



# **Extraction Line Diagnostics**



## ILC-BDIR WG4 Interim Workshop Royal Holloway University of London

Eric Torrence University of Oregon





Real Work

- SLAC/BNL/UK/France 2 mRad consortium (Yuri)
- Ken Moffeit (Woods, myself)

#### Outline

- X-line instrumentation overview
- 20 mRad instrumentation reminder
- 2 mRad instrumentation design
- Polarization issues
- Spectrometry issues

Instrumentation = Energy Spect. + Polarimetry

Mistakes (of course) are mine



## Fundamental Goal

Spin-dependent absolute collision energy spectrum

**Typical Components** 

- Beam Energy
- Beam Energy Width
- Beam Polarization
- Absolute Luminosity
- Differential Luminosity Spectrum

All are intrinsically related in fundamental goal





Goals often defined by what is considered "achievable"

- $\langle \sqrt{s} \rangle$  understood to 50-100 ppm  $m_H$ ,  $m_t$ ,  $m_X$ Beam energy necessary but not sufficient
- Polarization  $\Delta P \sim 0.25\%$   $A_{LR}$  at high energy
- Goal for polarimeter, could use better, 0.1% with  $P_+$

• Absolute luminosity ALCPG view:  $\Delta L \sim 0.2\%$  ("easy") Tesla view:  $\Delta L \sim 0.01\%$  ("very hard")

LEP expt.  $3.4 \times 10^{-4}$  Theory  $5.4 \times 10^{-4}$ 

Motivations given are  $\sigma_Z$  and  $\sigma_{q\bar{q}}$ 

Baseline goals for high energy, high luminosity running

Use mixture of beam-based and physics-based observables Redundancy is key to precision





# Polarimetry

- That's what was done at SLC
- Diagnostic for IP spin depolarization
- Easier spin vector alignment?
- Main detector backgrounds?

**Energy Spectrometry** 

- WISRD-style complimentary to upstream BPM
- Possible to monitor IP disruption
- Potential to get info on lumi spectrum

## General strategy for high accuracy measurements: redundancy and complementarity



Designing an extraction line at High Energy and High Luminosity is difficult (impossible?)

Instrumentation needs imply the following additional constraints

Polarimetry

- Spin vector parallel at Compton and main IP jitter tolerance spin vector alignment
- Secondary focus at point of high dispersion polarimeter chicane
- Desire for favorable transfer function  $(R_{22})$
- Quiet location for detector at compton endpoint

Spectrometry

- Production of "signal" synchrotron radiation
- Line of sight to SR detectors outside beam stayclear
- Secondary focus at SR detector plane

Additional constraints must be satisfied with realistic magnets, apertures, beam losses, and backgrounds (still to be done!)



Yuri Nosochkov - June 1<sup>st</sup>



## 20 mRad instrumentation layout





#### Key Points/Issues

- Apertures: 20 cm gap for "wigglers", 20x40 cm for Pol Chicane dipoles Energy bandwidth, SR line-of-sight, stayclear, Compton endpoint
- SR detectors slightly downstream of 2nd focus resolution issue
- Detectors very tight to nominal stayclear background issue



Three vertical chicanes! Energy collimation at ~10% E<sub>nom</sub> Parallel beam at compton IP





#### 2 mRad instrumentation layout





### **IP-Polarimeter differences**



Depolarization in collision

- Sokolov-Ternov and BMT precession
- Overall lumi-weighted ~ 1/4 total depol.
- $\Delta P_{lum} \sim 0.5\%$ , should be re-evaluated with modern machine parameters

IP-polarimeter spin precession

$$\Delta \theta = \gamma \frac{(g-2)}{2} \theta_0$$

- 1000x amplification, need spin vector longitudinal and parallel to ~ 50 μRad
- Harder with 2 IPs (double spin rotators)
- Must worry about solenoid in x-angle



## New IP simulation (GuineaPig) with spin transport may help guide arguments here

Ultimately want to measure these effects





(Moffeit, Mönig, Woods, Schuler, Nososchkov)

My understanding is that positive R<sub>22</sub> possible, at expense of longer 2 mRad extraction line

Only BMT, S-T (spin flip) evolution not included (need GP/Cain)







Large 20 cm aperture unsuitable for "traditional" wigglers Dipole SR background

E<sub>beam</sub> E<sub>crit</sub> (MeV) 50 0.3 250 34 500 275

(for 1 mRad/m)

$$E_{crit} = 3hc\gamma^3/(2\rho)$$

Sum of both

Need Wigglers at all?





Subtract wiggler-off background?

X

Ι



Wiggler



#### 2 mRad detector plane



Dipole SR is potentially a serious background problem for both detectors...

Gas Cerenkov: 10 MeV Quartz Fiber: 0.7 MeV

Need careful study of backgrounds and shielding options

Not at all clear whether this will work!

(2 mRad or 20 mRad)







Summary

- 2 mRad vertical crossing-angle w/ diagnostic chicanes available for  $E_{beam} = 250 \text{ GeV}$
- Not obviously worse than 20 mRad solution
- No detailed study showing any of this will work!

Immediate Plans

- I have so far failed to get BDSIM running for X-line studies, but Orsay group (Olivier Dadoun) have this working now (IP->dump)
- Have 2 mRad and 20 mRad model available for spectrometer (and eventually polarimeter) performance and background studies by Snowmass
- Start some real thought on wiggler design/usage

Longer Term

- Incorporate realistic solenoid, DID, anti-solenoid, final doublet fringing field, etc
- Detailed Geant4 detector description