


Latest results on the H(125) from the LHC


The Asian Linear Collider Workshop 2018, May 28—June 2, 2018

Hideki Okawa for ATLAS & CMS Collaborations


University of Tsukuba, Division of Physics &
Tomonaga Center for the History of the Universe



JHEP 08 (2016) 045




PRL 114 (2015) 191803



- Historical achievements at LHC Run 1:
 - Discovery of a Higgs boson
 - Direct observation of $\gamma\gamma$, ZZ , W^+W^- , $\tau^+\tau^-$
 - Confirmation of Spin/CP properties
 - Precise measurement of its mass

- Yet still missing items:
 - Observation of the largest decay mode ($b\bar{b}$)
 - Direct observation of Top Yukawa coupling
 - Rare decays from new physics?
 - Higgs self-coupling (challenging at LHC)


LHC Run 2





Run 2 ($\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV)	Deliv. Lumi.	Peak Lumi. [$\text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$]
2018 (as of May 17)	10.4 fb^{-1}	2.14×10^{34}
2017	50.2 fb^{-1}	2.09×10^{34}
2016	38.5 fb^{-1}	1.38×10^{34}
2015	4.2 fb^{-1}	0.50×10^{34}

Also see talk by T. Kono
for the LHC Summary


- **Delivered more than 100 fb^{-1} in Run 2 already.** Successful operation of LHC & ATLAS/CMS.
 - **Peak luminosity = $2.14 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ in 2018 (twice the design luminosity),** more challenging with pileup
- Results presented here are with **2015+2016 datasets** ($\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$, 36 fb^{-1}).







- **Notable increase in the cross section ($\times 2.3$ for ggF, $\times 3.9$ for $t\bar{t}H$, $\times 3.3$ for HH) from $\sqrt{s}=8 \rightarrow 13$ TeV.**
- Run 2 is the dawn of precision measurements for the Higgs boson & discovery phase of the $t\bar{t}H$.

Higgs Decays



- $\gamma\gamma, Z Z(\rightarrow 4\ell)$: **Discovery channels**. Small branching ratios (BRs), but good mass resolution & clean signatures.
- $W^+W^-(\rightarrow l+\nu l-\bar{\nu})$: **Large BR, good sensitivity to ggF & VBF**, but poor mass resolution due to two neutrinos.
- $b\bar{b}$: **Has the largest BR, but suffers from large BG**. The last major channel to be observed.
- $\tau^+\tau^-$: Reasonable mass resolution, good sensitivity to ggF & VBF prod. **Best sensitivity to Higgs-fermion coupling**.
- $g g$: **Can only be measured at LC**.
- $c\bar{c}$: **Can only be measured at LC**.
- $Z\gamma, \mu^+\mu^-$: Very low BRs. Progressing toward the observation of $\mu^+\mu^-$. $Z\gamma$ should be visible at HL-LHC.


Higgs-boson Couplings





- Higgs boson was discovered by the “Golden” boson-decay channels: $\gamma\gamma$, $ZZ^*(\rightarrow 4\ell)$ at LHC Run 1. **LHC Run 2 is the dawn of the Higgs precision measurements.**
- **The two channels are combined to measure the cross section & mass, as well as the signal strengths of various production modes.**

Higgs Cross Section


ATLAS-CONF-2017-047




- Higgs production cross section matches well with the N³LO prediction within the uncertainty in Run 2.
- VBF cross section is slightly above the SM prediction.




ATLAS-CONF-2018-002



JHEP 11 (2017) 047





Impact of new physics on Higgs p_T



JHEP(2017) 2017:115

- Kinematic distributions (Higgs p_T , y , number of jets & jet p_T) are important probes **to check the validity of the perturbative QCD** and **to understand/improve the Monte Carlo generators**.
- Higgs p_T & p_T of jets are also sensitive to physics beyond the Standard Model** & are important to measure them precisely.

Higgs Boson Mass






- **Similar precision ($\sim 0.2\%$) as the Run-1 (ATLAS+CMS) measurement with the ATLAS-only Run-2 $\gamma\gamma+4\ell$ combined or CMS-only 4ℓ .**
- $\gamma\gamma$ & $ZZ^*(\rightarrow 4\ell)$ channels are currently compatible in precision.
- **$ZZ^*(\rightarrow 4\ell)$ channel is still dominated by the statistical uncertainties.**
- **$\gamma\gamma$ channel needs to cope with the systematic uncertainties** (electromagnetic calorimeter response & materials from the inner detectors) to further reduce the uncertainties.

$H \rightarrow WW^{(*)} \rightarrow \ell \nu \ell \nu$

ATLAS-CONF-2018-004


CMS-PAS-HIG-16-042



- Large signal statistics available but challenging to cope with the large BG. **Access to all the production modes.**
- ATLAS analyzed the $e \nu \mu \nu$ channel, whereas CMS considered both the different/same lepton flavor channels as well as multilepton channels for WH & ZH production modes.
- **Signal strengths compatible with the SM.**
- **Both ATLAS & CMS observe $H \rightarrow WW^{(*)}$ with $> 5\sigma$:** 9.1σ (6.3σ) for the observed after combining all (ggF+VBF) channels in CMS (ATLAS).

Higgs-fermion Coupling: $H \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$

PLB 779 (2018) 283




- The first observation of Higgs-fermion coupling in LHC Run 1 with ATLAS+CMS combined.
- Measured in 4 channels ($\tau_h\tau_h$, $\mu\tau_h$, $e\tau_h$, $e\mu$). Categories sensitive to ggF & VBF modes.
- Utilizes a dedicated mass reconstruction algorithm & a multivariate technique to discriminate signals and backgrounds.
- **Observation by CMS alone: 5.9σ (5.9σ) w/ Run 1+2** for the observed (expected).



H(b \bar{b}) Evidence

- H($\rightarrow b\bar{b}$) has the largest branching fraction (58%), but is difficult to observe due to the large BG.
- WH, ZH production modes have the highest sensitivity.
- Considered m_{bb} & various kinematic distributions as inputs to multivariate analyses (boosted decision tree; BDT).
- Dedicated b-jet calibration to improve m_{bb} resolution.
- Grouped into various categories by the numbers of leptons (& jets for ATLAS) & W/Z p_T .

JHEP 12 (2017) 024






- 0 lepton: Z($\rightarrow \nu\nu$)H($\rightarrow b\bar{b}$)
- 1 lepton: W($\rightarrow \ell\nu$)H($\rightarrow b\bar{b}$)
- 2 leptons: Z($\rightarrow \ell\ell$)H($\rightarrow b\bar{b}$)



H(b \bar{b}) Evidence




JHEP 12 (2017) 024

PLB 780 (2018) 501




- **Evidence of H(b \bar{b}) by both experiments!**
 - **ATLAS: 3.6 σ (4.0 σ) [Run 1+2]** for observed (expected)
 - **CMS: 3.8 σ (3.8 σ) [Run 1+2]** for observed (expected)
- Consistent results with the cut-based analysis in ATLAS (performed as a cross-check).

Inclusive $H(b\bar{b})$ Search






- Inclusive search has been performed by CMS for highly Lorentz-boosted Higgs decaying to $b\bar{b}$.
- Large radius jets ($R=0.8$) with double b-tagging are used to select Higgs. $Z(\rightarrow b\bar{b})$ events are also considered to test the analysis.
- $Z(\rightarrow b\bar{b})$ is observed with 5.1σ , whereas significance of $H(\rightarrow b\bar{b})$ is still 1.5σ (0.7σ expected).

$t\bar{t}H$ Measurements






- The $t\bar{t}H$ production allows us to directly measure the H-top Yukawa coupling.
- The following channels are considered in ATLAS & CMS:
 - $t\bar{t}H(\rightarrow b\bar{b})$: with 1, 2 leptons (also all had. for CMS)
 - $t\bar{t}H \rightarrow \text{multilepton} + X$: 2 same-sign, 3, 4 leptons w/ or w/o τ_{had} .
 - $t\bar{t}H(\rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$: several categories with 0/1-lepton & jets/b-jets.



$t\bar{t}H$ Observation

arXiv:1804.02610

PRD 97 (2018) 072003



- Both ATLAS & CMS see evidence of $t\bar{t}H$ production with Run 2 data.
With Run 1+2 combination by CMS, the production is fully observed:


- ATLAS Run 2 : 4.2 σ observed (3.8 σ expected)**
- CMS Run 1+2 : 5.2 σ observed (4.2 σ expected).**

*Also talk by S. Paganis
 for the $t\bar{t}H$ measurements & prospects*


- Signal strength of $\mu_{t\bar{t}H}=2$ is now excluded at 95% CL by both ATLAS and CMS.**

Higgs Decay Width


- The decay width of the Higgs boson is 4.1 MeV. Unable to directly measure at the LHC due to detector resolution ($\Gamma_H < 1.10 \text{ GeV}@95\% \text{ CL [CMS]}$). **To be measured at LC.**
- However, Higgs off-shell production is sensitive to the Higgs total width & it can be constrained at the LHC using $H^* \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4\ell, \ell\ell\nu\nu$ & $H^* \rightarrow WW \rightarrow \ell\nu\ell\nu$.
- Run 1: **$\Gamma_H < 13 \text{ (26) MeV [CMS], 22.7 (33.0) MeV [ATLAS]}$** @95% CL for obs (exp).
model-dependent
- Constraints will improve with statistics.



H. Okawa



ALCW 2018, May 28—June 2, 2018





Higgs Rare Decays

- $\Gamma_H < \sim 3 \Gamma_H^{\text{SM}}$ still allows for sizable contributions from BSM decays.
- Searches for rare Higgs decays is a probe for new physics, i.e. in enhancement of expected decays or in new decay modes (invisible, LFV, new bosons, etc.).
- Rare decays searched at the LHC:
 - Loop diagram: $H \rightarrow Z\gamma$
 - 1st generation couplings: $H \rightarrow \rho\gamma$, $H \rightarrow ee$
 - 2nd generation couplings: $H \rightarrow \phi\gamma$, $H \rightarrow J/\psi \gamma$, $H \rightarrow Y\gamma$, $H \rightarrow cc$, $H \rightarrow \mu\mu$
 - LFV: $H \rightarrow e\tau, \mu\tau, e\mu$; $(t \rightarrow qH)$
 - New particles: $H \rightarrow \text{invisible}$, $H \rightarrow aa$ (a: new (pseudo)scalar), $H \rightarrow ZZ_d, Z_d Z_d \rightarrow 4\ell$ (Z_d : new vector boson), $H \rightarrow f_{d2} f_{d2} \rightarrow \text{lepton-jets} + X$ (f_{d2} : hidden fermion)

Will mention in the next slides



$H(\mu^+\mu^-)$



- $\text{BR}(H \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) = 2.2 \times 10^{-4}$ from SM. **Best sensitivity to the 2nd generation Yukawa couplings.**
- BDT is considered with various muon & jet kinematic variables uncorrelated to $m_{\mu\mu}$. The events are categorized based on the BDT scores & $m_{\mu\mu}$ resolution (i.e. muon directions).
- **$\text{BR}(H \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)/\text{BR}_{\text{SM}}(H \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) < 2.64 \text{ obs (1.89 exp)}$ for CMS & **$2.8 \text{ obs (2.9 exp)}$ for ATLAS @95% CL with Run 1+Run 2 combined dataset.****
- **Best fit signal strength: $0.9^{+1.0}_{-0.9}$ (CMS), -0.1 ± 1.4 (ATLAS).** Uncertainty is statistically dominated.




$Z(\ell^+\ell^-)H(c\bar{c})$

arXiv:1802.04329





- $\text{BR}(H \rightarrow c\bar{c}) = 2.9\%$ from SM. Charm coupling was previously searched with $J/\psi \gamma$ channel in Run 1.
- **An approach to search for the coupling with c-tagging has been newly considered by ATLAS in Run 2.**
- $\sigma_{\text{ZH}} \times \text{BR}(H \rightarrow c\bar{c}) < 2.7 \text{ (3.9) [pb]}$; 110 (150) times the SM expectation for obs. (exp.) @95% CL.

Invisible Higgs Decay




- Invisible decays of the Higgs boson are expected from various BSM models, especially in relation to the dark matter. Searches are pursued with a Higgs recoiling against visible particles.
- For all the channels, the expected sensitivity has surpassed that of Run 1.
- **CMS Combination provides $BR(H \rightarrow \text{inv}) < 24\%$ [obs], 18% [exp]@95% CL.**



Parameter	Best fit	Uncertainty	
		Stat.	Syst.
κ_Z	0.99 ^{+0.11} _{-0.11} (+0.11) (-0.11)	+0.09 -0.09 (+0.09) (-0.09)	+0.06 -0.06 (+0.06) (-0.06)
κ_W	1.12 ^{+0.13} _{-0.19} (+0.12) (-0.12)	+0.10 -0.18 (+0.09) (-0.09)	+0.08 -0.07 (+0.07) (-0.07)
κ_t	1.09 ^{+0.14} _{-0.14} (+0.14) (-0.15)	+0.08 -0.08 (+0.08) (-0.09)	+0.12 -0.12 (+0.12) (-0.12)
κ_τ	1.01 ^{+0.17} _{-0.18} (+0.16) (-0.15)	+0.11 -0.15 (+0.11) (-0.11)	+0.12 -0.09 (+0.11) (-0.11)
κ_b	1.10 ^{+0.27} _{-0.33} (+0.25) (-0.23)	+0.19 -0.30 (+0.19) (-0.17)	+0.19 -0.14 (+0.17) (-0.15)
κ_g	1.14 ^{+0.15} _{-0.13} (+0.14) (-0.12)	+0.10 -0.09 (+0.10) (-0.09)	+0.11 -0.09 (+0.10) (-0.09)
κ_γ	1.07 ^{+0.15} _{-0.18} (+0.12) (-0.12)	+0.10 -0.17 (+0.10) (-0.10)	+0.11 -0.07 (+0.07) (-0.07)

- Visible improvement in sensitivity for ggH & ttH.
- Precision from first 36 fb⁻¹ from a single experiment matches Run 1 ATLAS+CMS for various couplings.

- Simplified template cross sections (STXS) aimed to balance experimental precision & theory uncertainties. Less model independent than Run 1 approach.
- Very simple fiducial regions for each production mode & common between ATLAS, CMS, and theory.



$gg \rightarrow H$ (0-jet)

$gg \rightarrow H$ (1-jet, $p_T^H < 60$ GeV)

$gg \rightarrow H$ (1-jet, $60 \leq p_T^H < 120$ GeV)

$gg \rightarrow H$ (1-jet, $120 \leq p_T^H < 200$ GeV)


$gg \rightarrow H$ (≥ 2 -jet, $p_T^H < 200$ GeV or VBF-like)

$gg \rightarrow H$ (≥ 1 -jet, $p_T^H \geq 200$ GeV) + $qq \rightarrow Hqq$ ($p_T^j \geq 200$ GeV)


$qq \rightarrow Hqq$ ($p_T^j < 200$ GeV)

$gg/qq \rightarrow Hll/Hl\nu$

$gg/qq \rightarrow ttH$



Di-Higgs




Destructive Interference

- Di-Higgs production searches are pursued at the LHC, mostly in the context of new resonance searches.
- Best constraint on $\sigma_{HH}/\sigma_{HH}^{SM}$ (<13) at 95% CL from $HH \rightarrow 4b$ ([arXiv:1804.06174](https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.06174)).

- Best constraint on self-coupling from $HH \rightarrow bb\gamma\gamma$ channel: $-8.82 < \kappa_\lambda < 15.04$ at 95% CL.

Channels	95% CL limits on $\sigma_{HH}/\sigma_{HH}^{SM}$ [obs (exp)]	
	ATLAS	CMS
4b	< 13 (21)	< 342 (308)
bbWW	—	< 79 (89)
bb $\tau\tau$	< 160 (130) [Run1]	< 30 (25)
bb$\gamma\gamma$	< 117 (161)	< 19 (17)
$\gamma\gamma WW$	< 747 (386)	—

Run-2: 3 fb⁻¹, 13 fb⁻¹, 36 fb⁻¹



Summary



- Discovery of a Higgs boson is a dawn of a new era, where a totally rich program has opened up to be investigated and to be understood.
- Many achievements in Run 2 beyond the Higgs discovery:
 - More details & improved precision in cross section & coupling measurements
 - Single-experiment observation of $H \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$.
 - Evidence of $H \rightarrow b \bar{b}$
 - Observation of $t \bar{t} H$ production
 - Uncertainty on the signal strength of $H \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ is going below 100%
 - More stringent constraints on various BSM phenomena.
- More to come with the full Run 2 data to be taken until the end of this year.
- Run 3 & HL-LHC will provide various measurements of the Higgs boson w/ even higher precision & sensitivity to various rare processes (both SM & BSM).

*Talk by S. Paganis
for the Higgs prospects*





backup


$H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ & 4ℓ Differential



$H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ & 4ℓ Differential



H → ZZ → 4ℓ Coupling



$$f_{ai} = |a_i|^2 \sigma_i / \sum |a_j|^2 \sigma_j, \text{ and } \phi_{ai} = \arg(a_i/a_1)$$

- No sign of anomalous couplings so far.

Parameter	Observed	Expected
$f_{a3} \cos(\phi_{a3})$	$0.00^{+0.26}_{-0.09} [-0.38, 0.46]$	$0.000^{+0.010}_{-0.010} [-0.25, 0.25]$
$f_{a2} \cos(\phi_{a2})$	$0.01^{+0.12}_{-0.02} [-0.04, 0.43]$	$0.000^{+0.009}_{-0.008} [-0.06, 0.19]$
$f_{\Lambda 1} \cos(\phi_{\Lambda 1})$	$0.02^{+0.08}_{-0.06} [-0.49, 0.18]$	$0.000^{+0.003}_{-0.002} [-0.60, 0.12]$
$f_{\Lambda 1}^{Z\gamma} \cos(\phi_{\Lambda 1}^{Z\gamma})$	$0.26^{+0.30}_{-0.35} [-0.40, 0.79]$	$0.000^{+0.019}_{-0.022} [-0.37, 0.71]$

Combination

Run 1 (ATLAS+CMS)

Parameter	ATLAS+CMS Measured	ATLAS+CMS Expected uncertainty	ATLAS Measured	CMS Measured
κ_Z	1.00 [−1.05, −0.86] ∪ [0.90, 1.11]	[−1.00, −0.88] ∪ [0.90, 1.10]	0.98 [−1.07, −0.83] ∪ [0.84, 1.12]	1.03 [−1.11, −0.83] ∪ [0.87, 1.19]
κ_W	$0.91^{+0.10}_{-0.12}$	$+0.10$ -0.11	$0.91^{+0.12}_{-0.15}$	$0.92^{+0.14}_{-0.17}$
κ_t	$0.87^{+0.15}_{-0.15}$	$+0.15$ -0.18	$0.98^{+0.21}_{-0.20}$	$0.77^{+0.20}_{-0.18}$
$ \kappa_\tau $	$0.90^{+0.14}_{-0.16}$	$+0.15$ -0.14	$0.99^{+0.20}_{-0.20}$	$0.83^{+0.20}_{-0.21}$
κ_b	0.67 [−0.73, −0.47] ∪ [0.40, 0.89]	[−1.24, −0.76] ∪ [0.74, 1.24]	0.64 [−0.89, −0.33] ∪ [0.30, 0.94]	0.71 [−0.91, −0.40] ∪ [0.35, 1.04]
$ \kappa_\mu $	$0.2^{+1.2}$	+0.9	$0.0^{+1.4}$	$0.5^{+1.4}$

Run 2 (CMS)

BR _{inv.} = 0			
Parameter	Best fit	Uncertainty	
		Stat.	Syst.
κ_Z	$0.99^{+0.11}_{-0.11}$ (+0.11) (−0.11)	$+0.09$ -0.09 (+0.09) (−0.09)	$+0.06$ -0.06 (+0.06) (−0.06)
κ_W	$1.12^{+0.13}_{-0.19}$ (+0.12) (−0.12)	$+0.10$ -0.18 (+0.09) (−0.09)	$+0.08$ -0.07 (+0.07) (−0.07)
κ_t	$1.09^{+0.14}_{-0.14}$ (+0.14) (−0.15)	$+0.08$ -0.08 (+0.08) (−0.09)	$+0.12$ -0.12 (+0.12) (−0.12)
κ_τ	$1.01^{+0.17}_{-0.18}$ (+0.16) (−0.15)	$+0.11$ -0.15 (+0.11) (−0.11)	$+0.12$ -0.09 (+0.11) (−0.11)
κ_b	$1.10^{+0.27}_{-0.33}$ (+0.25) (−0.23)	$+0.19$ -0.30 (+0.19) (−0.17)	$+0.19$ -0.14 (+0.17) (−0.15)
κ_g	$1.14^{+0.15}_{-0.13}$ (+0.14) (−0.12)	$+0.10$ -0.09 (+0.10) (−0.09)	$+0.11$ -0.09 (+0.10) (−0.09)
κ_γ	$1.07^{+0.15}_{-0.18}$ (+0.12) (−0.12)	$+0.10$ -0.17 (+0.10) (−0.10)	$+0.11$ -0.07 (+0.07) (−0.07)

Combination

Run 1 (ATLAS+CMS)

Production process	ATLAS+CMS	ATLAS	CMS
μ_{ggF}	$1.03^{+0.16}_{-0.14}$ ($+0.16$) (-0.14)	$1.26^{+0.23}_{-0.20}$ ($+0.21$) (-0.18)	$0.84^{+0.18}_{-0.16}$ ($+0.20$) (-0.17)
μ_{VBF}	$1.18^{+0.25}_{-0.23}$ ($+0.24$) (-0.23)	$1.21^{+0.33}_{-0.30}$ ($+0.32$) (-0.29)	$1.14^{+0.37}_{-0.34}$ ($+0.36$) (-0.34)
μ_{WH}	$0.89^{+0.40}_{-0.38}$ ($+0.41$) (-0.39)	$1.25^{+0.56}_{-0.52}$ ($+0.56$) (-0.53)	$0.46^{+0.57}_{-0.53}$ ($+0.60$) (-0.57)
μ_{ZH}	$0.79^{+0.38}_{-0.36}$ ($+0.39$) (-0.36)	$0.30^{+0.51}_{-0.45}$ ($+0.55$) (-0.51)	$1.35^{+0.58}_{-0.54}$ ($+0.55$) (-0.51)
$\mu_{t\bar{t}H}$	$2.3^{+0.7}_{-0.6}$ ($+0.5$) (-0.5)	$1.9^{+0.8}_{-0.7}$ ($+0.7$) (-0.7)	$2.9^{+1.0}_{-0.9}$ ($+0.9$) (-0.8)

Decay channel	ATLAS+CMS	ATLAS	CMS
$\mu^{\gamma\gamma}$	$1.14^{+0.19}_{-0.18}$ ($+0.18$) (-0.17)	$1.14^{+0.27}_{-0.25}$ ($+0.26$) (-0.24)	$1.11^{+0.25}_{-0.23}$ ($+0.23$) (-0.21)
μ^{ZZ}	$1.29^{+0.26}_{-0.23}$ ($+0.23$) (-0.20)	$1.52^{+0.40}_{-0.34}$ ($+0.32$) (-0.27)	$1.04^{+0.32}_{-0.26}$ ($+0.30$) (-0.25)
μ^{WW}	$1.09^{+0.18}_{-0.16}$ ($+0.16$) (-0.15)	$1.22^{+0.23}_{-0.21}$ ($+0.21$) (-0.20)	$0.90^{+0.23}_{-0.21}$ ($+0.23$) (-0.20)
$\mu^{\tau\tau}$	$1.11^{+0.24}_{-0.22}$ ($+0.24$) (-0.22)	$1.41^{+0.40}_{-0.36}$ ($+0.37$) (-0.33)	$0.88^{+0.30}_{-0.28}$ ($+0.31$) (-0.29)
μ^{bb}	$0.70^{+0.29}_{-0.27}$ ($+0.29$) (-0.28)	$0.62^{+0.37}_{-0.37}$ ($+0.39$) (-0.37)	$0.81^{+0.45}_{-0.43}$ ($+0.45$) (-0.43)
$\mu^{\mu\mu}$	$0.1^{+2.5}_{-2.5}$ ($+2.4$) (-2.3)	$-0.6^{+3.6}_{-3.6}$ ($+3.6$) (-3.6)	$0.9^{+3.6}_{-3.5}$ ($+3.3$) (-3.2)

Run 2 (CMS)

Production process																			
ggH				VBF				WH				ZH				ttH			
Best fit		Uncertainty		Best fit		Uncertainty		Best fit		Uncertainty		Best fit		Uncertainty		Best fit		Uncertainty	
value		Stat.	Syst.	value		Stat.	Syst.	value		Stat.	Syst.	value		Stat.	Syst.	value		Stat.	Syst.
1.23	+0.14	+0.08	+0.12	0.73	+0.30	+0.24	+0.17	2.18	+0.58	+0.46	+0.34	0.87	+0.44	+0.39	+0.20	1.18	+0.31	+0.16	+0.26
	-0.13	-0.08	-0.10		-0.27	-0.23	-0.15		-0.55	-0.45	-0.32		-0.42	-0.38	-0.18		-0.27	-0.16	-0.21
	(+0.11)	(+0.07)	(+0.09)		(+0.29)	(+0.24)	(+0.16)		(+0.53)	(+0.43)	(+0.30)		(+0.42)	(+0.38)	(+0.19)		(+0.28)	(+0.16)	(+0.23)
	(-0.11)	(-0.07)	(-0.08)		(-0.27)	(-0.23)	(-0.15)		(-0.51)	(-0.42)	(-0.29)		(-0.40)	(-0.37)	(-0.17)		(-0.25)	(-0.16)	(-0.20)

Decay mode																			
H \rightarrow bb				H \rightarrow $\tau\tau$				H \rightarrow WW				H \rightarrow ZZ				H \rightarrow $\gamma\gamma$			
Best fit		Uncertainty		Best fit		Uncertainty		Best fit		Uncertainty		Best fit		Uncertainty		Best fit		Uncertainty	
value		Stat.	Syst.	value		Stat.	Syst.	value		Stat.	Syst.	value		Stat.	Syst.	value		Stat.	Syst.
1.12	+0.29	+0.19	+0.22	1.02	+0.26	+0.15	+0.21	1.28	+0.17	+0.09	+0.14	1.06	+0.19	+0.16	+0.10	1.20	+0.17	+0.12	+0.12
	-0.28	-0.19	-0.20		-0.24	-0.15	-0.19		-0.16	-0.09	-0.13		-0.17	-0.15	-0.08		-0.14	-0.11	-0.09
	(+0.28)	(+0.19)	(+0.21)		(+0.24)	(+0.15)	(+0.19)		(+0.14)	(+0.09)	(+0.11)		(+0.18)	(+0.15)	(+0.10)		(+0.14)	(+0.10)	(+0.09)
	(-0.27)	(-0.18)	(-0.20)		(-0.23)	(-0.14)	(-0.17)		(-0.13)	(-0.09)	(-0.10)		(-0.16)	(-0.14)	(-0.08)		(-0.12)	(-0.10)	(-0.07)