Investigating the Post-Collision Line for the 380 GeV Stage of CLIC

Dr Ryan Bodenstein

John Adams Institute for Accelerator Science

University of Oxford

&

CERN

Tuesday, May 29, 2018
Asian Linear Collider Workshop – Fukuoka, Japan





Disclaimer – This will be a short talk!

This work has just started. In fact, I am still currently learning to use BDSIM. As such, this talk is a bit out of the ordinary. In a way, it's more of an invitation for input and advice rather than a report of progress, since progress will be forthcoming.

So, if you have advice, comments, questions, or concerns, I invite you to discuss with me during the talk or email me at ryan.bodenstein@cern.ch





What will I be presenting today?

- 1. Goal of post-collision line study
- 2. Previous work

3. Rough plan-of-attack

4. Audience input





Goals of post-collision study





Guide the beam to the dump

- If can scale down 3 TeV version, do that first
 - See if can improve on scaled-down 3 TeV version
 - Decrease radiation damage
 - Decrease number of components
 - Reduce costs
- Check if ILC version can be made compatible
 - May be unfeasible
- If above fails, redesign
 - This is a backup, and likely unnecessary





Previous studies





Previous work in CDR for 3 TeV

- Later work performed and improvements made
 - L. Deacon in 2013
 - Removed magnets
 - Reduced radiation damage to magnets
 - Reduced stray fields to incoming beamline
 - IN THE ETHER No available lattices or codes to be found
- Currently using CDR design lattice, will adjust as needed
- Have latest ILC lattice if CLIC CDR lattice is unsatisfactory





Strategy





Trying not to re-invent the wheel

- Use BDSIM for tracking and interactions with beamline components
 - Have CDR MADX lattice for starting point
 - Have or can make GUINEA-PIG outputs at IP for beam distribution of 380 GeV machine
 - Both for perfect beamlines and perturbed
- If CDR lattice is inadequate at 380 GeV, try adjusting ILC lattice
- If adjusted ILC lattice is infeasible or inadequate, attempt redesign based upon CDR and/or L. Deacon version.





What are your thoughts?





If we are disconnected or you see this later...

- To reiterate, this is more of a request for input. I'm open to whatever advice or ideas you may have.
- I'm essentially learning all of this from scratch.
- There's a chance that we lose connection, you see these slides later, or you just don't feel like speaking up now.
 - In that case, please don't hesitate to email me. It actually might be preferable, since that way I can more easily ask follow up questions, etc...
 - The best email to reach me is ryan.bodenstein@cern.ch





Thanks!





