Revisiting dE/dx

A. Irles, 16th Nov 2018 Analysis group meeting



ParticleTagger Processor

LABORATOIRE DEL'ACCÉLÉRATEUR LINÉAIRE

 ParticleTagger processor developped during S. Bilokin thesis https://github.com/QQbarAnalysis/Partic leTagger

• Angular correction: $\frac{dE}{dx} \rightarrow \frac{dE}{dx} \theta^{0.15}$,

Plots from S.B. thesis (page 88)





Irles, A. | 20th October 2018

ParticleTagger Processor

- ParticleTagger is not used in the analysis, but its results are used for "cheating"
- From the table in the precedent slide, we calculate
 - 88% eff
 - 95% purity
 - Values calculated using 250GeV bb REC samples
- We use this to simulate our kaon identification efficiency but we are doing it not fully correctly:
 - The efficiency is correctly applied.
 - The purity value is used to flip the sign in the 5% of cases (although the 5% from purity stands for the contamiantion of pions and protons....)



dEdx info is now available in the DST samples.

Even more, dEdx pid algorithm information is in there:

collection name : PandoraPFOs parameters:

------ print out of ReconstructedParticle collection ------

flag: 0x0

parameter PIDAlgorithmTypeID [int]: 0, 3, 4, 2, 5, 6, 7, 1,

parameter PIDAlgorithmTypeName [string]: BasicVariablePID, LikelihoodPID, LowMomMuID, ShowerShapesPID, TOFEstimators0ps, TOFEstimators10ps, TOFEstimators50ps, dEdxPID, parameter ParameterNames BasicVariablePID [string]: electronLikelihood, muonLikelihood, pionLikelihood, hadronLikelihood, MVAOutput_mupiSeparation, electronProbability, parameter ParameterNames_LikelihoodPID [string]: electronLikelihood, muonLikelihood, pionLikelihood, kaonLikelihood, protonLikelihood, hadronLikelihood, MVAOutput_mupiSeparation, electronProbability, muo Probability, pionProbability, kaonProbability, protonProbability, hadronProbability, electron_dEdxdistance, muon_dEdxdistance, pion_dEdxdistance, kaon_dEdxdistance, proton_dEdxdistance, parameter ParameterNames_LowMomMuID [string]: electronLikelihood, muonLikelihood, pionLikelihood, kaonLikelihood, protonLikelihood, MVAOutput_mupiSeparation, electronProbability, parameter ParameterNames_LowMomMuID [string]: electronLikelihood, muonLikelihood, kaonLikelihood, protonLikelihood, hadronLikelihood, MVAOutput_mupiSeparation, electronProbability, parameter ParameterNames_LowMomMuID [string]: electronLikelihood, muonLikelihood, kaonLikelihood, protonLikelihood, hadronLikelihood, MVAOutput_mupiSeparation, electronProbability, parameter ParameterNames_ShowerShapesPID [string]: electronLikelihood, muonLikelihood, pionLikelihood, kaonLikelihood, protonLikelihood, hadronLikelihood, MVAOutput_mupiSeparation, electronProbability, muonProbability, pionProbability, kaonProbability, hadronProbability, electron_dEdxdistance, pion_dEdxdistance, kaon_dEdxdistance, proton_dEdxdistance, parameter ParameterNames_ShowerShapesPID [string]: TOFFirstHit, TOFClosestHits, TOFClosestHitsError, TOFFlightLength, ToFLastTrkHit, pight_ngth, parameter ParameterNames_TOFEstimators50ps [string]: TOFFirstHit, TOFClosestHits, TOFClosestHitsErr

parameter ParameterNames_IOFEstimators50ps [string]: IOFFirstHit, IOFClosestHits, IOFClosestHitsError, parameter ParameterNames_<mark>dEdx</mark>PID [string]: electronLikelihood, muonLikelihood, pionLikelihood, kaonLike vility, pionProbability, kaonProbability, protonProbability, hadronProbability, electron_<mark>dEdx</mark>distance, m



- What is in there? How do we use it? If it is there, there is no need to reinvent the wheel...
- But first we check if the information there agrees with the estimation made by Sviatosla, using DST for I5, 500GeV, bbbar
- First step, repeat Sviatoslav plots

Irles. A.

• I found out that applying the angular correction from Sviatoslav, the results are worst... the angle correction is already included? It is different? I may be doing some mistake....





dEdx vs p without extra angular correction



- Density of kaons per bin.
- To be compared with the likelihood and probabilities from the PID in the PandoraPFOs objects.











Back-up slides





Tracks (kaon) information for Jets with right, wrong, zero charge measurement



ILD geometry



Irles, A. | 20th October 2018

Page 11