dE/dx & PID study for new ILD detector models

Masakazu Kurata

02/07/2018

Introduction

^{2nd round to check dE/dx}

- Comparison between ilcsoft versions
- Comparison between detector models

Check PID which includes the correction from vo1-19-04

• Use test samples

- Both signed fundamental particles(e, mu, pi, K, p)
- Energy o-100GeV & Angle uniform

Today, first show plots and after that mention about some points

dE/dx distribution

- Truncation method
 - Upper 30% lower 8% discarded
- With additional smearing
- N_{hit}>=30, whole momentum & angle range **PID Analysis**



Comparison between ilcsoft versions

vo1-19-04: p>=1GeV/c(due to mono-energy samples)

vo1-19-05: whole momentum & angle range





Momentum & angular dependence



Comparison between detector models



....

LeptonID



Both leptons can be identified well with both detectors

Small detector has low efficiency especially low momentum region



Better Pion mis-ID efficiency suppress with large detector

Charged hadrons(Kaon ID)



In single track case, performance is almost same

Large seems slightly better than small

Momentum & angle dependence look weak except very forward

Problem?: momentum& number of hits

- Low momentum & small number of hits tracks have strange dE/dx value
 - Cannot erase Landau effect
 - Tried different patterns of truncation method
 - e.g.) upper 30, 40, 50% discarded cannot be changed...
 - Electron type track has large effect: multiple scattering?
- Correlation seems small about cosθ



Update on PID

Rearrange input variables for PID

- Especially relatively low momentum mu/pi separation
- Focus on 2.0GeV/c<p<7.0GeV/c range</p>
- Others seems to be unchanged or slightly better



Summary & Plan

No strange behavior on dE/dx and PID

Correction from vo1-19-04 is OK

Will be done within this week:

- Introduce some changes to ilcsoft
 - Rearranged variables used for PID
 - Backward compatibility included
- Correct parameters on steering file
 - For large & small detectors
- Upload template files for PID

backups

New detector models



- Fluctuation difference(σ /MEAN): $\sim_3\%$ (abs.) $\sim_{13}\%$ (relative)
 - Natural result
- Fluctuation too small?
 - Do not add any additional smearing now

e.g.) 4.61 \sim 4.70% fluctuation for Asian GEM electron beam test result

Need to check using other MIP particles

New detector models



Fluctuation difference(σ/MEAN): ~3%

Natural result

New detector model(l4_vo2)



- Cannot do full check... but:
 - Bethe-Bloch curve looks OK. Does not change from o1_vo5
 - \rightarrow Curve is coming from o1_vo5
 - Angle correction is too much in forward region?

New detector model(s4_vo2)



- Cannot do full check... but
 - Same tendency as the case of I4_vo2