#### Preliminary results from Quad test beam

Yevgen Bilevych, Klaus Desch, Jean-Paul Fransen, Harry van der Graaf, Markus
Gruber, Fred Hartjes, Bas van der Heijden, Kevin Heijhof, Charles letswaard, Dimitri John, Jochen Kaminski, Peter Kluit, Naomi van der Kolk, Auke Korporaal,
Cornelis Ligtenberg, Oscar van Petten, Gerhard Raven, Joop Rövekamp, Lucian Scharenberg, Tobias Schiffer, Sebastian Schmidt and Jan Timmermans

LCTPC Colloboration meeting

January 10, 2019



## Table of Contents

#### Introduction

2 Synchronization issues

3 Preliminary quad results

Kees Ligtenberg (Nikhef)

Preliminary results from Quad test beam

January 10, 2019 1 / 15

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Niklhef

### Introduction

- Quad is a module consisting of 4 Timepix3 chips, with all services under the active area
- Quad detector is put inside a test box with guards and field shaping, filled with T2K gas
- 2 Quads were tested one by one



See also introduction talk by Peter Kluit



Kees Ligtenberg (Nikhef)

Preliminary results from Quad test beam

January 10, 2019 2 / 15

#### Test beam setup

- 2.5 GeV electrons provided by the ELSA facility (Bonn) at a 10 kHz rate
- Events are triggered by a scintillating plane
- $\bullet\,$  The telescope consist of 6 mimosa planes with  $18.4\,\mu m \times 18.4\,\mu m$  sized pixels





Preliminary results from Quad test beam

January 10, 2019 3 / 15

Timepix readout procedure

Timepix readout procedure

- The Timepix3 registers the fine time of a hit and stores it near the pixel to be read out.
- 4 Timepix3 chips are connected with one 160 Mb/s link to the SPIDR each
  - $\blacktriangleright\,$  12 links with a maximum speed of 640 Mb/s per link are available
- The SPIDR boards adds a course time stamp (409.6µs per tick) to each hit and transmits it to the DAQ PC.

Because the link speed was not fast enough for the rates, a maximum of 1.3 MHits/s was read out per chip

Some hits arrived too late at the SPIDR board and received the wrong course time

Kees Ligtenberg (Nikhef)

Preliminary results from Quad test beam

January 10, 2019 4 / 15

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 ののの

## Synchronization issues



The number of hits per 409.6  $\mu s$  does hardly fluctuate

(Teal represents the 2017 single chip)



Hits after selection: some hits are not read out until after 160 cycles of 409.6  $\mu s$ 

The solution is to stack hits from up to 200 cycles after the original trigger

Kees Ligtenberg (Nikhef)

Preliminary results from Quad test beam

January 10, 2019 5 / 15

#### Selections and some run parameters

Use runs 668, 672, and 676 (center, right, left respectively):

- $E_{\rm drift} = 400 \, {\rm V/cm}$ , which is closer the maximum drift velocity because of water vapor
- $V_{\text{Grid}} = 330 \text{ V}$
- Threshold at  $\sim$ 550 e (55 DAC counts above noise)

#### Selection

$$\begin{array}{l} -500 \ \mathrm{ns} < t_{\mathrm{hit}} - t_{\mathrm{trigger}} < 500 \ \mathrm{ns} \\ \mathrm{Hit} \ \mathrm{ToT} > 0.10 \ \mathrm{\mu s} \\ \mathrm{Reject} \ \mathrm{outliers} \left( \ r_x < 1.5 \ \mathrm{mm}, r_z < 3 \ \mathrm{mm} \ \right) \\ N_{\mathrm{hits}} > 20 \\ \left( N_{r_x < 1.5 \ \mathrm{mm}} \ / \ N_{r_x < 5 \ \mathrm{mm}} \right) > 0.8 \\ \overline{x_{\mathrm{hit}}} - x_{\mathrm{track}} < 0.3 \ \mathrm{mm} \end{array}$$

Kees Ligtenberg (Nikhef)

Preliminary results from Quad test beam

January 10, 2019 6 / 15

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 ののの

## Hit maps

#### After selection with telescope



Kees Ligtenberg (Nikhef)

Preliminary results from Quad test beam

크니크 January 10, 2019 7 / 15

< 🗇 🕨 🔸

-- Nik hef

990

## Drift velocity

- Because of water vapor content (0.6%), the drift velocity is expected to be slower than normally for a T2K gas
- The measured drift speed (55  $\mu$ m/ns) is slightly smaller than expected for this water vapor concentration (60  $\mu$ m/ns)



#### Time walk correction

- Time walk occurs when the apparent time of arrival depends on the signal amplitude
- With Timepix3 the time walk can be corrected for using the Time over Threshold (ToT) as measure of signal strength:

$$\delta z_{\mathsf{timewalk}} = rac{c_1}{t_{\mathsf{ToT}} + t_0} + z_0$$



## Resolution in the transverse direction (pixel plane)



Residual as function of drift distance is fitted with

$$\sigma_x = \sqrt{\sigma_{x0}^2 + D_T^2(z - z_0)}$$

Kees Ligtenberg (Nikhef)

Preliminary results from Quad test beam

January 10, 2019 10 / 15

## Resolution in the drift direction



Residual as function of drift distance is fitted with

$$\sigma_z = \sqrt{\sigma_{z0}^2 + D_L^2(z-z_0)}$$

Kees Ligtenberg (Nikhef)

Preliminary results from Quad test beam

January 10, 2019 11 / 15

## Deformations in the pixel plane

- Calculate the mean x-residual per 4 × 4 pixels
- Hits are pulled towards the ground potential at the edges of the chips



Kees Ligtenberg (Nikhef)

Preliminary results from Quad test beam

January 10, 2019 12 / 15

## Deformations after correction

- the electric field distortions can be corrected for using the expected track position from e.g. a Telescope
- The applied correction is a single 3rd order polynomial per chip



Nik[hef

Kees Ligtenberg (Nikhef)

Preliminary results from Quad test beam

January 10, 2019 13 / 15

# Frequency histogram of deformations



Each bin (mean residual from  $4 \times 4$  pixels ) is one entry in the histogram

Kees Ligtenberg (Nikhef)

Preliminary results from Quad test beam

January 10, 2019 14 / 15

### Conclusions

- A good set of data with the Quad was taken using 2.5 GeV electrons
- A synchronization problem was identified, and a work-around is in place
- The hit resolution will be further investigated
- In the first diagrams, systematic deformations are small

The analysis of the quad test beam data is well under way

Preliminary results from Quad test beam

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

January 10, 2019

15 / 15

# Deformations in the drift direction

without per column calibration



January 10, 2019 16 / 15

315

-

Nik hef

Sac