Development of active absorber ECAL

R.Terada
Shinshu University

2018/10/24 LCWS 2018@Texas

Introduction of active absorber CAL

- The sampling calorimeter has a structure in which a large number of absorption layers and detection are stacked.
- Energy deposited in the absorber is not directory measured but estimated by measuring active material such as scintillator.
- Energy resolution, an important indicator of calorimeter, degrades due to energy fluctuation in the absorbers
- At ILC, improve jet energy resolution by using PFA to identify particles with tracker.
- However, when the energy becomes higher at future experiment, the energy resolution of the calorimeter is still very important.



Tracker's momentum resolution is very high at current energy but it degrades at high energy

Introduction of active absorber CAL

- If energy deposit in absorber layers can be measured directly, the performance will be improved significantly.
- PFA is still important so it is necessary to be a sampling calorimeter with finely granulated detection layer.
- In addition, the absorption layer is divided in order to obtain the position of the particles from them.
- In this calorimeter, it is necessary to use a transparent and heavy substance so that scintillation light or Cherenkov light can be detected as an absorption layer.
- The absorption layer needs a large amount of material volume, therefore inexpensive material is preferable.



Introduction of active absorber CAL

- Lead glass is a candidate for active absorber layer.
- Lead glass is transparent, so Cherenkov light can be measured with an optical sensor.
- Lead glass is inexpensive compared to crystal scintillator.
- In order to suppress dead volume and independently optically read a large number of blocks, it is necessary to install the thin photo sensor.
- Since MPPC is very thin and small, it can be used for this detector.

- The calorimeter using information on the absorption layer has the possibility of both ECAL and HCAL.
- A very large amount of material at absorption layer is required for measurement of hadron.
- Therefore, we created an electromagnetic calorimeter prototype that can be made compact.



Lead glass block and optical sensor (MPPC)

Prototype of active absorber ECAL

- We manufactured 3 layers sampling calorimeter as an active absorber ECAL.
- Segmented lead glasses with MPPCs as an active absorber layer.
- Finely granulated detection layer using strip scintillator.
- Tail catcher made of a large block of lead glass at the end of the setup.
- Test beam at ELPH at Tohoku University in 2016 and 2017 3 days each.
- Injection of 100MeV to 800MeV positron beam.
- We also tested EBU at the same time



Active Absorber Prototype at test beam in 2016



Side view of 2016 prototype



Top view of 2017 prototype

Active absorber layer

- Lead glass is segmented in size of $3 \times 3 \times 4$ cm^3 for PFA.
- 1 block (4cm thickness) $2.4X_0$ ($X_0 = 1.7$ cm)
- Using a 3×3 mm^2 MPPC for optical readout (50μm pitch use 2 layers and 75μm pitch use 1 layer)
- To read out each lead glass independently, each block was enveloped with reflector.
- 1 layer has 9 lead glass blocks (3 x 3 ch lead glass blocks array)
- We manufactured 3 layers
- 27 MPPCs are read by an EASIROC Module
- Pre-calibration of the layer at the bench test was done with cosmic muon


$3 \times 3mm^2$ MPPC



Lead Glass Block



Active Absorber Layer


Lead Glass blocks Array

Strip scintillator layer

- A scintillator layer was created with a 9 x 9 cm^2 sensitive area
- This is the same as the sensitive area of the lead glass layer.
- 9 strip scintillators with 18 x 1 x 0.3 cm^3 were used for the scintillator layer in one direction.
- Assembling strips in a pair of layers orthogonally each other make the resolution to be 1 x 1 cm^2.
- It has better position resolution than lead glass.
- Enveloped with 3M reflector film.
- Read out by a MPPC(1 x 1 mm^2, 25μm pitch) with wavelength shifting fiber.
- We manufactured 6 layers.
- Pre-calibration of the layer at the bench test was done with cosmic muons and ^{90}Sr.





18 cm x 1cm x 0.3 cm Sc Strip w/ WLSF



^{90}Sr ADC Distribution



Read out and Trigger system

- This prototype has 85 MPPCs.
- 3 EASIROC Modules to read out MPPC signals for 3 types MPPCs.
  (1 x 1 mm^2, 3 x 3 mm^2, 12 x 12 mm^2)
- Trigger signals are made by one EASIROC Module for events with signals from 2 trigger scintillators coincide.
- Trigger signals are fed into the other modules.
- All EASIROC Modules are read out with 250kHz and 40MHz synchronized clocks.
- In order to test EBU with resolution of 5 x 5 mm^2, we tested different DAQ synchronizations (EASIROC and EBU).

EASIROC Modules



Read Out and Trigger system

Event Display

- 400MeV positron injection
- Detector is working



400MeV Event Display

Scintillator Hitmap



- Injection 800MeV positron
- Cut at 0.3 MIP and took the coincidence of X and Y layers
- We can see the development of EM shower
- All strip scintillator channels work well



800MeV Sc Hitmap

Calibration of lead glass block

- Calibration is important for calorimeter.
- In the simulation, the mean energy deposit to the lead glass block was 53 MeV at 100MeV positron injection because of EM shower back leakage.
- intensity of 50 MeV position beam is insufficient at ELPH
- We calibrated a lead glass block in front of the tail catcher.
- Because the tail catcher is large, it is possible to drop all energy.
- The performance of the tail catcher can be directly measured with a beam.
- By using this, we can know the deposit energy in lead glass block.
- Tests were conducted by injecting energy of 100 MeV to 800 MeV into 3 lead glass blocks out of 27 (only).
- We were going to to calibrate all the lead glass blocks, but in 2017 test we could not do because of big beam machine trouble.



A lead glass blocks energy distribution of 100MeV positrons injecting



calibration method at 2017 test

Tail Catcher Calibration

- We did calibrate of tail catcher with positron beam at ELPH directory.
- Beam energy is 200 - 800MeV
- Use plastic scintillator trigger which has 1 x 1 cm^2 area
- Energy linearity is good
- We determined conversion factor of ADC/GeV as 2035 (fit results shown by p1)



Injection 600MeV e+



Tail catcher ADC Distribution



Tail catcher energy linearity

Energy deposit of one Lead Glass Block

- We need to know energy deposit of the one lead glass block at beam injection
- Different energy beams (100-800MeV) were injected and the response was confirmed
- First, we calculated the expected lead glass block energy
- The energy of lead glass can be calculated by subtracting that in the tail catcher from the beam energy

$$E_{LG\ Block}\ (GeV) = E_{Injection}\ (GeV) - E_{TailCatcher}\ (GeV)$$



2017 Calibration method

Injection 600MeV e+



Tail Catcher Energy Distribution



calculated (Beam E - TC E)
Lead Glass Block Energy

Energy deposit of one Lead Glass Block

- The lead glass block ADC is considered to coincide with the energy of this calculation result
- Use the mean of the fit results of the energy calculated with ADC
- The result of energy response is linear
- Also the intercept is close enough to zero
- From this result, the calibration factor (GeV to ADC counts) of the lead glass block was determined

$$Factor_{ADC/GeV} = ADC_{meanLG}(Count)/(E_{beam}(GeV) - E_{tailcatcher}(GeV))$$

$$E_{LG\ Block\ eventbyevent}\ (GeV) = ADC_{LG\ Block}\ (Count)\ /\ Factor_{ADC/GeV}$$

Block Number (MPPC pixel)	Calib factor (ADC/GeV)
Block 1 (50μm pixel)	4830
Block 2 (50μm pixel)	5225
Block 3 (75μm pixel)	5774



calculated (Beam E - TC E) Lead Glass Block Energy

Injection 600MeV e+



Lead glass block ADC distribution



Lead Glass block Energy event by event from ADC



Result of block 1

Total Energy Linearity

- After calibration, we reconstructed the total energy event by event (1 LG block Energy + Tail Catcher Energy)
- Energy linearity is good
  - The slope is slightly steeper than block-1 (6%)
- For all blocks, the each fitting lines have no offset
- We confirmed that energy calibration of lead glass block can be done in this method
- We need more tuning and do it for all channels



2017 Calibration method



Block 1 + TC
Total Energy Distribution







Plan of 2018 Test Beam

- 2018 test beam, 22 to 25 November this year
- Our plan was approved and our beam time will be 4days (48hours) at ELPH at Tohoku University.
- Setup is the same as 2017 TB.
- At this test beam, we plan calibration all Lead Glass block channels with beam.
- Measure the resolutions of energy, position and angle resolution for this prototype.
- We are doing verification of operation and calibration by bench test.



Preparation for 2018 TB

- Operation check of whole detector by cosmic muons
- We also pre-calibrate lead glass blocks with cosmic muons
- For calibration lead glass blocks, it is necessary to inject particles energetic enough to emit Cherenkov light (eg. cosmic muon)
- The energy deposit by a cosmic muon with 4cm thickness lead glass is estimated at 50 MeV
- In the test of 2018, we plan to compare the results of cosmic muons and beams (for lead glass blocks calibration without beam)



Preparation for 2018 TB

- Operation check of whole detector by cosmic muons
- We also pre-calibrate lead glass blocks with cosmic muons
- For calibration lead glass blocks, it is necessary to inject particles energetic enough to emit Cherenkov light (eg. cosmic muon)
- The energy deposit by a cosmic muon with 4cm thickness lead glass is estimated at 50 MeV
- In the test of 2018, we plan to compare the results of cosmic muons and beams (for lead glass blocks calibration without beam)



Cosmic muon test

- Now we test 1 layer of lead glass blocks
- This result is 115 hours test at Lab
- Can see MIP like ADC distribution, but it seems few channels
- Now check setup, operation voltages and cut conditions etc.





Summary

- Performance improvement of calorimeter is indispensable for future high energy frontier collider experiment.
- We are developing and testing active absorber ECAL.
- Prototype of active absorber ECAL to read information with lead glass absorber is working.
- A method to calibrate the lead glass block was developed in 2017.
- We are preparing for the test beam in the next month.
- Full detector performance will be examined after energy calibration of all the lead glass channels, the energy, position and angular resolution will be measured.
- Now we are doing calibration by cosmic muon at lab.

Backup

EASIROC Module

- DAQ system uses EASIROC Modules
- Developed by KEK and OSAKA University for MPPC
- We have modified the FPGA firmware and added TDC and coincidence functionality
- Multiple modules can be synchronized by external clock
- A module equips two EASIROC chips (developed by Omega) for 64 channels
- Includes ADC, TDC and HV power supply
- Controlled by PC via Ethernet



Parameter of Lead Glass

Chemical composition (wt%)	
SiO_2	27.3
PbO	70.9
K_2O	0.9
Na_2O	0.6
Sb_2O_2	0.3
Radiation length (cm)	1.7
Refractive index	1.8
Density (g/cm_3)	5.2
Critical energy (MeV)	12.6
Molière unit (X_0)	1.7

Position Resolution

- The beam was shifted 30 mm in parallel at beam line
- The position distribution results for scintillator layer only (blue) and with lead-glass information combined (red)
- The beam position is reconstructed by calculating centroid in each layers and fitted with a straight line
- Results with absorber and scintillator layers are 10% better than those with scintillator only





Angular Resolution

- The beam was injected at an angle of 5 degree with the center axis of the calorimeter setup
- The angular distribution results for scintillator layer only (blue) and with lead-glass information combined (red)
- The beam angle is reconstructed by calculating centroid in each layers and fitted with a straight line
- Results of absorber and scintillator layers are 10% better than scintillator only



H.Ito



Position and angular resolution (simulation vs experiment)

Position Resolution



Angular Resolution



Energy leakage of TailCatcher



Scintillator Calibration

- Injection 800MeV positron
- Makes shower by W plate set at most upstream
- Trigger is using tail catcher signal at most downstream
- All Chanels can see MIPs, and work well (2016 test, 2 channels were dead)
- Calibrate scintillator using MIP fit result





Layer 1 X direction ADC distribution at calibration run (2017)



scintillator calibration setup (remove active absorber)

Problem of 2016 TB

- ADC Overflow at high energy
- We could not reconstruct in the high energy region
- We cannot estimate energy at high energy
- At 2017 Test Beam
  - Change a MPPC with lower gain at first layer
  - Careful HV setting at Cosmic ray and test Beam calibrations





- Two dead channels at Sc layer1
- Since it is an edge, the influence is not big, but it is effective for the position resolution
- At 2017 Test Beam
  - Make new cable and change
    -> It works well



2016 HitMap

2017 HitMap

Lead glass Energy Resolution (2016)

- Compare experimental data with Geant4 simulation
- Combined with Tail Catcher, calculated from the energy actually dropped to the lead glass layer
- In the simulation, as a result of adding 5% energy smearing as a detector error
- Reason of deterioration of energy resolution
  - Because it is a small detector, leakage of shower has occurred with high energy (20%)
  - Compared to the simulation, the measured resolution is lower overall than in the simulation because the block-by-block calibration was not perfect
  - Future more in the high energy region of the experiment, the ADC overflow had occurred, so the resolution is degraded

Energy Resolution (sigmaE/E)



Active absorber energy resolution

Lead glass Energy Resolution (2016)

- Compare experimental data with (
- Calibrate each channel at experir
- Combined with Tail Catcher, calcu
  actually dropped to the lead glass
- In the simulation, as a result of ad
  a detector error
- Factors of deterioration of energy resolution
  - Because it is a small detector,
    occurred with high energy (20
  - Compared to the simulation, the
    than in the simulation because tl
    calibration was not perfect
  - In the high energy region of the e
    overflow has occurred, so the re







Active absorber energy resolution

Cosmic muon test

ADC Distribution

- Test 115 hours
- Uniforme HV
- Diffrent Ch
- 3 x 3 cm² Cut