Dratf proposal:

« Intensity dependent effects at ATF2 »

ATF2 collaboration

ALCW2018

• Motivation: clear statement about the importance of the intensity dependence effects and global mitigations strategies on ATF2 and implications for ILC and CLIC

• Proposal of Organizational aspects :

- Chapters: responsible of collecting the mterial and contributions and writing the chapter
- Reviewers: some reviewers will be needed

• Timeline:

- First draft end September 2018
- First revision in November ATF2 Collab meeting 20-22 Nov 2018

O 1. Introduction to Wakefields (A. Latina)

- 1.1 Basic formulae
- 1.2 Effect in the beam
 - Two-particle model
- o 1.3 Orbit
- 1.4 Beam size

- 2. Characterization of wakefield sources (A. Faus-Golfe/K.Kubo)
 - 2.1 Cavity BPMs
 - O 2.1.1 Reference Cavity
 - 2.1.2 Others
 - 2.2 Collimator
 - O 2.3 Bellows
 - O 2.4 Flanges
 - 2.5 OTRs
 - 2.6 Resistive sources

- 3 Static and dynamic effects at ATF2
- O 3.1 Simulations (P. Korisko)
 - 3.1.1 Static errors: misalignments, spurious multipoles, rolls
 - 3.1.2 Dynamic errors: incoming jitter (position, angle, energy,
 - charge, ...), slow drifts, Shintake monitor
- O 3.2 Measurements (K. Kubo/T.Okugi)
 - 3.2.1 Orbit (bpm resolution, charge dependence)
 - 3.2.2 Jitter
 - 3.2.3 Beam size

- 4. Global mitigation strategies (P.Korisko/A.Latina)
- 4.1 Tuning procedure
 - 4.1.1 BPM calibration
 - 4.1.2 Orbit-based correction (including DFS, and WFS)
 - 4.1.3 (WFS could be applied with closed collimator)
 - 4.1.4 Wakefield knobs (?)
 - 4.1.5 Linear knobs
 - 4.1.6 Non-linear knobs
- 4.2 Experimental verifications
 - 4.2.1 Check beam orbit robustness
 - 4.2.2 Check beam size

• 5 Extrapolation to ILC and CLIC (A.Latina/A.Faus-Golfe)

- 5.1 Identification of relevant wakefield sources at ILC / CLIC (BPMs,collimators, resistive sources)
- 5.2 Calculation of impacts
- 5.3 Mitigation strategies
- O 5.4 Estimate tolerances (vs. luminosity loss)

Help is welcome!

ATF2 collaboration