

Update from the Physics & Parameter Groups

J. List, June 9 2017
ILC @ DESY General Project Meeting

Part - I

Update from the LCC Physics WG

Recent Activities in the LCC Physics WG

- "The Potential of the ILC for Discovering New Particles"
  => arXiv:1702.05333

- since then: staging, staging, staging :
  - focus on 250 GeV physics case
  - paradigm shift in Higgs coupling measurement interpretation => effective field theory!
    (c.f. Christophe's talk last meeting)
  - paper in preparation on "Improved Formalism for Precision Higgs Coupling Fits"
  - using Higgs and electroweak measurements

NEW: role of polarisation for Higgs measurements

- some EFT parameters cannot be constrained from unpolarised total cross sections (c.f. Christophe's talk)
- need either: polarised cross sections or angular distributions and high luminosity

+ 500 GeV

	$2\ ab^{-1}$ w. pol.	$5\ ab^{-1}$ no pol.	$20\ ab^{-1}$ no pol.	full ILC EFT fit
$g(hb\bar{b})$	1.5	1.1	0.8	0.6
$g(hc\bar{c})$	2.1	1.6	1.0	1.1
$g(hgg)$	1.9	1.5	0.9	0.9
$g(hWW)$	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.31
$g(h\tau\tau)$	1.6	1.2	0.8	0.8
$g(hZZ)$	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.31
$g(h\mu\mu)$	14	9.0	1.5	8.6
$g(hbb)/g(hWW)$	1.1	0.8	0.4	0.5
$g(hWW)/g(hZZ)$	0.34	0.38	0.04	0.02
Γ_h	3.1	2.5	1.7	1.5
$\sigma(e^+e^- \to Zh)$	0.70	0.51	0.27	0.56
$BR(h \to inv)$	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3
$BR(h \to other)$	1.6	1.2	0.6	1.1

NEW: confronting BSM models with ILC precisions

- define global chi^2 based on all fit parameters g:

$$(\chi^2) = g^T\ [VCV^T]^{-1}\ g$$

- test two model hypotheses A & B against each other:

$$(\chi^2)_{AB} = (g_A^T - g_B^T)\ [VCV^T]^{-1}\ (g_A - g_B)$$

- A,B: either SM or various BSM models
- obtain “matrix” of discrimination power

Preliminary list of models:

- BSM1: pMSSM with m (sbottom, gluino) = 3-4 TeV,
  Higgsino LSP at 515 GeV
- BSM2-6: 2HDM type I, II, X, Y
  with heavy Higgses at 150 - 600 GeV
- BSM7,8: Little Higgs with T-parity
  with f~1TeV, top partners at ~2 TeV
- BSM 9: Extra Dimensions with Higgs-Radion mixing,
  m_radion = 500 GeV, others multi-TeV
- BSM 10: Electroweak baryogenesis model with additional
  Higgs-singlet, m ~ 3TeV
- in prep: Higgs compositeness

Discrimination power of ILC250, 2ab-1



Discrimination power of ILC250, 2ab-1

1. Discover deviation from SM



Discrimination power of ILC250, 2ab-1



Discrimination power of ILC250, 2ab-1 + ILC500 4ab-1 (~H-20)



Discrimination power of ILC250, 2ab-1
+ ILC500 4ab-1 (~H-20)



Part - II

Update from the Parameter Group

- UNOFFICIAL-

Preparation of Staging Discussion at AWLC

Wed 28/06

Shinichiro Michizono: Staged design plan for the ILC

Junping Tian: Luminosity and energy evolution for the staged ILC

Philip Burrows: Staged design plan for CLIC

Panel discussion of ILC staging options

Staged Main Linac Configurations (S.Michizono)

500GeV **TDR:**



250 GeV **Option C:**



Option D:

350GeV Option D':

Option E:

350GeV Option E':



Option F:

350GeV Option F':



Option C: “no empty tunnel”

Option C:



more luminosity:

- **no 10 Hz operation** (would need to install power in the “wrong” places for 500 GeV!)
- **TDR => RDR**: more power, 2nd damping ring **=> ~ 1.5 years**

more energy:

- **tunnel construction** and installation of cryomodules during physics operation, only “short” break for connecting new & old parts **=> ~1 year**
- need to **build new turn-around** (~1 km of beamline!)
  => intermediate steps (eg 350 GeV) highly discouraged

Option D: “simple tunnel”

Option D:

350GeV Option D’:

more luminosity:

- **no 10 Hz operation** (would need to install power in the “wrong” places for 500 GeV!)
- **TDR => RDR**: more power, 2nd damping ring **=> ~ 1.5 years**

more energy:

- simple tunnel exists => energy upgrade a bit cheaper than in C
- **tunnel preparation** and installation of cryomodules during physics operation, only “short” break for connecting new & old parts **=> ~1 year**
- need to **build new turn-around** (~1 km of beamline!)
  => intermediate “physical” 350 GeV step highly discouraged

Option C “no empty tunnel” vs D: “simple tunnel”

- **big** difference in credibility of energy upgrade!
- but hardly any difference wrt the running scenario, in both cases the candidate is:



Option C “no empty tunnel” vs D: “simple tunnel”

- **big** difference in credibility of energy upgrade!
- but hardly any difference wrt the running scenario, in both cases the candidate is:



Option E: “high-E transport”

Option E:
350GeV Option E’:



more luminosity:

- **no 10 Hz operation** (would need to install power in the “wrong” places for 500 GeV!)
- TDR => RDR (more power, 2nd damping ring) **=> ~ 1.5 years**

more energy:

- a real **promise !**
- installation of cryomodules **=> ~1 year**
- **no need to build new turn-around** => intermediate steps “easy”

Option E “high-E transport” vs C/D

- **even much stronger** credibility of energy upgrade!
- could keep previous scenario - or **go for higher energies first:**



Option E “high-E transport” vs C/D

- **even much stronger** credibility of energy upgrade!
- could keep previous scenario - or





Option E “high-E transport” vs C/D

- **even much stronger** credibility of energy upgrade!
- could keep previous scenario - or



top physics starts after ~7 years



Option E “high-E transport” vs C/D

- **even much stronger** credibility of energy upgrade!
- could keep previous scenario - or



Option F: “sparse linac”

Option F:

350GeV Option F’:



more luminosity:

- **10 Hz operation possible** (by installation of power plants needed for 500 GeV anyhow)
  => lumi x2 without significant shutdown
- TDR => RDR (more power, 2nd damping ring) **=> ~ 1.5 years**

more energy:

- a real **promise !**
- installation of cryomodules **=> ~1 year**
- **no need to move turn around** => intermediate steps “easy”

Option F “sparse linac” vs E

- **10 Hz** offers **option** to go to **higher luminosity first**
- **e.g. if energy upgrade not yet financed**
- **most flexible scheme**

Integrated Luminosities [fb]



Option F “sparse linac” vs E

- **10 Hz** offers **option** to go to **higher luminosity first**
- **e.g. if energy upgrade not yet financed**
- **most flexible scheme**





Conclusions

- LCC Physics WG
  - very active in making the 250 GeV physics case
  - new way to illustrate BSM capabilities of Higgs/EW program
- Parameter WG
  - preparing for major staging discussion at SLAC, to converge on one prefered staging option
  - developing running scenarios for each of the proposed staging options (“primed” version to come…)