### **PERFORMANCE STUDIES**

#### Mikael Berggren

LPNHE, Paris VI&VII

Talk given at ECFA -ILC workshop, Vienna, 14-17 November 2005

## **Expected momentum resolution**

$$\sigma(1/p) = (8 \star 330/B) \sin \theta \sigma(S)/L^2$$
 at high p

Where:

- $\blacksquare$  B=B-field in T
- L= length of the coda ("the lever arm") in cm. In the barrel, L= radius of the outermost tracking detector, In the forward L=tan  $\theta$ times the Z of the outermost tracking detector.
- $\sigma(S) = \text{error on the sagita in cm. } \sigma(S) \propto 1/\sqrt{n}$ . *n* (the number of measured points) is a function of *L*.

- I: The divergence in the TDR: Once the last disk is hit, n goes as  $1/\sqrt{\tan \theta}$ .
- II: The step: End of The Vertex Detector
- Remedy I:Add *disks* all the way to the end of the TPC (5 more strip-disks)
- Remedy II: Add a *pixel disk* with  $\sigma_{point} = 4\mu$ just outside the VD
- Also: better point-resolution in all disks  $(7 \mu)$ .



- I: The divergence in the TDR: Once the last disk is hit, n goes as  $1/\sqrt{\tan \theta}$ .
- II: The step: End of The Vertex Detector
- Remedy I:Add *disks* all the way to the end of the TPC (5 more strip-disks)
- Remedy II: Add a *pixel disk* with  $\sigma_{point} = 4\mu$ just outside the VD
- Also: better point-resolution in all disks  $(7 \mu)$ .



- I: The divergence in the TDR: Once the last disk is hit, n goes as  $1/\sqrt{\tan \theta}$ .
- II: The step: End of The Vertex Detector
- Remedy I:Add *disks* all the way to the end of the TPC (5 more strip-disks)
- Remedy II: Add a *pixel disk* with  $\sigma_{point} = 4\mu$ just outside the VD
- Also: better point-resolution in all disks  $(7 \mu)$ .



- I: The divergence in the TDR: Once the last disk is hit, n goes as  $1/\sqrt{\tan \theta}$ .
- II: The step: End of The Vertex Detector
- Remedy I:Add *disks* all the way to the end of the TPC (5 more strip-disks)
- Remedy II: Add a *pixel disk* with  $\sigma_{point} = 4\mu$ just outside the VD
- Also: better point-resolution in all disks  $(7 \mu)$ .



## The silicon envelope: ECT

Move ECT by 30 cm: as *close* as possible, as *far* as possible, or *evenly spaced*. Study the effect of TPC end-plate

- 250 GeV: 30 cm change corresponds to a change of  $L^2$  by 25 %, which is observed. Some effect of the scattering in the end-plate is visible even at this momentum.
- 25 GeV: Dominated by scattering. If the end-plate remains as thick as in the TDR, it is best to place the ECT as close as possible

It is best to have a very precise point close a scattering surface



## The silicon envelope: SIT

x 10 0.8  $\Delta(1/p)[GeV/c]^{-1}$ 0.6 0.6 0.5 0.5 The TDR 3 SIT layers 3 SIT layers, first VD-type 3 SIT layers, last VD-type 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 0 10<sup>2</sup> p [GeV/c] 10

-4

Does it help to have more layers in the SIT. Or more precise ones?

**D** TDR

3 layers,

- 3 layers with the inner-most of VD-type  $(4 \mu)$
- 3 layers with the outer-most of VD-type  $(4 \mu)$

It is best to have a very precise point close a scattering surface

. - p.5/7

## The silicon envelope: SET

How to distribute the SET layers?

- **D** TDR+SET: SET takes 10 cm of the TPC, and has three layers with 25  $\mu$ resolution
- **D** TDR+thinSET: SET "nothing" of the TPC, and has one, 25  $\mu$ resolution layer
- **D** TDR+thinSET, with 14.4  $\mu$ (= 25/ $\sqrt{3}$ ) resolution
- Same thing, in the low end of the spectrum

Scattering makes little difference, since the SET is quite short. At high momentum, the  $1/L^2$ -factor favours pushing the SET as far out as possible, and at lower momenta, it is more worth-while to retain as much as possible of the TPC lever-arm.



## The silicon envelope: SET

How to distribute the SET layers?

- TDR+SET: SET takes 10 cm of the TPC, and has three layers with 25  $\mu$ resolution
- **D** TDR+thinSET: SET "nothing" of the TPC, and has one, 25  $\mu$ resolution layer
- TDR+thinSET, with 14.4  $\mu$ (= 25/ $\sqrt{3}$ ) resolution
- Same thing, in the low end of the spectrum

Scattering makes little difference, since the SET is quite short. At high momentum, the  $1/L^2$ -factor favours pushing the SET as far out as possible, and at lower momenta, it is more worth-while to retain as much as possible of the TPC lever-arm.



# Summary

- A set of thumb-rules to estimate the performance of the tracking-system wrt. p measurement:
  - It's the lever-arm...
  - For p, the position of scattering surfaces is most important. MS close to the edges of the measuring range does not hurt. Consequently, adding precise points close to un-avoidable scatterers is a good idea..
  - Extremely high precisions at either edge doesn't pay: Having the end-points fixed is only 20% better than having the same error in all points..
- These principles lead to a modest proposal how to ameliorate the TDR design:
  - **Extend the FTD** all the way to the end of the TPC, and distribute them evenly..
  - Add a micro-pixel disk to the end-plate of the VD..
  - Distributing the ECT evenly between the TPC and the ECAL is the best compromise over p.
  - Fit the SIT with an outer layer with highest possible  $R\phi$ -precision.
  - Attempt to make the SET thin, and as close to the ECAL as possible.
- The first two points will geatly ameliorate the resolution at the lowest angles. If the disks are made more precise  $(7\mu)$  the design goal of  $\sigma(1/p) < 5 \ 10^{-5}$  can be met at all angles.