Update of $h \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ Analysis

Shin-ichi Kawada (DESY)

2017/October/11

Software/Analysis Meeting





Reminder (copy of my AWLC2017 talk)

Showed first results of $h \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ at 250/500 GeV based on ILD full simulation for the first time

| Table 7: | Obtained precision | $\frac{\Delta(\sigma \times BR)}{(\sigma \times BR)}$ | |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|---|-------------|
| $500 {\rm GeV}$ | $q\overline{q}h$ | $ u \overline{ u} h$ | |
| left-handed | $26\% (1600 \text{ fb}^{-1})$ | $31\% (1600 \text{ fb}^{-1})$ | |
| right-handed | $36\% (1600 \text{ fb}^{-1})$ | $61\% (1600 \text{ fb}^{-1})$ | Č. |
| $250 \mathrm{GeV}$ | $q\overline{q}h$ | $ u \overline{ u} h$ | |
| left-handed | $29\% (1350 \text{ fb}^{-1})$ | | preliminary |
| right-handed | $45\% (450 \text{ fb}^{-1})$ | | - |



Everything is better than extrapolation results Combine everything gives **14%** precision: almost same precision expected at the HL-LHC

Quick Introduction

- Obtained several first numbers based on real analysis
 - 500 GeV: qqh, nnh
 - 250 GeV: qqh
 - presented at AWLC2017
- Problem: huge uncertainties due to limited MC statistics
 - sometimes event weight ~ 20 (~ 40 with TMVA) when we assume H20 running scenario
 - technically impossible to increase SM background
- Solution: toy MC
 - perform toy MC study for all channels to obtain more reliable results

One Example: qqh500-L (1)



 $M_{\mu^{+}\mu^{-}}$ spectrum after precuts + BDTG cut beautiful spikes due to low MC statistics N_S = 11 and N_B = 422 at this point

determine model function by fitting f_S : normalized Gaussian (green) f_B : constant (yellow) \therefore background fitting is performed with log-likelihood method (default is χ^2 method in ROOT fitting)

One Example: qqh500-L (2)



do pseudo-experiment blue: pseudo signal data (N_S w/ Poisson fluc.) red: pseudo background data (N_B w/ Poisson fluc.) black: blue + red purple: fit result to black with $f = Y_S f_S + Y_B f_B$ free parameters: Y_S and Y_B fit with log-likelihood method normalization considered

repeat pseudo-experiment 200000 times (takes 3-4 hours) obtain Y_S distribution

One Example: qqh500-L (3)



 Y_S distribution Gaussian fit result mean: 10.927 +- 0.012 sigma: 5.2265 +- 0.0079 precision = sigma/mean = 47.8%

pull distribution pull = $\frac{Y_S - Y_{true}}{\Delta Y_S}$ Gaussian fit result mean: -0.0711 +- 0.0019 sigma: 0.7791 +- 0.0012

Toy MC Study: Results

| | qqh500 | nnh500 | qqh250 |
|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| left | 47.8% | 39.2% | 30.0% |
| right | 52.1% | 71.5% | 52.5% |

All details are available at:

http://desy.de/~skawada/MyAnalysisNote/Analysis08_EN.pdf (47 pages, 200 figures, 7 tables, (crazy length)) Pull distribution is asymmetric: bias?

Combined precision: **17.9%** HL-LHC: 14% (ATLAS-PHYS-PUB-2013-014)

Summary

- Performed toy MC studies and modified results for all channels
 - asymmetric pull distribution: bias?
 - all results are worse than previous, but still similar combined precision can be reached compare to HL-LHC
- Started nnh250 analysis, and Ilh250/Ilh500 in near future
 - should be summarized into a paper in future