Asunto: my personnal preparatory notes for the RandD page

**De:** Marc Winter <marc.winter@iphc.cnrs.fr>

**Fecha:** 29/5/18 14:03

Para: JuanFuster < Juan.Fuster@ific.uv.es>

Dear Juan,

FYI, below is a piece of my personal notes intending to lead to the page on ILC driven

Probably only for your personal use at the moment..

Best wishes

## Marc

\_\_\_\_\_\_

## Sources:

See TDR vol 4 &2.1

See TDR-DBD intro §4.5 and following text

Back-up document: to be done (could be the evolving LCB detector document)

\_\_\_\_\_

## **CONTENTS:**

- Motivations for R&D (wrt state-of-the-art, i.e. LHC), guided by PFA:
  - specific balance betwen physics driven and running conditions requirements
  - push the performance limits of conflicting parametres in a corner of parameter space which was never reached
- Which experimental sub-systems:
  - tracking: pixellated vertex and inner trackers, large area SC and large volume gaseous trackers
  - . calorimetry : ECAL, HCAL
- Which specific aspects and parts were prominent targets of the R&D
  - . aspects: power cycling in high magnetic field
  - parts: detection system, read-out architecture, complete thermo-mechanical and service integration, down to central powering and acquisition
- Which types of tests were performed:
  - proof of concept
  - . performance assessment on beam  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right)$
  - beam tests include combine sub-system evaluations with feedback improveving GEANT-4 and the realism and algorithms of PFA
  - . running conditions: power pulsing in high magnetic field
- Outcome:
  - inject individual concept details and associated performances in detector Monte-Carlo for experimental physics performance assessement
  - improved GEANT-4
  - New state-of-the-art technology-base sub-systems and related prospects for new generation of detectors at future facilities

## 1) Detector R&D motivation

Detector requirements at ILC are substantially different from those developed for LHC: they are more demanding in terms of resolution (granularity) and material budget (thus of power saving) but much less in terms of read—out speed and radiation tolerance. The

1 de 2 29/5/18 16:17

balance between those of these parameters which are conflicting is therefore very different than for LHC. Moreover, the detector steering and read—out architectures are also specific: they should be operational triggerless and designed to exploit the machine duty cycle for the sake of power consumption.

In several cases, individual performances targetted by the R&D could have been considered as nearly achieved outside of the ILC programme, but the R&D aimed at their combination at a level well beyond previous achievements.

2) .....

2 de 2 29/5/18 16:17