# **Cluster errors and Truth information Algorithms**

Mikael Berggren<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>DESY, Hamburg

#### ILD Software Workshop , DESY-HH, Feb 22-26, 2016



**Truth Algorithms** 

#### **Cluster errors**

The problem:

- Clusters are measured
- Hence, their properties should be assigned errors
- Specifically, they have a
  - Total magnitude (ie. Energy)
  - Position
  - Direction
- The error on the energy will come from parametrisation of simulation and test-beam observations.
- The Position and Direction error in contrast are measurable in each cluster

The math of this has been worked out. For details see:

http://agenda.linearcollider.org/event/6948/contribution/ 1/material/slides/0.pdf

#### **Classes and processors**

This implemented into Marlin as:

- One MarlinUtil class : WeightedPoints3D.
- One MarlinReco processor : AddClusterProperties (in Analysis)

#### Classes and processors: WeightedPoints3D

WeightedPoints3D has methods to return the covariance matrix of the C.O.G., all eigen-values and -vectors with errors. It has two c'tor:s:

```
WeightedPoints3D(const std::vector<double> &cog,
    const std::vector<double> &cov,
    const std::vector<double> &mayor_axis_error =
        std::vector<double>() ,
    int npnt = 0 ,double wgtsum =0.0 ,
    double wgt2sum=0.0 , double wgt4sum=0.0 );
```

The first calculates using the hits  $\Rightarrow$  Needs the calo-hits. The second one takes a cluster position with covariance + possibly other shape-descriptors, and can return other stuff ( eigen-values and -vectors with errors ...)  $\Rightarrow$  Does *not* need calo-hits.

- AddClusterProperties is a Marlin processor. Only inputs: PFO and Cluster collection-names (defaults: PandoraPFOs and PandoraClusters), but the calo-hits must be in the event (throws StopProcessingException if not)
- It uses WeightedPoints3D to calculate the cluster C.O.G. and  $\theta$  and  $\phi$ , with their covariance matrices . Then:

clu->setPosition(Position);

clu->setPositionError(&PositionError[0]);

clu->setITheta(theta);

clu->setIPhi(phi);

clu->setDirectionError(&DirectionError[0]);

- In addition, four shape-parameters are added to any pre-existing ones ( "npoints", "sum\_wgt", "sum\_wgt2" and "sum\_wgt4").
- **NB** If clu->getEnergyError() returns 0, it also calculates this from assumed numbers for ECal and HCal, and the seen E<sub>ECal</sub> and total E.

- AddClusterProperties is a Marlin processor. Only inputs: PFO and Cluster collection-names (defaults: PandoraPFOs and PandoraClusters), but the calo-hits must be in the event (throws StopProcessingException if not)
- It uses WeightedPoints3D to calculate the cluster C.O.G. and  $\theta$  and  $\phi$ , with their covariance matrices . Then:

clu->setPosition(Position); clu->setPositionError(&PositionError[0]); clu->setITheta(theta); clu->setIPhi(phi);

clu->setDirectionError(&DirectionError[0]);

- In addition, four shape-parameters are added to any pre-existing ones ( "npoints", "sum\_wgt", "sum\_wgt2" and "sum\_wgt4").
- **NB** If clu->getEnergyError() returns 0, it also calculates this from assumed numbers for ECal and HCal, and the seen E<sub>ECal</sub> and total E.

- AddClusterProperties is a Marlin processor. Only inputs: PFO and Cluster collection-names (defaults: PandoraPFOs and PandoraClusters), but the calo-hits must be in the event (throws StopProcessingException if not)
- It uses WeightedPoints3D to calculate the cluster C.O.G. and  $\theta$  and  $\phi$ , with their covariance matrices . Then:

clu->setPosition(Position); clu->setPositionError(&PositionError[0]); clu->setITheta(theta); clu->setIPhi(phi);

clu->setDirectionError(&DirectionError[0]);

- In addition, four shape-parameters are added to any pre-existing ones ( "npoints", "sum\_wgt", "sum\_wgt2" and "sum\_wgt4").
- **NB** If clu->getEnergyError() returns 0, it also calculates this from assumed numbers for ECal and HCal, and the seen E<sub>ECal</sub> and total E.

- It then loops all PFOs to add the covariance matrix of the 4-momentum to neutrals. NB: Only for "typical" neutrals, ie. made of one cluster, no tracks.
- It does this assuming the neutral originates at (0,0,0), ie. the 3-momentum is in the direction of the vector to the cluster-C.O.G. The uncertainty on the direction is from clu->getPositionError().
- The magnitude of the momentum is obtained by clu->getEnergy(), with error from clu->getEnergyError().
- The total error from C.O.G. position and energy is propagated to the covariance of the 4-momentum.
- Then finally:

- It then loops all PFOs to add the covariance matrix of the 4-momentum to neutrals. NB: Only for "typical" neutrals, ie. made of one cluster, no tracks.
- It does this assuming the neutral originates at (0,0,0), ie. the 3-momentum is in the direction of the vector to the cluster-C.O.G. The uncertainty on the direction is from clu->getPositionError().
- The magnitude of the momentum is obtained by clu->getEnergy(), with error from clu->getEnergyError().
- The total error from C.O.G. position and energy is propagated to the covariance of the 4-momentum.

• Then finally:

part->setMomentum(mom); part->setCovMatrix(p\_cov\_v);

- It then loops all PFOs to add the covariance matrix of the 4-momentum to neutrals. NB: Only for "typical" neutrals, ie. made of one cluster, no tracks.
- It does this assuming the neutral originates at (0,0,0), ie. the 3-momentum is in the direction of the vector to the cluster-C.O.G. The uncertainty on the direction is from clu->getPositionError().
- The magnitude of the momentum is obtained by clu->getEnergy(), with error from clu->getEnergyError().
- The total error from C.O.G. position and energy is propagated to the covariance of the 4-momentum.
- Then finally:

```
part->setMomentum(mom);
part->setCovMatrix(p_cov_v);
```

- Where should this be called ?
- Presently: This information is foreseen to be added by the PFO-builder *in MarlinPandora*.
- Is this really the right place for this kind of action ? Shouldn't MarlinPandora just do it's thing, and separate processor be responsible to build the PFOs, from Pandora or elsewhere ?
- In any case: The PFO-builder actually doesn't use this new code, but rather the older ClusterShapes (which does not calculate errors, but does a lot of other things ... ).
- So, actually it is already the case that info is added to the PFOs down-stream from Pandora (also for charged PFOs, by Tino's processor)
- So would need to re-write ClusterShapes processor to use WeightedPoints3D, and similarly for charged PFOs.
- Question: Is ClusterShapes used anywhere else ?

- Where should this be called ?
- Presently: This information is foreseen to be added by the PFO-builder *in MarlinPandora*.
- Is this really the right place for this kind of action ? Shouldn't MarlinPandora just do it's thing, and separate processor be responsible to build the PFOs, from Pandora or elsewhere ?
- In any case: The PFO-builder actually doesn't use this new code, but rather the older ClusterShapes (which does not calculate errors, but does a lot of other things ... ).
- So, actually it is already the case that info is added to the PFOs down-stream from Pandora (also for charged PFOs, by Tino's processor)
- So would need to re-write ClusterShapes processor to use WeightedPoints3D, and similarly for charged PFOs.
- Question: Is ClusterShapes used anywhere else ?

- Where should this be called ?
- Presently: This information is foreseen to be added by the PFO-builder *in MarlinPandora*.
- Is this really the right place for this kind of action ? Shouldn't MarlinPandora just do it's thing, and separate processor be responsible to build the PFOs, from Pandora or elsewhere ?
- In any case: The PFO-builder actually doesn't use this new code, but rather the older ClusterShapes (which does **not** calculate errors, but does a lot of other things ... ).
- So, actually it is already the case that info is added to the PFOs down-stream from Pandora (also for charged PFOs, by Tino's processor)
- So would need to re-write ClusterShapes processor to use WeightedPoints3D, and similarly for charged PFOs.
- Question: Is ClusterShapes used anywhere else ?

- Where should this be called ?
- Presently: This information is foreseen to be added by the PFO-builder *in MarlinPandora*.
- Is this really the right place for this kind of action ? Shouldn't MarlinPandora just do it's thing, and separate processor be responsible to build the PFOs, from Pandora or elsewhere ?
- In any case: The PFO-builder actually doesn't use this new code, but rather the older ClusterShapes (which does not calculate errors, but does a lot of other things ... ).
- So, actually it is already the case that info is added to the PFOs down-stream from Pandora (also for charged PFOs, by Tino's processor)
- So would need to re-write ClusterShapes processor to use WeightedPoints3D, and similarly for charged PFOs.
- Question: Is ClusterShapes used anywhere else ?

# $\begin{array}{l} \text{Physics} \Rightarrow \text{Whizard} \Rightarrow \text{Parton shower} \Rightarrow \text{hadronisation} \\ \Rightarrow \text{decays} \Rightarrow \text{Geant} \Rightarrow \text{MarlinReco} \Rightarrow \text{Pandora} \Rightarrow \text{Jet} \\ \text{clustering} \Rightarrow \textbf{YOU} \end{array}$

The TrueJet processor tries to connect YOU with the Physics using the true information about the event.

- The connection from Geant to You is done by the RecoMCTruthLinker processor, linking PFOs (and jets) to MCParticles.
- TrueJet takes care of the rest: How does the MCParticles connect to the hard event.

# $\begin{array}{l} \text{Physics} \Rightarrow .... \Rightarrow \text{Geant} \Rightarrow \text{MarlinReco} \Rightarrow \text{Pandora} \Rightarrow \\ \text{Jet clustering} \Rightarrow \text{YOU} \end{array}$

From ReconstructedParticle to MCParticle and back :

RecoMCTruthLinker

- There is a gap between MCParticles an ReconstructedParticles:
  - From Geant, the MCParticle creating every SimHit is known.
  - In digitisation and further reconstruction, SimHits are input, but the connection to the true particle creating the hit is not carried on.
  - RecoMCTruthLinker takes care of re-establishing this link.
- Input is the relations SimTrackerHit/SimCaloHit ↔ MCParticle, TrackerHit/CaloHit ↔ Track/Cluster and Track/Cluster ↔ ReconstructedParticle.
- Output is navigators between ReconstructedParticle/Track/Cluster and MCParticle.

# $\begin{array}{l} \text{Physics} \Rightarrow .... \Rightarrow \text{Geant} \Rightarrow \text{MarlinReco} \Rightarrow \text{Pandora} \Rightarrow \\ \text{Jet clustering} \Rightarrow \text{YOU} \end{array}$

From ReconstructedParticle to MCParticle and back :

RecoMCTruthLinker

- There is a gap between MCParticles an ReconstructedParticles:
  - From Geant, the MCParticle creating every SimHit is known.
  - In digitisation and further reconstruction, SimHits are input, but the connection to the true particle creating the hit is not carried on.
  - RecoMCTruthLinker takes care of re-establishing this link.
- Input is the relations SimTrackerHit/SimCaloHit ↔ MCParticle, TrackerHit/CaloHit ↔ Track/Cluster and Track/Cluster ↔ ReconstructedParticle.
- Output is navigators between ReconstructedParticle/Track/Cluster and MCParticle.

# Physics ⇒ Whizard ⇒ Parton shower ⇒ hadronisation ⇒ decays ⇒ Geant ⇒ ... ⇒ YOU

From MCParticles to Physics and back: TrueJet

- To link further back, TrueJet joins hadrons from the final colour singlets to di-jets.
- The di-jet is split into two jets, connected to the final quarks.
- It follows the decay-chain of the primary hadrons, and assigns each of them to the jet of it's parent.
- The process continues from generated to simulated particles.
- Then the final quark is followed back through the parton-shower.
- Ultimately, the initial colour singlet is found.
- Rather complicated: use the helper-class TrueJet\_Parser.

The initial colour singlet is the closest one gets to the initial physics (W,Z,h,...). For details: http://agenda.linearcollider.org/event/

6787/session/4/contribution/6/material/slides/0@pdf > ( > >

The "new" (wrt. DBD) RecoMCTruthLinker:

- RecoMCTruthLink is supplemented with MCTruthRecoLink to make it bi-directional in weight.
- Optionally, the weight can be redefined to contain weights to and from both clusters and tracks. (This feature is in the DBD version, but not used).
- For neutrals, it links all true particles that contributes.
- ClusterMCTruthLink is supplemented with MCTruthClusterLink to make it bi-directional in weight.
- TrackMCTruthLink and MCTruthTrackLink is bi-directional in the weight definition.

The "new" (wrt. DBD) RecoMCTruthLinker:

- RecoMCTruthLink is supplemented with MCTruthRecoLink to make it bi-directional in weight.
- Optionally, the weight can be redefined to contain weights to and from both clusters and tracks. (This feature is in the DBD version, but not used).
- For neutrals, it links all true particles that contributes.
- ClusterMCTruthLink is supplemented with MCTruthClusterLink to make it bi-directional in weight.
- TrackMCTruthLink and MCTruthTrackLink is bi-directional in the weight definition.

The "new" (wrt. DBD) RecoMCTruthLinker:

- RecoMCTruthLink is supplemented with MCTruthRecoLink to make it bi-directional in weight.
- Optionally, the weight can be redefined to contain weights to and from both clusters and tracks. (This feature is in the DBD version, but not used).
- For neutrals, it links all true particles that contributes.
- ClusterMCTruthLink is supplemented with MCTruthClusterLink to make it bi-directional in weight.
- TrackMCTruthLink and MCTruthTrackLink is bi-directional in the weight definition.

The "new" (wrt. DBD) RecoMCTruthLinker:

- RecoMCTruthLink is supplemented with MCTruthRecoLink to make it bi-directional in weight.
- Optionally, the weight can be redefined to contain weights to and from both clusters and tracks. (This feature is in the DBD version, but not used).
- For neutrals, it links all true particles that contributes.
- ClusterMCTruthLink is supplemented with MCTruthClusterLink to make it bi-directional in weight.
- TrackMCTruthLink and MCTruthTrackLink is bi-directional in the weight definition.

The "new" (wrt. DBD) RecoMCTruthLinker:

- RecoMCTruthLink is supplemented with MCTruthRecoLink to make it bi-directional in weight.
- Optionall from both but not u
   The aim is that the linking should be complete in both directions, ie. not just right in 98 % of the cases, but always !

ohts to and BD version,

- For neutrais, it initias an inde particies that continuutes.
- ClusterMCTruthLink is supplemented with MCTruthClusterLink to make it bi-directional in weight.
- TrackMCTruthLink and MCTruthTrackLink is bi-directional in the weight definition.

- The idea: Cluster ↔ All particles hitting the calorimeters, and that contribute with at least one calo-hit to the cluster.
- The weights are
  - In one direction: E<sub>calo</sub>(from MCP in this cluster)/E<sub>calo</sub>(from MCP)
  - In the other: E<sub>calo</sub>(In cluster from this MCP)/E<sub>calo</sub>(In cluster)
- The part "all particles hitting the calorimeter" is tricky:
  - Sometimes, a calo-hit comes from an MCParticle created inside the calorimeter: Need to back-track.
  - Back-scatters: Do they end up in the same cluster they came from ?
  - The dogma is that one can figure out that a particle started in the tracker by knowing that it's mother ended there.
  - Not! Non-destructive interactions: In Geant (but never in the generator) a particle might create new particles without disappearing. In this case, one must

- The idea: Cluster ↔ All particles hitting the calorimeters, and that contribute with at least one calo-hit to the cluster.
- The weights are
  - In one direction: E<sub>calo</sub>(from MCP in this cluster)/E<sub>calo</sub>(from MCP)
  - In the other: E<sub>calo</sub>(In cluster from this MCP)/E<sub>calo</sub>(In cluster)
- The part "all particles hitting the calorimeter" is tricky:
  - Sometimes, a calo-hit comes from an MCParticle created inside the calorimeter: Need to back-track.
  - Back-scatters: Do they end up in the same cluster they came from ?
  - The dogma is that one can figure out that a particle started in the tracker by knowing that it's mother ended there.
  - Not! Non-destructive interactions: In Geant (but never in the generator) a particle might create new particles without disappearing. In this case, one must

Detect that this thing happened (and work around a bug in MOKKA)
Use a set of tricks to figure out/guess if it happened in the tracker.

- The idea: Cluster ↔ All particles hitting the calorimeters, and that contribute with at least one calo-hit to the cluster.
- The weights are
  - In one direction: E<sub>calo</sub>(from MCP in this cluster)/E<sub>calo</sub>(from MCP)
  - In the other: E<sub>calo</sub>(In cluster from this MCP)/E<sub>calo</sub>(In cluster)
- The part "all particles hitting the calorimeter" is tricky:
  - Sometimes, a calo-hit comes from an MCParticle created inside the calorimeter: Need to back-track.
  - Back-scatters: Do they end up in the same cluster they came from ?
  - The dogma is that one can figure out that a particle started in the tracker by knowing that it's mother ended there.
  - Not! Non-destructive interactions: In Geant (but never in the generator) a particle might create new particles without disappearing. In this case, one must
    - Detect that this thing happened (and work around a bug in MOKKA)
       Use a set of tricks to figure out/guess if it happened in the tracker.

- The idea: Cluster ↔ All particles hitting the calorimeters, and that contribute with at least one calo-hit to the cluster.
- The weights are
  - In one direction: E<sub>calo</sub>(from MCP in this cluster)/E<sub>calo</sub>(from MCP)
  - In the other: E<sub>calo</sub>(In cluster from this MCP)/E<sub>calo</sub>(In cluster)
- The part "all particles hitting the calorimeter" is tricky:
  - Sometimes, a calo-hit comes from an MCParticle created inside the calorimeter: Need to back-track.
  - Back-scatters: Do they end up in the same cluster they came from ?
  - The dogma is that one can figure out that a particle started in the tracker by knowing that it's mother ended there.
  - Not! Non-destructive interactions: In Geant (but never in the generator) a particle might create new particles without disappearing. In this case, one must

Detect that this thing happened (and work around a bug in MOKKA)
Use a set of tricks to figure out/guess if it happened in the tracker.

- The idea: Cluster ↔ All particles hitting the calorimeters, and that contribute with at least one calo-hit to the cluster.
- The weights are
  - In one direction: E<sub>calo</sub>(from MCP in this cluster)/E<sub>calo</sub>(from MCP)
  - In the other: E<sub>calo</sub>(In cluster from this MCP)/E<sub>calo</sub>(In cluster)
- The part "all particles hitting the calorimeter" is tricky:
  - Sometimes, a calo-hit comes from an MCParticle created inside the calorimeter: Need to back-track.
  - Back-scatters: Do they end up in the same cluster they came from ?
  - The dogma is that one can figure out that a particle started in the tracker by knowing that it's mother ended there.
  - Not! Non-destructive interactions: In Geant (but never in the generator) a particle might create new particles without disappearing. In this case, one must
    - Detect that this thing happened (and work around a bug in MOKKA)
       Use a set of tricks to figure out/guess if it happened in the tracker.

- The idea: Cluster ↔ All particles hitting the calorimeters, and that contribute with at least one calo-hit to the cluster.
- The weights are
  - In one direction: E<sub>calo</sub> (from MCP in this cluster)/E<sub>calo</sub> (from MCP)
  - In the other:  $E_{calo}$  (In cluster from this MCP)/ $E_{calo}$  (In cluster)
- The part "all particles hitting the calorimeter" is tricky:
  - Sometimes, a calo-hit comes from an MCParticle created inside the calorimeter: Need to back-track.
  - Back-scatters: Do they end up in the same cluster they came from ?
  - The dogma is that one can figure out that a particle started in the tracker by knowing that it's mother ended there.
  - Not! Non-destructive interactions: In Geant (but never in the generator) a particle might create new particles without disappearing. In this case, one must



Detect that this thing happened (and work around a bug in MOKKA) Use a set of tricks to figure out/guess if it happened in the tracker.

A B F A B F

RecoMCTruthLinker and WeightedPoints3D at work: the TrueCluster processor

#### (This is not yet committed ....)

- TrueCluster creates Cluster-objects containing all hits a given MCParticle created.
- It also creates cluster-parts (also Cluster-objects) of the hits of a given MCParticle that ended up in a given PandoraCluster.
- ... and navigators MCP ↔ true cluster, true cluster ↔ cluster-part, and cluster-part ↔ Pandora cluster.

• Useful for:

- FastSim parametrisation on PFA.
- PFA performance studies and confusion parametrisations.
- Checking eg.  $\pi^0$  finding algorithms in an ideal world.
- Factoring out PFA in jet-finding.
- o ...

# RecoMCTruthLinker and WeightedPoints3D at work: the TrueCluster processor

#### (This is not yet committed ....)

- TrueCluster creates Cluster-objects containing all hits a given MCParticle created.
- It also creates cluster-parts (also Cluster-objects) of the hits of a given MCParticle that ended up in a given PandoraCluster.
- ... and navigators MCP ↔ true cluster, true cluster ↔ cluster-part, and cluster-part ↔ Pandora cluster.
- Useful for:
  - FastSim parametrisation on PFA.
  - PFA performance studies and confusion parametrisations.
  - Checking eg.  $\pi^0$  finding algorithms in an ideal world.
  - Factoring out PFA in jet-finding.
  - ...

- WeightedPoints3D and AddClusterProperties adds the missing data-members in Cluster and ReconstructedParticle classes.
- RecoMCTruthLinker (+ TrueCluster) is useful for studying particle-flow in detail.
- It is a pre-requisite for TrueJet to be maximally useful.
- TrueJet will be useful for disentangling effects of jet clustering from particle flow, from combinatorics, for detector effects.
- It is also useful for testing and developing overlay-removal, jet-clustering and secondary vertex methods.

#### Outlook:

- Future of ClusterShapes?
- Right place to build PFOs?
- Get RecoMCTruthLinker working in the new world of DD4Hep

- WeightedPoints3D and AddClusterProperties adds the missing data-members in Cluster and ReconstructedParticle classes.
- RecoMCTruthLinker (+ TrueCluster) is useful for studying particle-flow in detail.
- It is a pre-requisite for TrueJet to be maximally useful.
- TrueJet will be useful for disentangling effects of jet clustering from particle flow, from combinatorics, for detector effects.
- It is also useful for testing and developing overlay-removal, jet-clustering and secondary vertex methods.

Outlook:

- Future of ClusterShapes?
- Right place to build PFOs?
- Get RecoMCTruthLinker working in the new world of DD4Hep

- WeightedPoints3D and AddClusterProperties adds the missing data-members in Cluster and ReconstructedParticle classes.
- RecoMCTruthLinker (+ TrueCluster) is useful for studying particle-flow in detail.
- It is a pre-requisite for TrueJet to be maximally useful.
- TrueJet will be useful for disentangling effects of jet clustering from particle flow, from combinatorics, for detector effects.
- It is also useful for testing and developing overlay-removal, jet-clustering and secondary vertex methods.

Outlook:

- Future of ClusterShapes?
- Right place to build PFOs?
- Get RecoMCTruthLinker working in the new world of DD4Hep

- WeightedPoints3D and AddClusterProperties adds the missing data-members in Cluster and ReconstructedParticle classes.
- RecoMCTruthLinker (+ TrueCluster) is useful for studying particle-flow in detail.
- It is a pre-requisite for TrueJet to be maximally useful.
- TrueJet will be useful for disentangling effects of jet clustering from particle flow, from combinatorics, for detector effects.
- It is also useful for testing and developing overlay-removal, jet-clustering and secondary vertex methods.

Outlook:

- Future of ClusterShapes?
- Right place to build PFOs?
- Get RecoMCTruthLinker working in the new world of DD4Hep = correct spelling of SimHit collections in steering =DONE