Study of Higgs→invisible using kinematic fit method applied jet energy resolution of ILD

Yu Kato, J.Tian, T.Tanabe, S.Yamashita

The Univ. of Tokyo

The 55th General Meeting of ILC Physics Subgroup Feb. 3, 2018

Outline

- Motivation
- Idea for improvement
- Flow of study
  - Evaluate jet energy resolution
  - kinematic fit
  - Analysis Higgs→invisible
- Summary & Plans

Motivation

- In SM, Higgs decays invisibly through $H \to ZZ^* \to 4\nu$ ($BR(H \to inv.) \sim 0.1\%$)
- If $BR(H \to inv.)$ exceeds SM prediction , it signifies new physics beyond SM (BSM)
- We estimate SM upper limit of $BR(H \to inv.)$
- Compare result between left & right polarization

Previous study(A.Ishikawa)
(95% CL, 250fb^{-1})
left pol. : right pol.
0.95% : 0.69%

Dark Matter…
SUSY…



➢ A. Ishikawa (Tohoku Univ.),
"Search for Invisible Higgs Decays at the ILC" LCWS2014@Belgrade

Idea for improvement



Flow of study

Evaluate jet energy resolution

ILD model : ILD_l(s)5_v02

- jet energy & $\cos\theta$ dependence

evaluate jet angle resolution also → apply to kinematic fit

kinematic fit

fit variables : $E_{j1}, \theta_{j1}, \phi_{j1}, E_{j2}, \theta_{j2}, \phi_{j2}$

constraint : $m_{jj} = m_Z = 91.2\,\mathrm{GeV}$

use MarlinKinfit - fitter engine : OPALFitter

apply jet resolution

- check effect & accuracy of fit

Improve analysis performance

[BSM search using Higgs→invisible]

Evaluate jet energy resolution

Setting of Evaluation JER

- ILCSoft : v01-19-05 (gcc49)
- ILDConfig : v01-19-05-p01
- ILD models : ILD_l5_o1_v02, (ILD_s5_o1_v02)
- samples : Z→uds (w/o overlay)

√s [GeV]	30	40	60	91	120	160	200	240	300	350	400	500
l5 [events]	10k	10k	10k	10k	10k	10k	10k	10k	9k	10k	9k	10k
s5 [events]	10k	10k	10k	10k	9k	10k	10k	9k	10k	10k	10k	10k

- jet resolution definition
  - use RMS_{90} method
  - Energy

$$\frac{\sigma_E}{E} = \frac{\mathrm{RMS}_{90}(E_j)}{mean_{90}(E_j)} = \sqrt{2}\frac{\mathrm{RMS}_{90}(E_{jj})}{mean_{90}(E_{jj})}$$

(J. S. Marshall and M. A. Thomson, "Pandora Particle Flow Algorithm", arXiv:1308.4537 [physics.ins-det])

  - Angle

use jet clustering: Durham

$$\delta\phi = \mathrm{RMS}_{90}(\phi_{rec} - \phi_{mc})$$
$$\delta\theta = \mathrm{RMS}_{90}(\theta_{rec} - \theta_{mc})$$

ILD model Detailed Baseline Design





$$\theta = \cos^{-1}(0.7)$$

Result：Energy dependence

$$\frac{\sigma_E}{E} = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{E}} \oplus \beta(E)$$



Result : energy & angle dependence



apply this result to kinematic fit

Angular resolution

polar angle

$$\delta\theta = RMS_{90}(\theta_{rec} - \theta_{mc})$$



azimuth angle

$$\delta\phi = RMS_{90}(\phi_{rec} - \phi_{mc})$$



For evaluation of angular resolution, use jet clustering.

Durham algorithm

$$y_{ij} = \frac{2\min(E_i^2, E_j^2)(1 - \cos\theta_{ij})}{Q^2}$$

apply this result to kinematic fit

kinematic fit

Principle of kinematic fit

seek minimum of $\chi^2_T(\vec{\eta},\vec{\xi},\vec{\lambda})$
under kinematic constraints

$$\chi^2_T(\vec{\eta},\vec{\xi},\vec{\lambda}) = \chi^2(\vec{\eta}) + F_C(\vec{\eta},\vec{\xi},\vec{\lambda})$$

$$\chi^2(\vec{\eta}) = (\vec{\eta}-\vec{y})^T V^{-1} (\vec{\eta}-\vec{y})$$

$$F_C(\vec{\eta},\vec{\xi},\vec{\lambda}) = 2\vec{\lambda}^T \cdot \vec{f}(\vec{\eta},\vec{\xi})$$

method of Lagrange multipliers

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \chi^2_T}{\partial \eta_i} = V^{-1}_{ij}(\eta_j - y_j) + \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial \eta_i}\lambda_k = 0 & (i = 1,...,N) \\ \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \chi^2_T}{\partial \xi_i} = \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial \xi_i}\lambda_k = 0 & (i = 1,...,J) \\ \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \chi^2_T}{\partial \lambda_i} = f_i = 0 & (i = 1,...,K) \end{cases}$$

d.o.f. : $N_{dof} = N_m - \{N_f - (K-J)\}$
$= K - J$

$\vec{y}$: measured values (N)
$\vec{\eta}$: fit parameters (N)
$\vec{\xi}$: unmeasured parameters (J)
$\vec{\lambda}$: Lagrange multipliers (K)
$\vec{f}$: constraint functions (K)
V : covariance matrix (N × N)



MarlinKinfit : OPALFitter

For iterative solution : Taylor-expansion of the constraints

$$f_i(\vec{\eta}^{\nu+1}, \vec{\xi}^{\nu+1}) = f_i(\vec{\eta}^{\nu}, \vec{\xi}^{\nu}) + \left.\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \eta_j}\right|_{\eta_j=\eta_j^\nu} (\eta_j^{\nu+1} - \eta_j^{\nu}) + \left.\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \xi_k}\right|_{\xi_k=\xi_k^\nu} (\xi_k^{\nu+1} - \xi_k^{\nu})$$

$$\begin{cases} V_{ij}^{-1}(\eta_j^{\nu+1} - y_j) + \left.\dfrac{\partial f_k}{\partial \eta_i}\right|_{\eta_i=\eta_i^\nu} \lambda_k^{\nu+1} = 0 & (i = 1, ..., N) \\ \left.\dfrac{\partial f_k}{\partial \xi_i}\right|_{\xi_i=\xi_i^\nu} \lambda_k^{\nu+1} = 0 & (i = 1, ..., J) \\ f_i(\vec{\eta}^{\nu+1}, \vec{\xi}^{\nu+1}) = 0 & (i = 1, ..., K) \end{cases}$$



Convergence condition

- ✓ $\delta\chi^2 < 0.01\% \cap \delta F_C < 10^{-3} \cap F_C < 10^{-2} \cdot \chi^2$

or

- ✓ all $f_i < 10^{-6} \cap \delta(\eta, \xi, \lambda) < 10^{-6}$

ZH processor



- Fit variables

$$E_{j1}, \theta_{j1}, \phi_{j1}, E_{j2}, \theta_{j2}, \phi_{j2}$$

- Z mass constraint

$$m_{jj} = m_Z = 91.2\ \mathrm{GeV}$$

- jet mass constraint

$$m_j^{before} = m_j^{after}$$

- Implement of jet resolution

$$\sigma_E(E, \cos\theta), \sigma_\theta(E, \cos\theta), \sigma_\phi(E, \cos\theta)$$

- degrees of freedom

$$N_{dof} = N_m - \{N_f - (K - J)\}$$
$$= K - J = 1$$







Result : accuracy of fit



χ^2 distribution

Mean : 14.5

Ndof : 1

Mean > Ndof

fit probability

$$= \int_{\chi^2_{result}}^{\infty} f_{\chi^2}(\chi^2;\nu)d\chi^2$$

fit with well-estimated errors

→normal distributed between 0 and 1



a possibility of underestimating parameter error

Result : Recoil mass

$$M_{rec} = \sqrt{(\sqrt{s} - E_Z)^2 - |\vec{p}_Z|^2}$$

$$\delta M_{rec} = \frac{M_{rec}^{result} - M_{rec}^{mc}}{M_{rec}^{mc}}$$



improve recoil mass resolution ~20%





Problems : Z mass distribution



the Cause:

Approximate calculation of constraint in OPALFitter

$$f_i(\vec{\eta}^{\nu+1}, \vec{\xi}^{\nu+1}) = f_i(\vec{\eta}^{\nu}, \vec{\xi}^{\nu}) + \left.\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \eta_j}\right|_{\eta_j=\eta_j^{\nu}} (\eta_j^{\nu+1} - \eta_j^{\nu}) + \left.\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \xi_k}\right|_{\xi_k=\xi_k^{\nu}} (\xi_k^{\nu+1} - \xi_k^{\nu})$$

OPALFitter



NewtonFitter



Search for BSM using H→invisible

Analysis

- Simulation set up
  - Generator: WHIZARD 1.95
  - Samples: DBD sample + Dirac sample ($e^+e^- \to qqH, H \to ZZ^* \to 4\nu$)
  - Detector: ILD full simulation (ILD_o1_v05)
  - $\sqrt{s}$ = 250 GeV, $\int Ldt$ = 250 fb^{-1} , $(P_{e^-}, P_{e^+}) = (-0.8, +0.3), (+0.8, -0.3)$
    “Left” “Right”
- Flow of analysis
  1. Reconstruction : “PandoraPFA”
     - Isolated lepton tagging
  2. 2 jet clustering : “Durham algorithm”
     - Forced 2 jet clustering
  3. kinematic fit
  4. Event selection
     - Assume BR(H→invisible) = 10%
  5. Estimate upper limit of BR.
     - Template method: BR(H→invisible) = [1,2,…10%]

Signal



- ✓2 jet & missing E
- ✓$M_{qq} \approx M_Z$: $BR(\mathrm{Z} \to qq) \sim 70\%$
- ✓$M_{recoil} \approx M_{Higgs}$
- ✓s channel process

Main background



ZZ semi-leptonic



WW semi-leptonic



$\nu\ \nu$ Z semi-leptonic

Cut table $(P_{e^-}, P_{e^+}) = (-0.8, +0.3)$

cut condition	S/√S+B	signal	all bkg	ZZ	WW	$\nu\nu$Z	other bkg
No Cut	0.84	5255	3.93×10^7	214211	2748230	67952	3.63×10^7
$N_{lep} = 0$	1.00	5249	2.74×10^7	165399	1276030	67853	2.59×10^7
Pre-Cut	7.54	5026	439363	35027	69535	33852	300949
$N_{pfo} > 15 \& N_{charged} > 6$	9.66	4947	256873	34332	67457	33236	121848
$p_{Tjj} \in (20, 80)$GeV	12.48	4688	136149	30207	56149	29166	20627
$M_{jj} \in (80, 100)$GeV	13.50	3919	80266	23533	29210	23675	3848
$\lvert\cos\theta_{jj}\rvert < 0.9$	13.94	3768	69199	20457	24817	21246	2679

w/o kinematic fit

cut condition	S/√S+B	signal	all bkg	ZZ	WW	$\nu\nu$Z	other bkg
common part	13.94	3768	69199	20457	24817	21246	2679
$M_{recoil} \in (100, 160)$GeV	13.95	3765	69002	20438	24748	21174	2642
BDT > -0.0718	15.54	3388	44086	12604	14941	14676	1865

w/ kinematic fit

cut condition	S/√S+B	signal	all bkg	ZZ	WW	$\nu\nu$Z	other bkg
common part	13.94	3768	69199	20457	24817	21246	2679
$M_{recoil} \in (100, 160)$GeV	15.10	3766	58404	15873	21289	18665	2577
BDT > -0.0867	16.26	3425	40893	11086	14030	13903	1874

Cut table $(P_{e^-}, P_{e^+}) = (+0.8, -0.3)$

cut condition	S/√S+B	signal	all bkg	ZZ	WW	$\nu\nu$Z	other bkg
No Cut	0.76	3549	2.16×10^7	116792	189591	23127	2.13×10^7
$N_{lep} = 0$	0.95	3545	1.39×10^7	89111	88065	23092	1.37×10^7
Pre-Cut	7.33	3391	210605	16373	4918	8970	180344
$N_{pfo} > 15 \& N_{charged} > 6$	10.03	3331	106837	16028	4773	8786	77250
$p_{Tjj} \in (20, 80)$GeV	15.59	3144	37369	14018	4022	7793	11536
$M_{jj} \in (80, 100)$GeV	17.17	2632	20815	10828	2087	6121	1779
$\lvert\cos\theta_{jj}\rvert < 0.9$	17.81	2535	17670	9387	1806	5373	1104

w/o kinematic fit

cut condition	S/√S+B	signal	all bkg	ZZ	WW	$\nu\nu$Z	other bkg
common part	17.81	2535	17670	9387	1806	5373	1104
$M_{recoil} \in (100, 160)$GeV	17.83	2532	17598	9376	1800	5366	1056
BDT > -0.0840	19.72	2298	11231	5800	1170	3463	798

w/ kinematic fit

cut condition	S/√S+B	signal	all bkg	ZZ	WW	$\nu\nu$Z	other bkg
common part	17.81	2535	17670	9387	1806	5373	1104
$M_{recoil} \in (100, 160)$GeV	19.61	2533	14104	7196	1549	4274	1085
BDT > -0.1162	20.81	2395	10806	5431	1187	3318	870

Result：Recoil mass distribution

	w/o kinematic fit	w/ kinematic fit
Left polarization		
Right polarization		

Result : Upper limit of BR (95% CL)

	w/o kinematic fit	w/ kinematic fit
Left polarization	 UL=0.89±0.44%	 UL=0.84±0.42%
Right polarization	 UL=0.63±0.32%	 UL=0.59±0.29%

Summary

Evaluate jet energy resolution

ILD model : ILD_l(s)5_v02

➢ jet energy & $\cos\theta$ dependence

evaluate jet angle resolution also

→ apply to kinematic fit

kinematic fit

fit variables : $E_{j1}, \theta_{j1}, \phi_{j1}, E_{j2}, \theta_{j2}, \phi_{j2}$

constraint : $m_{jj} = m_Z = 91.2\,\mathrm{GeV}$

MarlinKinfit : OPALFitter

apply jet resolution

Higgs→invisible

Estimate upper limit of BR(H→inv.)

Check effect by kinematic fit





UL of BR [%] (95%CL)	Left polarization	Right polarization
Previous study	0.95	0.69
w/o kinematic fit	0.89±0.44	0.63±0.32
w/ kinematic fit	0.84±0.42	0.59±0.29

今後の課題

ジェットエネルギー分解能評価

- エンドキャップ部分のより精細な評価 ← 統計量の増加
- cジェット、bジェット評価の追加
- ジェットクラスタリングを用いた評価： $E_{j1} \neq E_{j2}$
- ジェット質量(または運動量)依存性の追加

kinematic fit

- フィッティング精度の改善：分解能をスケールする
- soft constraintの実装：Zボソンの自然幅を考慮
- 他の物理過程への応用

Higgs→invisible崩壊分岐比の上限推定

- 反跳質量以外のフィット後の変数を事象選別に使用
- 推定に用いる反跳質量領域の最適化
- より高度な手法を用いて上限評価
  ex.) profile likelihood ratio

backup

The Mathematics of the NewtonFitter

N parameters a_i, $i = 1...N$ Measured values $\vec{y}$, covarianve matrix V

K constraint funtions $\vec{f}(\vec{a})$

The total χ^2: $\chi^2_T(\vec{a},\vec{\lambda}) = \chi^2(\vec{a},\vec{y}) + \vec{\lambda}^T \cdot \vec{f}(\vec{a}).$

Seek stationary point, where all derivatives vanish:

$$\begin{array}{lcll} \nabla_a \chi^2_T & = & \nabla_a \chi^2 + \vec{\lambda}^T \cdot \nabla_a \vec{f}(\vec{a}) = \vec{0}, & (N \text{ equations}) \\ \nabla_\lambda \chi^2_T & = & \vec{f}(\vec{a}) = \vec{0}, & (K \text{ equations}) \end{array} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \chi^2_T}{\partial \vec{a}} \\ \frac{\partial \chi^2_T}{\partial \vec{\lambda}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \chi^2}{\partial a_i} + \sum_k \lambda_k \cdot \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial a_i} \\ f_k \end{pmatrix}$$

Newton-Raphson iterative method to solve $y(x)=0$:

$$x^{\nu+1} = x^\nu - \frac{y(x^\nu)}{y'(x^\nu} \quad \Rightarrow \text{solve} \quad y'(x^\nu) \cdot (x^\nu - x^{\nu+1}) = y(x^\nu)$$

Here: Solve this system of equations in each step:

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} \frac{\partial^2\chi^2}{\partial a_1 \partial a_1} + \lambda_k \cdot \frac{\partial^2 f_k}{\partial a_1 \partial a_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2\chi^2}{\partial a_1 \partial a_N} + \lambda_k \cdot \frac{\partial^2 f_k}{\partial a_1 \partial a_N} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial a_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_K}{\partial a_1} \\ \cdots & & \cdots & \cdots & & \cdots \\ \frac{\partial^2\chi^2}{\partial a_N \partial a_1} + \lambda_k \cdot \frac{\partial^2 f_k}{\partial a_N \partial a_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2\chi^2}{\partial a_N \partial a_N} + \lambda_k \cdot \frac{\partial^2 f_k}{\partial a_N \partial a_N} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial a_N} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_K}{\partial a_N} \\ \hline \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial a_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial a_N} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & & \cdots & \cdots & & \cdots \\ \frac{\partial f_K}{\partial a_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_K}{\partial a_N} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array}\right) \cdot \left(\begin{array}{c} a_1^\nu - a_1^{\nu+1} \\ \cdots \\ a_N^\nu - a_N^{\nu+1} \\ \hline \lambda_1^\nu - \lambda_1^{\nu+1} \\ \cdots \\ \lambda_K^\nu - \lambda_K^{\nu+1} \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{\partial\chi^2}{\partial a_1} + \lambda_k^\nu \cdot \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial a_1} \\ \cdots \\ \frac{\partial\chi^2}{\partial a_N} + \lambda_k^\nu \cdot \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial a_N} \\ \hline f_1 \\ \cdots \\ f_K \end{array}\right)$$

List of envelope parameters for ILD_l5_v02					
detector	inner radius	outer radius	half length min z, max z	additional parameters	
VXD	15.0	101.0	177.6	VXD_cone_min_z VXD_cone_max_z VXD_inner_radius_1	80.0 150.0 25.1
FTD	37.0	309.0	2350.0	FTD_outer_radius_1 FTD_outer_radius_2 FTD_min_z_0 FTD_min_z_1 FTD_min_z_2 FTD_cone_min_z FTD_cone_radius	152.8 299.7 177.7 368.2 644.2 230.0 192.0
SIT	152.9	324.6	644.1	SIT_outer_radius_1 SIT_half_length_1	299.8 368.1
TPC	329.0	1769.8	2350.0		
SET	1769.9	1804.3	2350.0		
Ecal	1804.8	2028.0	2350.0	Ecal_Hcal_symmetry Ecal_symmetry	8 8
EcalEndcap	400.0	2095.84	2411.8, 2635.0	EcalEndcap_symmetry	8
EcalEndcapRing	250.0	390.0	2411.8, 2635.0		
Hcal	2058.0	3395.5	2350.0	Hcal_inner_symmetry	8
HcalEndcap	350.0	3225.5	2650.0, 3937.0		
HcalEndcapRing	2145.84	2980.0	2411.8, 2635.0	HcalEndcapRing_symmetry	8
Coil	3425.0	4175.0	3872.0		
Yoke	4475.0	7776.0	4047.0	Yoke_symmetry	12
YokeEndcap	300.0	7776.0	4072.0, 7373.0	YokeEndcap_symmetry	12
YokeEndcapPlug	300.0	3395.54	3937.2, 4072.0	YokeEndcapPlug_symmetry	12
BeamCal	17.8	140.0	3115.0, 3315.0	BeamCal_thickness	200.0
LHCal	130.0	315.0	2680.0, 3160.0	LHCal_thickness	480.0
LumiCal	80.0	202.1	2411.8, 2540.5	LumiCal_thickness	128.7

List of envelope parameters for ILD_s5_v02					
detector	inner radius	outer radius	half length min z, max z	additional parameters	
VXD	15.0	101.0	177.6	VXD_cone_min_z VXD_cone_max_z VXD_inner_radius_1	80.0 150.0 25.1
FTD	37.0	309.0	2350.0	FTD_outer_radius_1 FTD_outer_radius_2 FTD_min_z_0 FTD_min_z_1 FTD_min_z_2 FTD_cone_min_z FTD_cone_radius	152.8 299.7 177.7 368.2 644.2 230.0 192.0
SIT	152.9	324.61	644.1	SIT_outer_radius_1 SIT_half_length_1	299.8 368.1
TPC	329.0	1426.8	2350.0		
SET	1426.9	1461.3	2350.0		
Ecal	1461.8	1685.0	2350.0	Ecal_Hcal_symmetry Ecal_symmetry	8 8
EcalEndcap	400.0	1717.92	2411.8, 2635.0	EcalEndcap_symmetry	8
EcalEndcapRing	250.0	390.0	2411.8, 2635.0		
Hcal	1715.0	3045.83	2350.0	Hcal_inner_symmetry	8
HcalEndcap	350.0	2875.83	2650.0, 3937.0		
HcalEndcapRing	1767.92	2656.92	2411.8, 2635.0	HcalEndcapRing_symmetry	8
Coil	3075.33	3825.33	3872.0		
Yoke	4125.33	7426.33	4047.0	Yoke_symmetry	12
YokeEndcap	300.0	7426.33	4072.0, 7373.0	YokeEndcap_symmetry	12
YokeEndcapPlug	300.0	3045.83	3937.2, 4072.0	YokeEndcapPlug_symmetry	12
BeamCal	17.8	140.0	3115.0, 3315.024	BeamCal_thickness	220.03
LHCal	130.0	315.0	2680.0, 3160.0	LHCal_thickness	480.0
LumiCal	80.0	202.09	2411.8, 2540.5	LumiCal_thickness	128.7

Angular resolution

polar angle

$$\delta\theta = RMS_{90}(\theta_{rec} - \theta_{mc})$$



azimuth angle

$$\delta\phi * sin\theta = RMS_{90}\{(\phi_{rec} - \phi_{mc})sin\theta\}$$



For evaluation of angular resolution, use jet clustering.

Durham algorithm

$$y_{ij} = \frac{2\min(E_i^2, E_j^2)(1 - \cos\theta_{ij})}{Q^2}$$

apply this result to kinematic fit

Compare with



エネルギー分解能の定義

$$\frac{\sigma_{E_j}}{E_j} = \frac{\mathrm{RMS}_{90}(E_j)}{\mathrm{mean}_{90}(E_j)} = \sqrt{2}\frac{\mathrm{RMS}_{90}(E_{jj})}{\mathrm{mean}_{90}(E_{jj})}$$

RMS_{90}

ヒストグラム内の90%の事象が含まれる最小の領域における標準偏差を用いる





極角分解能　$\delta\theta = RMS_{90}(\theta_{rec} - \theta_{mc})$





方位角分解能 $\delta\phi * sin\theta = RMS_{90}\{(\phi_{rec} - \phi_{mc})sin\theta\}$





極角分解能 $\delta\theta = RMS_{90}(\theta_{rec} - \theta_{mc})$





方位角分解能 $\delta\phi = RMS_{90}(\phi_{rec} - \phi_{mc})$





Event Selection

1. isolated lepton veto
2. loose restriction
   [transverse di-jet momentum, di-jet invariant mass, recoil mass from di-jet]
3. number of PFOs and charged tracks: N_{pfo}, N_{track}
4. di-jet (Z) pt: Pt_Z
5. di-jet mass: M_Z
6. di-jet polar angle: θ_Z
7. recoil mass: M_{recoil}
8. multi-variate analysis: Boosted Decision Tree(BDT) method

生成断面積とモンテカルロサンプル

サンプル名	生成断面積 [fb^{-1}]		生成事象数	
	左完全偏極	右完全偏極	左完全偏極	右完全偏極
$\mathrm{qqH}, \mathrm{H} \to \mathrm{inv.}(\mathrm{BR} = 10\%)$	34.6	22.2	19701	19900
qqH (SM)	346.0	222.0	346336	222351
ZZ semi-leptonic	1422.1	713.5	356461	178635
WW semi-leptonic	18781.0	172.7	1919148	43501
$\nu\nu$Z semi-leptonic	456.8	130.8	114516	32998
other bkg	223851	114716	17312470	

左完全偏極：$(P_{e^-}, P_{e^+}) = (-1.0, +1, 0)$

右完全偏極：$(P_{e^-}, P_{e^+}) = (+1.0, -1, 0)$

How to set UL [Statistical method]

- Template
  - Assume BR(H→invisible)=[1,2,⋯,10]% -> Event selection
  - Get # of events (N_{S+B}) in window range (Mrecoil∈[120,140] GeV)
  - Generate Poisson distribution of N_{S+B} -> Get 95% CL limit (N_{UL})
  - Repeat for each BR(H→invisible)=[1,2,⋯,10]% -> Get calibration line between N_{UL} and UL
- Toy MC
  - Fit template bkg -> Generate pseudo experiment by fluctuated bkg function
  - Get # of events (N_{S+B}) in window range (Mrecoil∈[120,140] GeV)
  - Translate N_{S+B} into UL of BR(H→invisible) using calibration line
  - Repeat 10000 times to Obtain UL distribution









Result of Mrec dist. [Ecm = 250 GeV, 250 fb^{-1},BR(H->inv.)=10%]

Left



significance: 15.5
efficiency: 63.5%

Right



significance: 19.7
efficiency: 65.9%

MVA input variables	
di-jet inv. mass	one jet polar angle
di-jet polar angle	another jet polar angle

TMVA v-4.2.0

No.	Cut	No.	Cut
1	Isolated lepton veto	5	80 < di-jet invariant mass < 100
2	Loose Cut (Ptz,Mz,Mrecoil)	6	| di-jet polar angle |< 0.9
3	#pfo >15 & #all_track > 6 & # track_in_one_jet > 1	7	100 < recoil mass < 160
4	20 GeV < di-jet Pt < 80 GeV	8	BDT cut