Investigations of the long-term stability of a GEM-TPC

Oleksiy Fedorchuk FLC TPC group 2016, Santander







Universität Hamburg DER FORSCHUNG | DER LEHRE | DER BILDUNG





Goal of the Study





 Have built and operated TPC with triple GEM readout

Test beam in March 2013 and later in Fall 2013 showed a problem with the high voltage long-term stability

> After several weeks of stable operation

- Several observed discharges
- 1 destructive discharges with extreme conditions
- 2 destructive discharges at the end of Test Beam

Goals of this study:

- Study the discharge process
- Understand the cause of GEM destruction
- Find the way to increase GEM resistance to destructive consequences of a discharge



GEM structure and connection



- > previous experience with smaller (10x10) GEMs showed no problem
- study in detail the larger modules
- > note: all measurements are based on small statistics of destroyed GEMs, drawing conclusions is difficult

V_b=0V

10M

DESY

General voltage behavior

































Discharge completeness. Comparison voltage drop experimentally and with Spice simulation



Destructive discharge has been observed at from 250V to 710V Conclusions?



- We haven't found charge sharing between sectors in electrical approach.(Spice + experiment)
- > Discharges is full. Close to 100% (experiment)
- Dependence of destruction on voltage(discharge energy) in a broad range has not been found (experiment)



Oscillations chapter



Experimental setup (EXTREME CONDITIONS IS USED)





- We built a system to observe the light produced by discharges
- Light integrated over couple of thousands discharges
- U=650V (N2) instead of 250V(P5) or 360V (T2K)

Discharges light intensity



Oscilloscope measurements



Discharge simulations

Discharge causes current oscillations on GEM surface in different sectors (CST[®] simulations)





> Voltage oscillations caused by electromagnetic wave reflected from borders.

Unfortunately, quantitative comparison yet not possible because of absence precise model (at least discharge shape and plasma effects).



Current oscillations idea



If the lifetime of a plasma channel is bigger than charge transfer time + oscillations period, than we can receive current oscillations

Oscillations dumper idea



Idea is to connect additional circuit that will be transparent for the oscillations and will stabilize voltage.



Filter implementation experimental effect (neighbor sector)



520V	Without RC (22hours)	With RC (47hours)	Without RC again (63hours)
Registered discharges	166	75	75
Multy discharges among	13	0	5

Increasing voltage leads to increase oscillations and appearance of multiple discharges

GEM scope connection and frequency filtration



Voltage between sectors look like this



Voltages: discharge side and neighbor side



Neighbor sector shows less iscillations

Double discharges profile. First part of the double discharge (red) is overlayed with single one (blue)



Double discharges profile



> Blue curve is a single discharge

- First part of a double discharge is not seenable, because single discharge has completely same profile
- Time between discharges is not the same



Role of scope as a filter

> Old measurements

520V	Without RC (22hours)	With RC (47hours)	Without RC again (63hours)
Registered discharges	166	75	75
Multy discharges among	13	0	5

- Repeating of this measurements with 4 attached scope channels shows with and without filter multiple discharge level ~5% instead of ~14% expected.
- > This make us a hint that scope itself works as a filter
- In addition this means that that all previous scope pictures already was changed by a presence of the scope



Intermediate conclusions (II)

- >We see observe multiple discharges (30-150 ns time difference).
- > The oscillations are triggered by a discharge in one GEM
- We see evidence that introducing a filter (damper) can significantly reduce the multiple discharge rate
- We can see pure charge transfer time about 50 ns, but it is not clear how comparable is it with plasma channel lifetime



Oxide protection



Observations of ultimate high number of discharges



- operated double framed GEM under extreme conditions with protective circuit
- recorded about 30,000 discharges
- towards the end deterioration of performance, constant current
- > physical damage to the GEM observed, details are under study





Repetition of the test (about 150,000 discharges)



- > About 150,000 discharges.
- Stage of testing the protective circuit



Assumption

Stable chemical compounds



CuO





Cu2O



- Oxidation takes place faster on higher temperature
- We assume that dark spots appear due to local heating up by a discharge
- Oxide layer may serve as protective coverage



Copper oxides are semiconductors

Effect of post-annealing on the properties of copper oxide thin films obtained from the oxidation of evaporated metallic copper

V. Figueiredo^a, E. Elangovan^{a,*}, G. Gonçalves^a, P. Barquinha^a, L. Pereira^a, N. Franco^b, E. Alves^b, R. Martins^a, E. Fortunato^a

^a Materials Science Department, CENIMAT-13N and CEMOP-UNINOVA, FCT-UNL, Campus de Caparica, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal ^bLFI, Dep. Física, Instituto Tecnológico e Nuclear, EN10, 2686-953 Sacavém, Portugal

> Received 23 October 2007; received in revised form 12 December 2007; accepted 14 December 2007 Available online 23 December 2007

$T(^{\circ}C)$	t (µm)	AVT (%)	Band gap (eV)	Carrier type	Resistivity		Mobility (cm ² V ^{-1} s ^{-1})	Carrier concentration (cm ⁻³)
					$R_{\rm sh} \; (\Omega/\Box)$	ρ (Ω -cm)		l l
RT	0.15	0.1	-	n	0.29	3.51×10^{-6}	18.30	9.72×10^{22}
100	0.15	5.1	2.70	n	1.82	2.73×10^{-5}	6.11	3.75×10^{22}
200	0.17	65.6	3.02	р	6.37×10^{6}	108	1.56	3.69×10^{16}
250	0.17	49.4	2.57	p	1.48×10^7	251	1.27	1.95×10^{16}
300	0.17	38.0	2.85	р	3.24×10^{7}	551	0.21	5.45×10^{16}
350	0.18	44.4	2.03	n	1.07×10^7	192	0.26	1.25×10^{17}
400	0.18	43.0	2.79	n	3.22×10^{6}	58	0.22	4.98×10^{17}
450	0.18	36.4	2.80	n	1.73×10^{6}	31	0.28	7.19×10^{17}

T—annealing temperature; t—film thickness; AVT—average visible transmittance; R_{sh} —sheet resistivity; ρ —electrical resistivity.

Low temperature (<100 $^\circ\text{C}$) deposited P-type cuprous oxide thin films: Importance of controlled oxygen and deposition energy

Flora M. Li ^{a,*}, Rob Waddingham ^a, William I. Milne ^a, Andrew J. Flewitt ^a, Stuart Speakman ^b, James Dutson ^c, Steve Wakeham ^c, Mike Thwaites ^c

^a Electrical Engineering Division, Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB3 0FA, UK

^b 3T Technologies Limited, 7 Chapel Drive, Little Waltham, Chelmsford, CM3 3LW, UK

^c Plasma Quest Limited,	Unit 1B Rose Estate, Osborn V	Vay, Hook, Hampshire, RG27	9UT, UK				
Name	Molecular formula	IUPAC name	E_{g} (eV)	Resistivity (Ω -cm)	Туре	Crystal structure	Appearance
Cuprous oxide Cupric oxide	Cu ₂ O CuO	Copper (I) oxide Copper (II) oxide	2.0–2.6 1.2–1.6	10 ³ -10 ⁸ 0.01-1	P N/P	Cubic Monoclinic	Yellow/Red, semi-transparent Darker colour

- > Cu: ~10⁻¹ Ohm/cm²
- Cu2O: ~10⁷ Ohm/cm²
- > Cu2O: ~10⁶ Ohm/cm²

It starts to accumulate mostly after 350 with high presents of air



We try to grow oxide layer (3 hours at 200C)

Presumably covered with bigger percentage of CuO(black)___



Presumably covered mostly by Cu₂O(red)



Presumably trace of previous sparks



- Two 4-working sectors example is stable after >10,000 discharges each
- > Gain tests are needed.



Intermediate conclusions (III)

- Theoretically and practically we see that oxide layer serve as a protection against destructive discharges
- There are two Oxide type CuO and Cu2O. At least theoretically Cu2O is preferable due to significantly bigger surface resistivity
- >Gain studies and oxidation parameters tuning are needed



Discharge topology



Discharge area evolution



Discharge area after 5k-10k discharges start to be located in some certain place

- This place start to be oxided (presumably)
- This spots tends to grow





Impact of the Module Mechanics





hours. 4 Sectors



- Framed from both sides
- > 2503 events at 600 V for 24 hours. 2 Sectors



Potential Glue spot and less fried GEM

Glue didn't let surface to oxide. This is why we see bright place.





NOTE!

The surface not as dark and not as bright as on the picture. This happened due to direct flash of microscope light.



Intermediate conclusions (IV)

- We see that after 5k-10k discharges more or less uniformly distributed discharge places start to dominate near dark spotted places
- Glue spill + frame/hole overlapping attracts discharges and reduce voltage breakdown threshold. Modifications has been already done.



Types of shorts



Types of shorts between GEM plates

<5 Ohm	1-20 kOhm	>1 MOhm
Not healable by us	Not healable by us	Healable by: - applying low current for
By applying huge current (~0.8A) may go to second column	May go to high resistive state by applying current (1-5 mA). But still not healable.	long time - high current (~20 uA) for a short time - good cleaning



Summary

- > We haven't found charge sharing between sectors in electrical approach.
- > Discharges is full. Close to 100%
- Dependence of destruction on voltage(discharge energy) in a broad range has not been found
- We see observe multiple discharges (30-150 ns time difference) triggered by oscillations that has been created by primary discharge
- We see evidence that introducing a filter (damper) can significantly reduce the multiple discharge rate
- We can see pure charge transfer time about 50 ns, but it is not clear how comparable is it with plasma channel lifetime
- Theoretically and practically we see that oxide layer serve as a protection against destructive discharges. Further studies are needed
- We see that after 5k-10k discharges more or less uniformly distributed discharge places start to dominate near dark spotted places
- Glue spill + frame/hole overlapping attracts discharges and reduce voltage breakdown threshold. Modifications has been already done

Shorts are not the same and likely have a different nature Of the same and likely have a different nature Of the structure of the same and likely have a different nature Of the structure of the same and likely have a different nature



Behavior of common sides



Common side behaviors are not the same despite the fact that they are connected. For both cases: with R and without.



Power Supply position influence



Influence of Power Supply separation



Potential profiles families



R

10M

Fourier transformation



Oscillation profile for common electrode and sector is not the same

About 250kEvents. Last Burned GEM



No evidence of field influence. CST







Bright spots





Other imperfections





Gas Electron Multipliers (GEM) simulations



