25



- 500 GeV Linear collider
 - 31 km long
- Acceleration
 - 7400 superconducting Cavi in
 850 Cryo Modules
 - Gradient 31.5 MV/m
 - 1.3 GHz RF
 - 163 MW power consumpti
 - Beam parameters

CERN CE 2x10¹⁰ particles/bunch









Why going cold?



- High RF ->Beam-power efficiency
 - low-loss cavities
 - Ease of RF power generation
 - low frequency (1.3 GHz)
 - Long pulse / fill time (1 ms / 0.6 ms)
- Emittance preservation
 - Large cavity iris







Collision rate

Electron linac rate

Number of bunches

Centre-of-mass energy

Есм

f_{rep}

f_{linac}

nb

Ν

GeV

Hz

Hz

×10¹⁰

Bunch separation	∆ <i>t</i> b	ns	554	554	554	554	366	366
Main linac average gradient	G _a	MV m ^{⁻1}	14.7	21.4	31.5	31.5	31.5	38.2
Estimated AC power	P _{AC}	MW	122	121	163	129	204	300
Electron polarisation	P —	%	80	80	80	80	80	80
Positron polarisation	P+	%	30	30	30	30	30	20
IP RMS horizontal beam size	σ_x^*	nm	729.0	683.5	474	729	474	481
IP RMS veritcal beam size	σ _y *	nm	7.7	5.9	5.9	7.7	5.9	2.8
Luminosity	L	×10 ³⁴ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹	0.8	1.0	1.8	0.8	3.6	3.6
Fraction of luminosity in top 1%	l0.01 /L		87.1%	77.4%	58.3%	87.1%	58.3%	59.2%
Number of pairs per bunch crossing	N_{pairs}	×10 ³	62.4	93.6	139.0	62.4	139.0	200.5
Total pair energy per bunch crossing	E _{pairs}	TeV	46.5	115.0	344.1	46.5	344.1	1338.0

250

5

10

1312

2.0

TDR Machine parameters

350

5

5

1312

2.0

Baseline 500 GeV Machine 1st Stage L Upgrade

500

5

5

1312

2.0

250

5

10

1312

2.0



В

1000

4

4

2450

1.74

366

39.2

300

80

20

335

2.7

4.9

44.5%

382.6

3441.0

E_Upgrade

Α

1000

4

4

2450

1.74

500

5

5

2625

2.0



The European XFEL



Free Electron Laser

- Photon energy 0.3 - 24 keV
- Pulse duration ~ 10 - 100 fs
- Pulse energy few mJ
- Superconducting linac. 17.5 GeV
 - 10 Hz (27 000 b/s)
- 5 beam lines / 10

CERN CERN





The European XFEL **Built by Research Institutes** from 12 European Nations



European XFEL Construction









CERN CERN

Cavity Status





		Tests	Maximum E _{acc} [MV/m]	Usable E _{acc} [MV/m]
	Total	339	30.4 ± 7.6	26.6 ± 7.6
Close to	EZ	185	28.4 ± 7.1	24.8 + 7.0
	RI	154	32.4 ± 7.6	28.6 ± 7.9
production				

XFEL = a 1/10 ILC Prototype TODAY

ILC requires 31.5 MV/m







50

0



May 2014



ATF2 Test Facility at KEK

World Record : 44 nm beam spot size
Design goal 37 nm (~ ILC specifications)
Reproducibility
~32 hrs recovery from 3 wk shutdown

CERN CERN CERN 6 hrs recovery from woodboom off





ILC Interaction Region





ILC

- 1 Interaction Region
- 2 Detectors

Push-Pull

- Detectors mounted on movable platforms
- Sharing of beam time
- Switching time ~ 48 hours
- Push-Pull allows





ILC Environment





ILC environment is very different compared to LHC

- Bunch spacing of ~ 554 ns (baseline)
- 1312 bunches in 1 ms
- 199 ms quiet time

Occupancy dominated by beam background & noise

- ~ 1 hadronic Z ($e^+e^- \rightarrow Z \rightarrow q\overline{q}$) per train ...
- Readout during quiet time possible



ILC Detector Requirements

- Exceptional precision& time stamping
 - Single Bunch resolution
- Vertex detector
 - $\underbrace{\overset{4}{\underset{r_{\phi}}{\otimes}} \underbrace{4}_{\mu} \underbrace{m_{\mu} p_{m}}_{\mu} \underbrace{p_{m} e_{G}}_{isign} \underbrace{\mu_{m} p_{sin}}_{\mu_{m}} \underbrace{(\theta)}_{\mu_{m}}$

• Tracker - $\sigma(1/p) \sim 2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ • Calo<u>Fimeter</u> 4%, $E_{Jet} > 100 \ GeV$ 2 5 0 G e V

le

n У**t**w

-Z n_s g_e

> p ar

> at io n



From HL-LHC to ILC







Moving from 140 interactions per crossing to ~1 event/train



CERN CERN


The PFA Approach



- PFA = Particle Flow Algorithm
- Combining all available reconstruction information
 - Momentum (Tracker), Energy (Calorimetry), Particle type (PID)
 - Reconstruction of each particle's four-vector
 - Key ideas
 - Charged particles : Tracking resolution >> Calorimetry
 - Typical Jet :
 - 60 % charged particles, 30 % photons, 10% neutrals
- PFA is key to desired Jet Energy Resolution





Calorimetry from LHC to ILC





LHC Today

ILC



CERN CERN



ILC Detectors



- PFA has been used at LEP, HERA and LHC
- Novel Approach at the ILC
 - PFA drives design of the detector
 - Consequences
 - Calorimetry inside the Solenoid
 - Highly Granular
 Calorimetry

CERN CERN OW mace tracking













40

• SiD

- r_{Tracker}=1.25 m
- B = 5 T

CERN CERN All-silicon tracking

ILD

- r_{Tracker}=1.8 m
- B = 3.5 T
- Time Projection





Two Tracking Approaches



- All-silicon Tracking
 - SiD's choice
- Tracking system
 - 5 layer pixel Vertex detector
 - 5 layer Silicon strip tracker
- Few highly precise hits
 - Max 12 hits
- Low material budget
- Concept proven by CMS

- Gaseous Tracking
 - ILD's choice
- Tracking System
 - 3 double layer Vertex detector
 - Intermediate silicon layers

– TPC

- Max number of hits
 - 228
- High hit redundancy
- Classical approach





Available Hits









material budget

Material Budget



DESY

Both concepts have very aggressive goals for the

Colorimetry- Designed for PFA • SiD

- Both detectors are designed for PFA
- All calorimetry located inside the coil
 - Limits maximum calorimeter depth
- Calorimeter layout
 - Sampling calorimeter
 - Very compact
 - Highly Granular
 - "Imaging the shower"

• ECAL

CERN CERN W layers with Si readout 44





The SiD Detector



SID Rationale

- A compact, cost-constrained detector designed to make precision measurements and be sensitive to a wide range of new phenomena
- Design choices
 - Compact design with 5 T field.
 - Robust silicon vertexing and tracking system with excellent momentum resolution
 - Highly granular Calorimetry optimized for Particle Flow
 - Time-stamping for single bunch crossings.

CERN CERN ron flux roturn/muon iddantifior is part of the SiD solf





Vertex Detector



- Many potential technology choices
 - No baseline selected yet
 - Technology "not there" yet
 - Requirements
 - <3 µm hit resolution</p>
 - Pixel sizes of O(20 μm)
 - $\sim 0.1 \% X_{0}$ per layer
 - < 130 μW/mm²
 - Single bunch timing







Vertex Detector Pixels



- LHC-Style Hybrid Pixels
 - Too much material
 - Bump-bonding
 - Rad-hard and speed not needed
 - "large pixels (100 µm)"
- Monolithic Pixels are prime candidate
 - Fully integrated electronics

CERN CERN







CERN CERN

3D Pixels



The ultimate dream of any pixel designer

- Fully active sensor area
- Independent control of substrate materials for each of the tiers
- Fabrication optimized by layer function
- In-pixel data processing
- Increased circuit density due to multiple tiers of electronics





48

3D Process Developments

• SID •

- Changes continue in the world of 3D electronics. Process improvements are being made. Although we have had some successes there is still much to learn as this field begins to mature. (R. Yarema)
 - Problems encountered
 - Design Tools aren't "there" yet
 - Transistor models "weak"
 - Processing issues
 - Long turn-around times
 - Not a main-stream process
- This is likely to change





Silicon Strip Tracker

• All silicon tracker

- Using silicon micro-strips
- Double metal layers
- 5 barrel layers and 4 disks
 - Cooling
 - Gas-cooled
 - Material budget

less than 20 % X_o in the active area

CERNDERN dout using VDIV ASIC⁵⁰





Comparing with LHC/HL-LHC



3

η







Tracker Module





Silicon Tracker module

- 25/50 µm strip pitch
- Double metal layers
- Two KPiX readout ASICS per sensor

CERN CERN Hybrid-less design



SiD Tracking Performance

• SID

- SiD tracking is integrated
 - Vertex and Tracker
 - 10 Hits/track coverage for almost entire polar angle
- Tracking system
 - Achieves desired $\Delta p_T/p_T$ resolution of 1.46 \cdot 10⁻⁵
 - >99 % efficiency over most of the phase space





Calorimetry



SID ECAL

- Tungsten absorber
- 20+10 layers
- $-20 \times 0.64 + 10 \times 1.30 X_{0}$

- Sid HCAL
 - Steel Absorber
 - 40 layers
 - 4.5 Λ_i
- Baseline Readout using Baseline readout
- Sidstanseleited badeline choices for its calorimeter
 - Options are being considered
- Lots of test beam activities (past, present and future)



 $\frac{CERN CERN}{D} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2$



The SiW ECAL



One ECAL Si sensor

- 1024 hexagonal pixel
- Readout by 1 KPiX
- KPiX and cable bumpbonded to the sensor
- Analog Readout
 - Deposited charge
- Aim: minimize gap size
- Tungsten plates used as
 CERNAGENAT sink











HCAL Baseline

Digital HCAL

- Counting shower particles
- N_{particles} ~ Energy
- Using Glass RPCs
 - 1 x 1 cm²
- 1 m³ prototype built
 - 500.000 channels
- Largest Calorimeter by _{CERN CERN} channel so far





Magnet





The 5 T coil builds on the CMS experience
Especially on the CMS Conductor
Engineering challenges are well understood
Advances in computing ease the design

CERN CERN Feasibility of SiD design has demonstrated



Simulation & Reconstruction Si

• Full Simulation& Reco

Including full beam backgrounds

Simulation

- **Detailed GEANT4 detector** simulation
- Including "dead areas"
- Full Reconstruction
- Digitization, Tracking, Particle Flow, Flavor Tagging CERN CERNIA chasting at all









Simulating backgrounds



- Pair background
 - ~ 400k/ BX @ 1 TeV
 - Very forward
- $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow hadrons$
 - 4.1 events per BX @ 1 TeV
 - 1.7 events per BX at 500
 GeV
 - More central
 - Overlays these over "physics events"





Robustness vs. backgrounds Sid



 Z' → uds at 1 TeV with one bunch crossing of background overlaid

Demonstrates robustness of SiD Tracking







SiD PFA performance is excellent

- Fulfills ILC physics goals
- Robust against backgrounds

- Driven by all-Silicon approach and single-bunch time-



Top-Yukawa Coupling



Measuring Y_{top} at $\sqrt{S} = 1$ TeV

- Using six and eight jet final states with four b jets
- Stressing PFA and b-tagging

- Combined measurement: $\Delta Y_{top} = 4.5 \%$

CERN CERN





SiD Costing



- SiD assumes common unit costs
 - As agreed by both SiD and ILD
- Assuming "almost everything beyond the platform" is machine cost
 - Follows machine costing model
 - Costs in 2008 US-S
 - M&S : 315 M\$
 - Contingency: 127 M\$
 - Effort: 748 MY



Costing M&S



SiD M&S





Current Activities



- Site-Specific Studies
 - Realizing SiD in Japan
 - Subsystem R&D
 - Re-optimizing the Detector
 - DBD Detector does good physics
 - Can we do better ?
 - Making SiD ready to become a collaboration
 - Forming a consortium is a first step towards a more formal organization

Re-Optimization







New Technology Examples



HCAL technology

- Baseline RPC DHCAL witch 1x1 cm cell size
- Big SiPM technology advances
- AHCAL with 1x1 cm cell size
 - Now Feasible & Affordable
- Investigating change

Main Tracker

- Baseline Strips/SAS Strips
- CMOS technology
 - As also considered for LHC
- Large area tracker with pixels
 - Better resolution
 - Less material



68

Learning from the Upgrades



- ILC may not require radiation hardness, but ...
 - There are many things one can learn from LHC Upgrades
- **Electronics/Services**
 - DC-DC converter developments
 - High-Speed Optical links
 - 65 nm ASICs
- Detector technology
 - e.g. CMOS Pixel/Strip developments (in ATLAS) very interesting for SiD



Project Status

LC

SEOGEN

5000



Timeline







Fall 2012

Japanese HEP
 Community expresses
 interest to host the ILC

May 2013

- TDR is published
 - European Strategy for particle physics supports ILC

Scientific Site selection

August 2013





The ILC TDR

72





"As compared to other projects of similar scale (ITER, LHC, ATLAS, CMS, ALMA, XFEL, FAIR, ESS, SSC) the quality of the documentation presented by the GDE team is equal or superior to that utilized to launch into a similar process."

The ILC is good to go!

ILC TDR

- Five Volumes covering
- Physics, Accelerator & Detectors
- Culmination of eight years of effort
- Very favorable review
- Wide Community support
- Global Handover Event
 - Tokyo, Geneva, Chicago


Supporting the ILC - worldwide SiD

48 countries 392 Institutes 2400 signatories



CERN CERN



ILC Site Selection



- Japan proposed two sites
 - Kitakami, Honshu
 "Northern Site"
 - Sefuri, Kyushu
 "Southern Site"
 - Expert Panel Review on Scientific merits of each site
 - Geology, Infrastructure
 Geonomic impact





ILC Site – Kitakami Mountains





Kitakami Mountains





ILC Detector and Machine experts Visit September 2014







Timeline (II)





Japanese Visit to Washington

Fall 2013

- Science Council of Japan reviews ILC
- MEXT establishes ILC taskforce
- November 2013
 - Site-specific Studies commence
- May 2014

77

US P5 Strategy supports

CERN CERN







European Strategy for Particle Physics 2013

There is a strong scientific case for an electron-positron collider, complementary to the LHC, that can study the properties of the Higgs boson and other particles with unprecedented precision and whose energy can be upgraded. The Technical Design Report of the International Linear Collider (ILC) has been completed, with large European participation. The initiative from the Japanese particle physics community to host the ILC in Japan is most welcome, and European groups are eager to participate. Europe looks forward to a DEREAL Formation and European to a state of the st

"Motivated by the strong scientific importance of the ILC and the recent initiative in Japan to host it, the U.S. should engage in modest and appropriate levels of ILC accelerator and detector design in areas where the U.S. can contribute critical expertise. Consider higher levels of collaboration if ILC proceeds."







The Committee suggests that the government of Japan should (1) secure the budget required for the investigation of various issues to determine the possibility of hosting the ILC, and (2) conduct intensive studies and discussions among stakeholders, including authorities from outside high-energy physics as well as the government bodies involved for the next two to three years.

In parallel, it is necessary to have discussions with the research institutes and the responsible funding authorities of key countries and regions involved outside of Japan, and to obtain clear understanding of the expected sharing of the financial burden.





S



MEXT Review

MEXT's Organization for Studying ILC

based on Science Council of Japan's Recommendation



CERN CERN

6

The way forward







Keeping updated



- Webpage: http://silicondetector.org
- We have a new *sid-general* mailing list
 - Easy to subscribe to
 - Send a mail with subscribe sid-all John Doe in the body to listserv@slac.stanford.edu
 - https://listserv.slac.stanford.edu/cgi-bin/wa?
 SUBED1=SID-ALL&A=1
- List will be used for
 - Meeting and Workshop announcements
- General SiD news





How to get involved



SiD Webpage

- http://silicondetector.org/
- Linear Collider Newsline
 - http://newsline.linearcollider.org
 - News, Announcements
- Come to the next SiD
 Workshop
 - Jan 12th-14th at SLAC









- As a next step towards project realization, we are going ahead with establishing the "SiD Consortium" as a precursor to a full collaboration. This gives us the working structure and representation we need to be part of the new LCC.
- The SiD Consortium is open to any group or individual wanting to contribute to the development of SiD:

 To join – send an Email to Professor Phil Burrows (Chair of the SiD Institute Board) at
 CERN DEFOURTOWS1@physics.ox.ac.uk







- A Precision machine is necessary to complement the LHC
- ILC is the right machine to do this
 - Accelerator technology is ready for prime time
 - The European XFEL provides invaluable experience
 - ILC Detector Design have been validated
 - SiD
 - Compact detector for precision physics at the ILC
 - Advanced Design, moving towards a TDR

ERN Broanese Interest in hosting the ILC





Acknowledgements



- A big thanks for useful discussion and material
 - B. List, D. Reschke, F. Sefkow, N. Walker, H. Weise (DESY),
 K. Fuji (KEK), J. Strube (Tohoku), T. Tanabe (Tokyo), A.
 White (UT Arlington)



PFA Reconstruction







Higgs Self-coupling



- This measurement is one of the most difficult ones at both LHC and ILC
- ILC cross-section
 - 10⁴ smaller than the Higgs cross-section
- ILC will be able to establish its existence
 - But it won't be a precision result









Snowmass/P5



P5 Report

Longer-term futuregeneration accelerators bring prospects for even better precision in Higgs properties and hence discovery potential. Circular e+eaccelerators, such as the FCC-ee project being studied at CERN and the CenC project in 89

- Snowmass Accelerator
 Summary
- Circular e+e- in very large tunnel (50 – 100 km)
 - Substantial
 extrapolation albeit
 from large experience
 base
 - Energy reach & luminosity are very





ICFA Statement



 ICFA endorses the particle physics strategic plans produced in Europe, Asia and the United States and the globally aligned priorities contained therein. Here, ICFA reaffirms its support of the ILC, which is in a mature state of technical development and offers unprecedented opportunities for precision studies of the newly discovered Higgs boson. In addition, ICFA continues to encourage international studies of circular colliders, with an ultimate goal of proton-proton collisions at energies much higher than those of the LHC.





The SSC ...



- pp Collider
- 87 km tunnel
- 40 TeV center-of-mass energy
- Located in Waxahachie, Texas
- US Congress terminated this project in 1993
 - After about half of the tunneling was done











Comparison with LHC

• SiD •

- Calorimeter granularity
 - Need factor ~O(100) better than LHC
- Vertex Detector Pixel size
 - Need factor ~ O(20) smaller than LHC
- Material budget, central tracking
 - Need factor ~ O(10) less than LHC
- Material budget, forward tracking

Requirements for Timing) Data hateland Radiation hardness are very modest compared to LHC