LC Developments in the Americas

LCWS 2017 Industrial Session, Strasbourg October 25, 2017

Hugh Montgomery

Jefferson Lab

Acknowledgements

- Input to the sections describing technical activities in the Americas was provided by Alain Bellerive, Jim Brau, Marcel Demarteau, Michael Peskin, Marc Ross, Steinar Stapnes, David Rubin, Andy White, Graham Wilson
- Michael Peskin provided data on the Americas Linear Collider Workshop.
- The status of ILC in Canadian physics planning was provided by Jonathan Bagger
- The political situation vis-à-vis the Particle Physics Project Prioritization Panel (P5) was provided by Andy Lankford, High Energy Physics Advisory Panel (HEPAP) Chair.

ILC Developments in the Americas

Explicit funding for ILC has been modest

Participation in physics case is strong

Experimental and Detector groups are active in both the SiD, ILD and CLIC collaborations

Accelerator work

in some specific areas of CLIC through LCLS II and SRF R&D is substantial

Americas Linear Collider Committee active



Silicon Detector

Tracking System

SLAC, U.Oregon, UC Davis, ANL, UNM, Yale, (Bristol)

Electro-magnetic calorimeter

SLAC, U. Oregon

Hadronic calorimeter

UTA, SLAC, ANL

Muon system FNAL

Forward region UCSC, SLAC

MDI/Installation SLAC, (DESY)

Computing/Software/Physics SLAC(DESY), PNNL, (Glasgow), UO, UTA, ANL



- Design options
- New (DD4HEP) simulation
- Physics studies
- Cost estimation/reduction
- Mechanical/electronics engineering to support conceptual design













- Letter of Intent published in 2010. Includes 12 US and 5 Canadian institutions.
- Since 2015, ILD has transitioned to a more formal management structure. Currently 71 institutions are members of the Institute Assembly including 7 from the US and Canada.
 Carleton, Indiana, Kansas, McGill, NIU, Princeton, Victoria
- ILD's current effort is focused on preparing the groundwork for a real proposal and understanding better the detector optimization and performance.
- Pending the green-light, securing funding for ILC targeted work in North America has been difficult. Some limited funding possibilities with base-grant funding and now with US-Japan. Canada similar.
- Activities:

McGill, NIU – participation in CALICE R&D collaboration Carleton, Victoria, Cornell – contributions to LC-TPC R&D collaboration Kansas - physics and detector studies, ILD management (Wilson)

• Contacts in North America: Alain Bellerive (Canada) and Graham Wilson (US).

CLIC Detector

ANL, U. Michigan, and Pontificia Universidad Catolica, Santiago, Chili

Accelerator Development

• CLIC

- ANL- development (with Tsinghua) of X-Band dielectric accelerating structures
- Cornell instrumentation development and testing on CESR
- SLAC Development of X-band klystrons

ILC Linac

- \circ LCLS II Construction → Cost Savings
 - Nitrogen Treatment
 - Higher Q0 Values
 - Potential for Higher Gradients
 - Infrastructure FNAL, Jefferson Lab
 - Demonstration of production capability
 - Partnership SLAC-FNAL-Jefferson Lab

• Niobium material

- Material affects relevant performance characteristics
- Ingot material gives potential further cost decrease

LCLS-II Cavity and Cryomodule Production and Qualification Process

Step hosted	Industry	Industry/Labora tory	Partner- laboratory	Host- laboratory
LCLS-II		(Jlab, FNAL)	(Jlab, FNAL, DESY, SLAC)	(SLAC)
Sub-comp/material - Production/Procurement	Nb, Ti, specific comp		Procurement	
9-cell Cavity - Manufacturing	9-cell-cavity, Process, He-Jacketing		Procurement	
9-cell Cavity - Performance Test			Cold, gradient test	
Cryomodule component - Manufacturing	V. vessel, cold-mass		Procurement	
Cryomodule/Cavity - Assembly		Cav-string/ CM-assembly		
SCRF Cryomodule - Performance Test			Cold, gradient test	
Accelerator integration, Commissioning				Accelerator sys. Integ

R & D for ILC – SRF Cost Reduction



LCLS-II Lessons-Learned

- Preserving very high Q0 requires extra steps
 - $\,\circ\,$ N2 doped Nb sensitivity to ambient B field is 4x worse
 - Ambient magnetic field reduction: shielding and 'hygiene'
 - \circ Meissner-transition control \rightarrow maximize flux-expulsion
 - \circ Nb properties \rightarrow hardness, grain-size, dislocations
- Microphonics (CW SRF Problem)
- Field emission control
- Industrialization optimizing processes from XFEL
- US can manage this technology:
 - $_{\odot}$ LCLS-II will be the first CW low-loss SRF Linac

Americas Workshop on Linear Colliders

151 registered participants

- 1st Day Plenary
 - Past and future of linear colliders:
 - Future of KEK and Linear Colliders:
 - Questions about ILC staging options:

- Burton Richter Masanori Yamauchi Benno List
- Open Day with major discussion and enhanced attendance
- Workshop attended by Hon. Ito
 - **o** Tour, Discussions with Participants
 - Presentation Outlook for ILC in Japan





ALCC Activities

• P5 2013-14

- Presentation on the physics case, and on a model of US contributions to a 250 GeV ILC, with conversion from ILCU costs to US costs.
- Hosted DIET visit to Washington DC in Spring 2017

o Following visits in 2014, 2015, 2016 with HEPAP Chair present

- Americas Workshop on Linear Colliders, SLAC June 2017
- Active Participation International Arena
 - Physics Studies
 - LCC Cost review
 - Linear Collider Board Discussions

Briefing to DOE HEP

- Staging/Scope of ILC250
- Physics case for ILC250
- Cost Savings from scope and funded R&D accrued and projected

Highlights of the P5 Report

Particle physics is global.

US planning should be done within global context.

Recommendation 1: Pursue the most important opportunities wherever they are, and host unique, world-class facilities that engage the global scientific community.

A strategic plan, executable over <u>10</u> years, in the context of a 20-year global vision, in *realistic* budget scenarios

A balanced program:

- Address all 5 science drivers
- Host projects in U.S. + participate in projects hosted elsewhere
- Large, medium, and small projects

Three large projects, unfolding with time

- High-Luminosity LHC, at CERN
- International neutrino program hosted in U.S.
- ILC hosted in Japan
- Order based on budget constraints, physics needs, and readiness.

P5 Recommendation for the ILC

"The interest expressed in Japan in hosting the International Linear Collider (ILC) is an exciting development."

- ILC addresses 3 of the 5 science drivers
- Use the Higgs boson as a new tool for discovery
- As the physics case is extremely strong,

Support for ILC planned at some level in all budget scenarios, through a decision point within the next five years.

Participation by the U.S. in ILC project construction depends on a number of key factors,

some of which are beyond the scope of P5 and some of which depend on budget Scenarios.

Possibilities:

- If the ILC proceeds, there is a high-priority option in Scenario C to enable the U.S. to play world-leading roles. (in C, new funds available)
- Even if there are no additional funds available, some hardware contributions <u>may</u> be possible in Scenario B, depending on the status of international agreements at that time.

ILC Status in Americas

ILC is contained in the Canadian Subatomic Physics Long-Range Plan, covering the period 2017-2021 and so remains within its horizon

US P5 Report from 2014 was positive and is basis for current agency posture

It was very well received by DOE and by Congress

ALCC is interacting with DOE and HEPAP in preparation for positive Japanese announcement