Lecture B2: Superconductive RF

Cavity Fundamental

T. Saeki (KEK) LC school 2015 27 Oct. - 6 Nov. 2015, Whistler, Canada



1.3 GHz elliptical 9-cell cavity



Pill Box Cavity

L : Inductance





Pill Box Cavity







Modes in pill-box cavity

- TM₀₁₀
 - Electric field is purely longitudinal
 - Electric and magnetic fields have no angular dependence
 - Frequency depends only on radius, independent on length
- **TM**_{0mn}
 - Monopoles modes that can couple to the beam and exchange energy
- **TM**_{1mn}
 - Dipole modes that can deflect the beam
- TE modes
 - No longitudinal E field
 - Cannot couple to the beam

TM-modes in pill-box cavity

$$\frac{E_r}{E_0} = -\frac{n\pi}{x_{lm}} \frac{R}{L} J_l' \left(x_{lm} \frac{r}{R} \right) \sin\left(n\pi \frac{z}{L}\right) \cos l\varphi$$

$$\frac{E_{\varphi}}{E_0} = \frac{ln\pi}{x_{lm}^2} \frac{R^2}{rL} J_l \left(x_{lm} \frac{r}{R} \right) \sin\left(n\pi \frac{z}{L}\right) \sin l\varphi$$

$$\frac{E_z}{E_0} = J_l \left(x_{lm} \frac{r}{R} \right) \sin\left(n\pi \frac{z}{L}\right) \cos l\varphi$$

$$\omega_{lmn} = c \sqrt{\left(\frac{x_{lm}}{R}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\pi n}{L}\right)^2}$$

$$\frac{H_r}{E_0} = -i\omega\varepsilon \frac{l}{x_{lm}^2} \frac{R^2}{r} J_l \left(x_{lm} \frac{r}{R} \right) \cos\left(n\pi \frac{z}{L} \right) \sin l\varphi$$
$$\frac{H_{\varphi}}{E_0} = -i\omega\varepsilon \frac{R}{x_{lm}} J_l' \left(x_{lm} \frac{r}{R} \right) \cos\left(n\pi \frac{z}{L} \right) \cos l\varphi$$
$$\frac{H_z}{E_0} = 0$$

 x_{lm} is the mth root of $J_l(x)$ Bessel function

Bessel function



Root of Bessel function

n	0	1	2	3
1	2.4048	3.8317	5.1356	6.3802
2	5.5201	7.0156	8.4172	9.7610
3	8.6537	10.1735	11.6198	13.0152
4	11.7915	13.3237	14.7960	16.2235



Figure 2.2: Vector plots of the electric and magnetic fields in the TM₀₁₀ mode of the pill-box cavity. Left: E_z in the ρ -z plane. Right: H_{ϕ} in the ρ - ϕ plane.

$$E_r = E_{\varphi} = 0 \qquad \qquad E_z = E_0 J_0 \left(x_{01} \frac{r}{R} \right)$$
$$H_r = H_z = 0 \qquad \qquad H_{\varphi} = -i\omega\varepsilon E_0 \frac{R}{x_{01}} J_1 \left(x_{01} \frac{r}{R} \right)$$

$$\omega = x_{01} \frac{c}{R}$$
 $x_{01} = 2.405$

$$R = \frac{x_{01}}{2\pi} \lambda = 0.383\lambda$$

Energy content

$$U = \varepsilon_0 E_0^2 \frac{\pi}{2} J_1^2(x_{01}) L R^2$$

Power dissipation $x_{01} = 2.40483$ $P = E_0^2 \frac{R_s}{\eta^2} \pi J_1^2(x_{01})(R+L)R$ $J_1(x_{01}) = 0.51915$

Geometrical factor

$$G = \eta \frac{x_{01}}{2} \frac{L}{(R+L)}$$

Energy Gain

$$\Delta W = E_0 \frac{\lambda}{\pi} \sin \frac{\pi L}{\lambda}$$

Gradient

$$E_{acc} = \frac{\Delta W}{\lambda/2} = E_0 \frac{2}{\pi} \sin \frac{\pi L}{\lambda}$$

Shunt impedance

$$R_{sh} = \frac{\eta^2}{R_s} \frac{1}{\pi^3 J_1^2(x_{01})} \frac{\lambda^2}{R(R+L)} \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi L}{\lambda}\right)$$



Pill-box cavity to real cavity

Beam tubes reduce the electric field on axis

Gradient decreases Peak fields increase

R/Q decreases







Pill-box cavity to real cavity



Single-cell cavity





Single-cell cavity



Quantity	Cornell SC 500 MHz	Pillbox
G	270 ohmΩ	$257~\Omega$
$R_{ m a}/Q_0$	88 ohm/cell	$196 \ \Omega/\mathrm{cell}$
$E_{ m pk}/E_{ m acc}$	2.5	1.6
$H_{\rm pk}/E_{\rm acc}$	52 Oe/MV/m	30.5 Oe/(MV/m)



Cell shape design

- What is the purpose of the cavity?
- What EM parameters should be optimized to meet the design specs?

The "perfect" shape does not exist, it all depends on your application

Example: CEBAF upgrade

 \sim

- "High Gradient" shape: lowest E_p/E_{acc}
- "Low Loss" shape: lowest cryogenic losses G(R/Q)





CEBAF upgrade cell-shape comparison

Parameters	Unit	OC-shape	HG-Shape	LL-Shape
Øeq	[mm]	187.03	180.50	174.00
Øiris	[mm]	70.00	61.40	53.00
k _{cc}	[%]	3.29	1.72	1.49
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{peak}}/\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{acc}}$	-	2.56	1.89	2.17
$\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{peak}}/\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{acc}}$	$[mT\cdot(MV/m)^{\text{-2}}]$	4.56	4.26	3.74
Lorentz factor*) kL	$[\mathrm{Hz} \cdot (\mathrm{MV}/m)^{\text{-2}}]$	-1.35	-1.1	-1.2
R/Q	[Ω]	96.5	111.9	128.8
r/q = (R/Q)/length	$[\Omega/m]$	965	1119	1288
G	[Ω]	273.8	265.5	280.3
R/Q*G	$[\Omega^*\Omega]$	26421	29709	36102

CEBAF Upgrade: cryo-budget limit of 30W/cavity. Higher energy gain can be obtained using LL-shape.

Trend in TM-mode cavity design

• The **field emission is not a hard limit** in the performance of sc cavities if the surface preparation is done in the right way.

• Unlikely this, magnetic flux on the wall limits performance of a sc cavity (Q_0 decreases or/and quench). Hard limit ~180 mT for Nb.



New advanced shape for ILC



r _{iris}	[mm]	35	30	33
k _{cc}	[%]	1.9	1.52	1.8
E _{peak} /E _{acc}	-	1.98	2.36	2.21
B _{peak} /E _{acc}	[mT/(MV/m)]	4.15	3.61	3.76
R/Q	[Ω]	113.8	133.7	126.8
G	[Ω]	271	284	277
R/Q*G	[<i>Ω</i> * <i>Ω</i>]	30840	37970	35123

Cell-shape parametrization

R-iris

Why for a smaller aperture (R_{iris})?

- (R/Q) is bigger
- E_{peak}/E_{acc} , B_{peak}/E_{acc} is lower

E_{acc} is higher at the same stored energy in the cell

E, (z) for small and big iris radius

R-iris

We know that a smaller aperture makes:

• B_{peak}/E_{acc} , E_{peak}/E_{acc} lower

• (R/Q) higher

but unfortunately a smaller aperture makes:

- HOMs impedances $(k_{\perp}, k_{\parallel})$ higher cell-to-cell coupling (k_{cc}) weaker

Pre-tuning is difficult for multi-cell cavity

Intuitive understanding for controlling E-peak and B-peak

Add "magnetic volume" at the equator to reduce $\mathsf{B}_{\mathsf{peak}}$

Re-entrant shape : The world-record holder of highest Eacc

RF test of LL-shape single-cell cavity

		LL
f _π	[MHz]	1286.6
E _{peak} /E _{acc}	-	1.86
B_{peak}/E_{acc}	[mT/(MV/m)]	3.71
R/Q	[Ω]	130.0
G	[Ω]	279
Ø _{iris}	[mm]	61

RF Test of LL single-cell cavity / Eacc = 53.5 MV/m

 \checkmark

Eacc = 53.5 MV/m was achieved. This had been the world record until RE single-cell cavity reached beyond.

Series RF tests of LL single-cell cavities

17

RF test of RE-shape single-cell cavity fabricated by Cornell Univ.

		RE
f _m	[MHz]	1278.6
E _{peak} /E _{acc}	-	2.19
B _{peak} /E _{acc}	[mT/(MV/m)]	3.79
R/Q	[Ω]	126.0
G	[Ω]	278
Ø _{iris}	[mm]	68

This cavity reached Eacc > 60 MV/m. I believe this cavity might be the world-record holder of highest Eacc. Sorry, I could not find the plot, that I, F. Furuta, and K. Saito measured at KEK...

Multi-cell cavities

Multi-cell cavities

Modes of a 2 Cell Cavity

: Sketch of the electric field lines of the $\pi\text{-mode}$ of a 5-cell :

Multi-cell cavities

Single-cell is attractive from the RF-point of view:

- Easier to manage HOM damping
- No field flatness problem.
- Input coupler transfers less power
- Easy for cleaning and preparation
- But it is expensive to base even a small linear accelerator on the single cell. We do it only for very high beam current machines.

A multi-cell structure is less expensive and offers higher real-estate gradient but:

Field flatness (stored energy) in cells becomes sensitive to frequency errors of individual cells

Other problems arise: HOM trapping...

Pros and cons of Multi-cell cavities

- Cost of accelerators are lower (less auxiliaries: LHe vessels, tuners, fundamental power couplers, control electronics)
- Higher real-estate gradient (better fill factor)
- Field flatness vs. N
- HOM trapping vs. N
- Power capability of fundamental power couplers vs. N
- Chemical treatment and final preparation become more complicated
- The worst performing cell limits whole multi-cell structure

Coupling between cells

Symmetry plane for the H field

The normalized difference between these frequencies is a measure of the energy flow via the coupling region

Symmetry plane for the E field which is an additional solution

 $k_{cc} = \frac{\omega_{\pi} - \omega_0}{\omega_{\pi} + \omega_0}$ 2

ω_π

Coupling between cells

$$\sum_{\mathbf{c}_{b}} \underbrace{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}_{b} & \mathbf{c}_{b} & \mathbf{c}_{b} \\ \mathbf{c}_{b} & \mathbf{c}_{k} & \mathbf{c}_{k} \\ \mathbf{c}_{k} & \mathbf{c}_{k} & \mathbf{c}_{k} & \mathbf{c}_{k} \\ \mathbf{c}_{k} & \mathbf{c}_{k} & \mathbf{c}_{k} \\ \mathbf{$$

Mode frequencies:

$$\frac{\omega_m^2}{\omega_0^2} = 1 + 2k \left(1 - \cos \frac{\pi m}{n} \right)$$
$$\frac{\omega_n - \omega_{n-1}}{\omega_0} \simeq k \left(1 - \cos \frac{\pi}{n} \right) \simeq \frac{k}{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{n} \right)^2$$

Voltages in cells: V

$$T_j^m = \sin\left(\pi m \frac{2j-1}{2n}\right)$$

Pass-band mode analysis

9 Cell, Mode 5

9 Cell, Mode 7

9 Cell, Mode 8

9 Cell, Mode 9

Pass-band mode : Frequency

9-cell cavity

Field flatness

Geometrical differences between cells causes a mixing of the eigenmodes

Sensitivity to mechanical deformation depends on mode spacing

$$\frac{\omega_n - \omega_{n-1}}{\omega_0} \simeq k \left(1 - \cos \frac{\pi}{n} \right) \simeq \frac{k}{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{n} \right)^2$$

If cell-to-cell coupling is weak, field-flatness is easily broken by mechanical deformation of cells.

Field flatness after pre-tuning of LL 9-cell cavity

Cavity	Field flatness (min/max) as delivered / after pre-tuning	Freq. target 1298.141 (MHz) @R.T. as delivered / after pre-tuning
1 st	0.1% / 98%	1298.774 / 1298.547

Cell-to-cell coupling is as small as 1.6%, but no problem in pre-tuning.

No Q-disease was found.

 \checkmark

Mechanical design

The mechanical design of a cavity follows its RF design:

- Lorentz Force Detuning
- **Mechanical Resonances** ٠

E and H at E_{acc} = 25 MV/m in TESLA inner-cup

Mechanical design

Essential for the operation of a pulsed accelerator $\Delta f = k_L (E_{acc})^2$ $k_L = -1 \ Hz/(MV/m)^2$

Mechanical design

TESLA structure

The mechanical resonances modulate frequency of the accelerating mode. Sources of their excitation: vacuum pumps, ground vibrations...

These mechanical resonant modes are also closely related to the microphonics.

Optimum stiffener-ring positioning

RF Test of LL 9-cell cavity in Cryomodule

I Q measurement by LLRF (LL 9cell cavity in cryomodule)

Q of SC RF cavity ~ 10**10. This means the resonant frequency of cavity should be controlled within a few Hz taking into account the vibration of cavity.

High-power RF Test of LL 9-cell cavity in cryomodule

High-power RF Test of LL 9-cell cavity in cryomodule Phase measurements (LLRF)

Mixer Output Signal @ 25dBm (Pg)

Evaluation of Microphonics (LL 9-cell cavity in CM)

 \checkmark

Microphonics is $\pm 3 \text{mV}$, which corresponds to $\pm 3 \text{Hz}$ in frequency and $\pm 0.5^{\circ}$ in phase variation.