

John Adams Institute for Accelerator Science

1

ATF2 June Shifts 2

R. Ramjiawan Friday, 6th July 2018

Limiter Phase as a Function of Sample Number

Limiter phase jitter as a function of sample number and of number of samples integrated (starting at the first sample we would use in an integration window – sample 59). For best resolution samples 59:64 used – shown in dashed orange lines.



Resolution as a function of position and angle



Fitted resolution (nm) as a function of position

(x axis) and angle (y axis), fitting to position,

Geometric resolution (nm) as a function of position (x axis) and angle (y axis)



Resolution vs. Q'/q





It is possible to reduce the impact to the resolution by fitting to Q'/q but not possible to fully eliminate the effect.

Fitting to I'/q offers some improvement as the fitted calibration constant is different for the extreme Q'/q settings.

Geometric resolution IPA Fit to position IPB Fit to position IPC Fit to position Geometric resolution IPA Fit to position and angle IPB Fit to position and angle IPC Fit to position and angle

Fitted calibration constant during scan





Comparing calibration constants with the calibration constants obtained by fitting to the bunch position.

IPA and IPC fitted calibration constants from fitting for IPB.

Black and orange lines show calibration constants determined by usual calibration and the grey bands are the errors from this fit.

Improvement to resolution from fitting limiter phase





Scan of positions and tilts



Scan of positions and tilts



Position and tilt scan

John Adams Institute

- Min pos, min tilt
- Min pos, max tilt
- Max pos, min tilt
- Max pos, max tilt

Bowtie shape as a function of number of samples integrated Plotted: I/q and Q/q divided by number of samples integrated.



1 sample
2 samples
3 samples
4 samples
5 samples
6 samples