Measurement of the ZH cross section using Z->qq in ILD

Guillaume Garillot (IPNL)

- At $\sqrt{s}$ = 250 GeV , the higgsstrahlung process is the dominant higgs production channel
- It is usually considered for $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ and Z->ee decays as it provides clear event topology
- It is however limited by the small branching ratio of $Z \rightarrow ll$ (~3 % for each lepton)
- On the opposite, the $Z \rightarrow qq$ provides a lot more statistics (br ~ 70%), but the event topology is not as clean as for Z leptonic decays



- Event sample :
  - DBD samples
  - ILCSoft : v02-00
  - ILD Model : **ILD_l5_o2_v02** (**SDHCAL** option)
  - Signal :
    - qqH
  - Backgrounds :
    - Z->qq
    - WW->qqqq
    - WW->qqlv (l = μ / τ)
    - ZZ->qqqq
    - ZZ->qqll (l = μ / τ)
    - ZZ->qqvv (μ / τ only but does not really matter here...)
    - qqee and qqev events not processed yet
  - No background overlay

- Depending on the higgs decay channel, the events can have different topologies :
  - For example H->$b\bar{b}$ will give a 4-jet final state , H->WW->$q\bar{q}\tau\nu$ 5-jet final state, H->WW->$q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$ and H->ZZ->$q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$ 6-jet final state
- Jet clustering is performed using Durham algorithm with a fixed y_{cut} in order to not constrain the number of jets

$$y_{ij} = \frac{2\min\{E_i^2, E_j^2\}}{E_{vis}^2}(1 - cos\theta_{ij})$$

- The jet pair with invariant mass closest to m_Z is identified as the Z
- The recoil mass is calculated using the Z jet pair :

$$m_{rec}^2 = (\sqrt{s} - E_{Dijet})^2 - p_{Dijet}^2$$


P(e⁻,e⁺) = (+0.8 , -0.3)
Work in progress
#normalized events
m_z (GeV)
ZH
WW->qqlv
WW->qqqq
Z->qq
ZZ->qqll
ZZ->qqqq
ZZ->qqvv


P(e⁻,e⁺) = (+0.8 , -0.3)
Work in progress
#normalized events
m_recoil (GeV)
ZH
WW->qqlv
WW->qqqq
Z->qq
ZZ->qqll
ZZ->qqqq
ZZ->qqvv

- Preselection cuts :
  - Event forced in 2 jets
  - Reject event if M_{2jet} <100 GeV
  - Reject if $|\cos \theta_{miss}| > 0.9$





- Preselection cuts :
  - Reject events with :
    - P_T of selected Z diJet < 20 GeV



- Preselection cuts :
  - Event forced in 4 jets
  - Find the jet combination that minimises :
    - $X^2 = (m_{12} - m_W)^2 + (m_{34} - m_W)^2$
  - Reject event if :
    - 70 GeV < m_{12} < 90 GeV and
    - 70 GeV < m_{34} < 90 GeV
  - Find the jet combination that minimises :
    - $X^2 = (m_{12} - m_Z)^2 + (m_{34} - m_Z)^2$
  - Reject event if :
    - 80 GeV < m_{12} < 100 GeV and
    - 80 GeV < m_{34} < 100 GeV
  - Accept event if :
    - 70 GeV < m_Z < 110 GeV and
    - 100 GeV < m_{rec} < 200 GeV





- Variables used in BDT training :



- zMass



- $\cos\theta_Z$



- θ_{Z12}



- $-\log10(y_{23})$



- $-\log10(y_{34})$

- First training :
  - Variables used :
    - Zmass
    - $\cos\theta_Z$
    - θ_{Z12}



- $P(e^-,e^+) = (+80\ \%,-30\%)$

Channel	ε_{presel}	ε_{BDT}
ZH	50,11%	41,36%
WW->qqlv	17,29%	4,86%
WW->qqqq	9,71%	6,61%
Z->qq	1,40%	0,57%
ZZ->qqll	9,63%	5,11%
ZZ->qqqq	15,61%	11,42%
ZZ->qqvv	3,86%	2,32%

- $P(e^-,e^+) = (-80\ \%,+30\%)$

Channel	ε_{presel}	ε_{BDT}
ZH	50,06%	40,38%
WW->qqlv	16,89%	4,49%
WW->qqqq	9,47%	6,07%
Z->qq	1,88%	0,82%
ZZ->qqll	11,47%	6,06%
ZZ->qqqq	17,24%	12,54%
ZZ->qqvv	4,80%	2,83%

- $P(e^-,e^+) = (+80\ \%,-30\%)$



$N_S / \sqrt{N_S+N_B} = 61.1$

$\Delta\sigma/\sigma = 1.6\ \%$

- $P(e^-,e^+) = (-80\ \%,+30\%)$



$N_S / \sqrt{N_S+N_B} = 43.60$

$\Delta\sigma/\sigma = 2.3\ \%$

- $P(e^-,e^+) = (+80\ \%,-30\%)$

Channel	e_{presel}	e_{BDT}
H->ss	49,2%	41,0%
H->cc	49,0%	41,3%
H->bb	49,2%	41,3%
H->μμ	49,3%	30,5%
H->ττ	48,5%	35,8%
H ->gg	51,6%	44,4%
H->γγ	50,8%	37,9%
H->ZZ	49,3%	40,3%
H->WW	52,5%	42,1%
H->Zγ	55,2%	47,7%

- $P(e^-,e^+) = (-80\ \%,+30\%)$

Channel	e_{presel}	e_{BDT}
H->ss	44,0%	35,6%
H->cc	50,5%	42,1%
H->bb	49,2%	40,3%
H->μμ	43,2%	30,9%
H->ττ	48,3%	34,9%
H ->gg	50,8%	42,4%
H->γγ	49,1%	37,2%
H->ZZ	49,5%	39,3%
H->WW	52,7%	41,3%
H->Zγ	52,9%	42,9%

- Second training :
  - Variables used :
    - Zmass
    - $\cos\theta_Z$
    - θ_{Z12}
    - $-\log10(y_{23})$
    - $-\log10(y_{34})$



- $P(e^-,e^+) = (+80\ \%,-30\%)$

Channel	ε_{presel}	ε_{BDT}
ZH	50,11%	38,46%
WW->qqlv	17,29%	1,07%
WW->qqqq	9,71%	6,12%
Z->qq	1,40%	0,29%
ZZ->qqll	9,63%	3,43%
ZZ->qqqq	15,61%	9,90%
ZZ->qqvv	3,86%	0,07%

- $P(e^-,e^+) = (-80\ \%,+30\%)$

Channel	ε_{presel}	ε_{BDT}
ZH	50,06%	38,35%
WW->qqlv	16,89%	1,03%
WW->qqqq	9,47%	5,62%
Z->qq	1,88%	0,45%
ZZ->qqll	11,47%	4,35%
ZZ->qqqq	17,24%	11,33%
ZZ->qqvv	4,80%	0,08%

- $P(e^-,e^+) = (+80\ \%,-30\%)$



$N_S / \sqrt{N_S+N_B} = 72.45$

$\Delta\sigma/\sigma = 1.4\ \%$

- $P(e^-,e^+) = (-80\ \%,+30\%)$



$N_S / \sqrt{N_S+N_B} = 59.84$

$\Delta\sigma/\sigma = 1.9\ \%$

- $P(e^-,e^+) = (+80\ \%,-30\%)$

Channel	e_{presel}	e_{BDT}
H->ss	49,2%	36,7%
H->cc	49,0%	39,5%
H->bb	49,2%	39,2%
H->μμ	49,3%	28,2%
H->ττ	48,5%	25,6%
H ->gg	51,6%	43,0%
H->γγ	50,8%	36,7%
H->ZZ	49,3%	36,3%
H->WW	52,5%	38,6%
H->Zγ	55,2%	37,0%

- $P(e^-,e^+) = (-80\ \%,+30\%)$

Channel	e_{presel}	e_{BDT}
H->ss	44,0%	35,5%
H->cc	50,5%	40,8%
H->bb	49,2%	39,1%
H->μμ	43,2%	28,8%
H->ττ	48,3%	25,9%
H ->gg	50,8%	41,9%
H->γγ	49,1%	36,2%
H->ZZ	49,5%	35,1%
H->WW	52,7%	38,8%
H->Zγ	52,9%	34,3%

- At $\sqrt{s}$ = 250 GeV and 500fb^{-1} integrated luminosity, the σ_{ZH} cross section could be measured with a precision of ~1.6 % using P(e^-,e^+) = (+80 %,-30%) polarisation
  - Except for the H->ττ decay, the ZH selection efficiency does not strongly depend on the higgs decay mode.
  - It is difficult to conclude on the H->μμ events due to the extremely low statistics
- Plans :
  - Add qqev and qqee background
  - Investigate the H->ττ
  - Produce a dedicated ZH (H->μμ) sample to understand what is happening