Instrumentation at ATF / TTF

Accelerator Test Facility (KEK) Tesla Test Facility – FLASH (DESY) ESA / LCLS (SLAC)

Marc Ross, SLAC

Overview:

1. Develop instrumentation and related controls for ILC

> (focus on precision position & profile measurements – long. & transverse; focus on LLRF)

- 2. Seeking out and binding worldwide expertise develop and demonstrate collaboration
- 3. Promote the use of ATF, TTF and ESA for this purpose

ATF (DR, low emittance extracted beam) & TTF (SCRF, short bunch) & ESA (short bunch high E) are unique ILC test facilities

4. Bring - to students - the opportunity to work on hardware in a developmental environment Manageable projects beyond the reach of operational pressures

Test Facility Studies 2005-2006

- • Projects at ATF (KEK/SLAC +)
	- Cavity Beam position monitors
		- •preparation for 'ATF2' the beam delivery demonstration
		- \bullet energy spectrometer
	- 'Laserwire' laser based beam profile monitor
	- Ring BPM upgrade … aimed at low emittance tuning
- \bullet Projects at TTF (DESY/SLAC +)
	- SCRF Cavity Higher Order Mode BPM
		- •cavity centers
		- •high performance beam position monitors
		- •beam to RF phase using monopole modes \rightarrow precision RF control
	- Controls projects
- \bullet SLAC ESA
	- Bunch length monitors (also for LCLS FEL)
- • New collaborators:
	- Fermilab computing, accelerator and tech division.
	- Argonne

ATF Instrumentation Projects (1):

RF Cavity Beam Position Monitors

- – 15 nm rms resolution over 20 minute time scale demonstrated (2004-6)
- – ILC will have ~2500 cavity BPM's
	- •Linac (large bore, low loss factor, high resolution)
	- •BD (large bore, high resolution)
	- •Energy spectrometer
- $\,$ ATF2 and FEL undulators will have \sim few ten's
	- BPM is the most critical beam instrument (SLC, TeV, PEPII…)
- Typical requirements ~ 100 nm resolution
	- •one micron accuracy
- – Projects in process: Beam tests of 6 BPMs & development of production electronics for ATF2

ATF2 Cavity BPM Production electronics

- 6 GHz receiver board layout
- \bullet Realistic system design including packaging and mounting hardware
- Tested successfully at ATF April 2006

ATF Instrumentation Projects (2):

- 2. Laser-based beam profile monitors
	- \bullet Mechanical 'wire' scanners will not work in ILC
	- •Build on experience at ATF (ring), SLC and FFTB
	- • Royal Holloway/Oxford lead; SLAC technical partner
	- $-$ 1 \sim 2 micron scanner
		- 1% resolution; fast scanning
- 3. Stabilization systems beam feedback and other
	- – Optical 'struts' (extension of ATLAS system) developed at Oxford

ATF laser – wire scan (May 25)

 First scans Optics and laser aberrations (factor 10 scale error)

ATF Instrumentation Projects (3):

- Upgrade ring BPM's
	- – goal 1/1e4 long term stability; 0.1um resolution
		- more than 100x improvement
	- – using heterodyne receiver front end and digital downconvertor
	- –similar to recent FNAL BPM upgrades
	- – calibration and beam – based 'offset finding' key

TTF Instrumentation - HOM

- Use of SCRF cavity dipole higher order mode (HOM) signals as beam position monitors
	- (Each cryo-cavity has two HOM couplers)
	- Cryomodule assembly alignment check
	- –Beam steering and tuning at TTF
- \bullet HOM readout 80 channel heterodyne receiver/digitizer system installed and commissioned 11/05 and 3/06.
	- •M/S largely funded by DESY/Saclay.
	- 3 micron resolution demonstrated
	- 10 um offset precision

Installation at TTF2

Higher Order Dipole Modes

- • 1600 to 1900 MHz dipole bands
- • nominal 2 polarization directions (*u, ^v*)

- • frequency split, inphase / quadrature phase \rightarrow four components
- • linear combination of $\overline{X} \overline{X}'$ \overline{Y} \overline{Y}'

5

 $\overline{2}$

 $\overline{3}$

 $\overline{4}$

Cavity

5

6

 $\overline{7}$

8

Higher Order Monopole Modes

- •2300 – 2500 MHz
- •Both couplers for 1 cavity shown
- •0.1 degree (L-band) precision \rightarrow 0.2 ps

Note that different lines have different couplings to the 2 couplers

Monopole lines due to beam, and phase is related to beam time of arrival

Fundamental 1.3GHz line also couples out – *provides precise RF to beam phase for control*

Beam Phase vs. RF Measurement During 5 Degree Phase Shift

Measure 5 degree phase shift commanded by control system 2 cavities, same structure give 0.34 degree L-band RMS phase difference

Marc Ross (ILC) – Test Facilities 16

ESA Bunch Length Monitor

- • simple ceramic gap and diode detector
- • diode and waveguide form crude bandpass filter
- • 200 to 400 um bunch length sensitivity (ILC)

Test Facility Plans – 07

- ATF2 preparation
	- –component testing and pre-commissioning
	- –(movers, beam monitors, tuneup procedures)
	- preparing the ring for ATF2 use \rightarrow BPM upgrade
- TTF, FNAL and partners
	- LLRF studies using HOM
	- Cavity and cryomodule assembly studies
- ESA / LCLS
	- LCLS bunch length monitor commissioning 11/06

Extra

PEP II

- • Program: Provide help as requested by SLAC Director (~late 03)
- \bullet (not on the agenda, but an important goal for 2004 \rightarrow extended into this year)
- Two major systems installed:
- LER X-ray Synchrotron Radiation monitor
	- Goal: measure beam size accurately in LER up to full intensity without 'tilt' distortion present in existing monitors
	- Smallest measurable beam size ~ 10 um, well below smallest expected LER sigma_y
	- Bunch by bunch beam size for EC measurements
- X-ray technology extremely important for ILC DR monitors

What is System Resolution, Drift

Compare 2 couplers on same cavity, RMS difference 0.12 degrees L-band.

Electronics noise ~0.08 degrees cavity to cavity difference (0.3 degrees may be due to microphonics)

Compare 2 cavities for long (7 hour) run. RMS difference 0.69 degrees Lband.

Combination of electronics drift and cavity to cavity phase shifts.

