

# Report on “Intensity dependent effects at ATF2”

ATF2 Collaboration

- **Motivation:** Provide a clear statement about:
  - the importance of the intensity dependence effects
  - global mitigations strategies in ATF2
  - the implications for ILC and CLIC
- **Aim:** a ~25-page report (followed by shorter article to submit for publication)
- **Proposal of Organizational aspects:**
  - Chapters: responsible of collecting the material and contributions and writing the chapter
  - Reviewers: some reviewers will be needed
- **Timeline:**
  - First draft end September 2018 << *first draft ready!*
  - First revision in November ATF2 Collab meeting 20-22 Nov 2018

# Table of Contents, today

## Intensity-dependent effects at ATF2

A. Latina<sup>1</sup>, A. Faus-Golfe<sup>2</sup>, P. Korysko<sup>1</sup>, K. Kubo<sup>3</sup>, T. Okugi<sup>3</sup>, A. Aryshev<sup>3</sup>, A. Lyapin<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> CERN: European Organization for Nuclear Research, Switzerland

<sup>2</sup> LAL: Laboratoire de l'Accélérateur Linéaire, France

<sup>3</sup> KEK: High Energy Accelerator Research Organization, Japan

<sup>4</sup> RHUL: John Adams Institute at Royal Holloway, University of London, Surrey TW20 0EX, United Kingdom

## Contents

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| <b>1 Introduction</b>   | <b>3</b>  |
| 1.1 The ATF2 optics, diagnostics and main parameters                                      | 3         |
| 1.2 Intensity dependent effects in ATF2: wakefields and its impact                        | 3         |
| <b>2 Characterization of wakefield sources in ATF2 (A. Lyapin, A. Faus-Golfe, K.Kubo)</b> | <b>4</b>  |
| 2.1 Numerical simulation methods  | 4         |
| 2.2 Comparative study and Summary table   | 5         |
| <b>3 Wakefields simulation studies in ATF2: Impact of static and dynamic errors</b>       | <b>8</b>  |
| 3.1 Wakefields: SAD simulations (Okugi)   | 8         |
| 3.1.1 Impact of dynamic errors  | 8         |
| 3.2 Wakefields: PLACET simulations (Pierre)   | 10        |
| 3.2.1 Impact of static errors: misalignments, spurious multipoles, rolls                  | 11        |
| 3.2.2 Impact of dynamic errors: incoming jitter (position, angle, energy)                 | 13        |
| 3.3 Measurements (K. Kubo, T. Okugi, P. Korysko)  | 17        |
| 3.3.1 Statics (bpm resolution, charge dependence) (P. Korysko)                            | 17        |
| 3.3.2 Dynamics  | 18        |
| <b>4 Global mitigation strategies (P. Korysko / A. Latina / T. Okugi)</b>                 | <b>20</b> |
| 4.1 Tuning procedure  | 20        |
| 4.1.1 Beam size monitor (IP-BSM)  | 20        |
| 4.1.2 Linear knobs  | 20        |
| 4.1.3 Non-linear knobs  | 21        |
| 4.1.4 Beam tuning recipe of ATF2 IP tuning  | 22        |
| 4.2 Experimental verifications  | 22        |
| 4.2.1 Wakefield evaluation from the beam orbit kick analysis                              | 22        |
| 4.2.2 Wakefield source reduction from ATF2 beamline                                       | 22        |
| 4.2.3 Static intensity dependence reduction   | 25        |
| 4.2.4 Data selection by angle at IP   | 26        |
| 4.2.5 Demonstration of dynamic intensity dependence reduction with FONT feedback          | 26        |
| <b>5 Conclusions and Outlook for ATF2</b>   | <b>30</b> |
| 5.1 Tuning procedure  | 30        |
| 5.1.1 BPM calibration (P. Korysko, A. Aryshev)  | 30        |
| 5.1.2 Orbit-based correction (including DFS, and WFS) (P. Korysko)                        | 31        |
| 5.1.3 Wakefield knobs (T. Okugi / P. Korysko)   | 32        |
| 5.1.4 Linear knobs (T. Okugi)   | 34        |
| 5.1.5 Non-linear knobs (T. Okugi)   | 34        |
| 5.2 Experimental verifications (P. Korysko, T. Okugi, A. Latina)                          | 34        |

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| 5.2.1 Check beam orbit robustness   | 34        |
| 5.2.2 Check beam size   | 34        |
| <b>6 Extrapolation to ILC and CLIC (A. Latina, A. Faus-Golfe, P. Korysko, T.Okugi)</b>                | <b>35</b> |
| 6.1 ILC Intensity dependence: SAD simulations (Okugi)   | 35        |
| 6.1.1 Effect of the bunch length difference for ATF2 and ILC  | 35        |
| 6.1.2 Impact of dynamic errors in ILC   | 36        |
| 6.2 ILC and CLIC Intensity dependence: PLACET simulations (Pierre)                                    | 41        |
| 6.2.1 Comparison between ILC FF and ATF FF  | 41        |
| 6.2.2 Simulation of intensity dependence effects in ILC   | 42        |
| 6.3 Identification of relevant wakefield sources at ILC / CLIC (BPMs, collimators, resistive sources) | 47        |
| 6.4 Calculation of impacts  | 47        |
| 6.5 Mitigation strategies   | 47        |
| 6.6 Estimate tolerances (vs. luminosity loss)   | 47        |
| <b>A Introduction to Wakefields (A. Latina)</b>   | <b>48</b> |
| A.1 Basic formulae  | 48        |
| A.2 Wakefield kicks: geometric and resistive  | 49        |
| A.2.1 Two-particle model  | 50        |
| A.3 Effects of wakefields on the beam   | 50        |
| A.3.1 Single-bunch beam breakup   | 50        |
| A.3.2 Orbit deflection and beam size at the IP  | 50        |
| <b>A Wakefields sources in ATF2 (A. Faus-Golfe, P. Korysko, K.Kubo, A. Lyapin)</b>                    | <b>54</b> |
| A.1 Cavity BPMs   | 54        |
| A.1.1 C-band position cavity  | 54        |
| A.1.2 C-band reference cavity   | 55        |
| A.1.3 S-band position cavity  | 55        |
| A.1.4 S-band reference cavity   | 56        |
| A.2 Collimator  | 56        |
| A.3 OTRs  | 56        |
| A.4 Bellows   | 57        |
| A.4.1 25 mm ID  | 57        |
| A.4.2 38 mm ID  | 57        |
| A.4.3 1 mm step bellow  | 57        |
| A.4.4 Tilted bellow   | 57        |
| A.4.5 Longer and Larger Diameter bellow on mover  | 57        |
| A.4.6 Extended bellow   | 57        |
| A.5 Vacuum elements   | 57        |
| A.5.1 Vacuum ports  | 57        |
| A.5.2 Modified vacuum ports   | 57        |
| A.5.3 Vacuum flanges  | 57        |
| A.6 IP Chamber  | 57        |
| A.7 Other beam line elements  | 57        |
| A.7.1 Beam pipe aperture steps  | 57        |
| A.7.2 Flange and aperture step  | 57        |
| A.7.3 Wakefield measurement setup   | 57        |
| A.8 Resistive sources   | 57        |

# Status

- Many contributions received... thanks!
- Currently being edited, polished, and reorganized
- First version will be presented and circulated among the authors at the ATF2 Project Meeting on Nov 20-22, 2018
- Looking for kind native English speakers for language polishing