# **CEPC AHCAL optimization and R&D progress**

## **Boxiang Yu** On behalf of the CEPC Calorimeter working Group

State Key Laboratory of Particle Detection and Electronics, China Institute of High Energy Physics, CAS







4-11, CALICE meeting, Utrecht Netherlands

# **CEPC Detector Concept(s)**



- **Baseline: ILD-like** 
  - TPC tracking + Imaging calorimetry (ECAL+HCAL)
  - PFA-oriented
- **Alternatives** 
  - Low-field concept
  - Full-silicon concept





# The options of CEPC-PFA-HCAL



Two options:

- 1. Digital HCAL (DHCAL): Gas detector, RPC & MPGD
- 2. Analog HCAI (AHCAL): Plastic scintillator



## The concept design of CEPC AHCAL

5

Analog hadron calorimeter in CEPC-CDR:

- The absorber: 2cm Stainless steel (0.12λ<sub>1</sub>, 1.14X<sub>0</sub>);
- Detector cell size:  $3 \text{ cm} \times 3 \text{ cm}$  or  $4 \text{ cm} \times 4 \text{ cm}$ ;
- The sensitive detector : Scintillator(organic scintillator);
- About 40 sensitive layers, total readout channel: ≈7 Million (3cm × 3cm)



4-11, CALICE meeting, Utrecht Netherlands

## Software compensation for Energy reconstruction at AHCAL

#### Local



## SiPM saturation effect on AHCAL dynamic range

Scintillator:  $30 \times 30 \times 3 \text{mm}^3$ SiPM: 1mm<sup>2</sup> with 1600 Pixels

- SiPM Saturation will influence the AHCAL energy reconstruction.
- The digitization method has combined the simulation hits (deposit energy) and test results to calculate the fired pixel number for each SiPM by Monte Calo.



After correction, the dynamic range of 1600 pixels SiPM is enough!

# Different sampling fraction for AHCAL

9

- Scintillator:3mm
- Absorber(Fe) total 80cm
- layer from 20 to 100 by step of 20
- Incident particle: pion-





The energy resolution of single particle  $\pi^-$  changes less when layers over 40 based on variability of slope.



One opinion is increase the sampling layers keep the absorber thickness, but electronics readout will be reduced, two layers using one layer ASIC readout.

Motivation:

A AHCAL prototype fit the CEPC requirement.

## Specification:

- Active layers: under optimization (>35);
- Detector cell: under optimization ;
- Absorber: stainless steel;
- Readout: SiPM+ASIC





### Ability of cell mass production





#### NDL SiPM website => http://www.ndl-sipm.net/

Six NDL-SiPMs was tested (electron-Sr90): 30mmx30mmx3mm with PL Scintillator



## NDL-SiPM + ASIC Test-2



Pitch	10um	12.5um	15um (under developing)	_
Peak PDE @420nm	31%	32%	35%	ł
Gain	<b>2</b> *10 <sup>5</sup>	3.5*10 <sup>5</sup>	5*10 <sup>5</sup>	• t
Breakdown Voltage(V)	27.5	21.5		

KLauS ASIC (KIP, Uni-HB) •Low noise, low power dissipation •Continuous readout without dead time

25 uW / channel @ duty cycle 0.5%



- The four sizes of  $30 \times 30 \times 3$  mm<sup>3</sup>,  $40 \times 40 \times 3$  mm<sup>3</sup> and
- $50 \times 50 \times 3$  mm<sup>3</sup> were studied.
- SiPM or MPPC(surface-mounted)
- PS were wrapped by ESR foil





Detector cell size VS jet energy resolution

From the result show, the light output of  $30 \times 30 \times 3$ mm<sup>3</sup> and  $40 \times 40 \times 3$ mm<sup>3</sup> can reach to about 22p.e and 15 p.e for MIPs, it is acceptable. But the light output  $50 \times 50 \times 3$ mm<sup>3</sup> is too low to separate MIPs from noise and separate mit separate

## **Injection moulded Scintillator tiles**

- 300 tiles polystyrene, BisMSB
  - injection moulded at Beijing
  - incl. dimple, no further surface treatment;
- Mechanical tolerances is fine for assembly, the size error less than 50um;
- Scintillators Light output fluctuation is  $\sigma < 7\%$ ;





#### Size uniformity

Tiles size(mm)	30.08x30.01	30.07x30.04	30.04x30.02	30.09x30.09	30.05x30.03
	x3.08	x3.09	x3.09	x3.09	x3.09
Light output(p.e.)	23.5	22.78	22.86	25.02	23.54



## Preliminary study of Injection PEN Scintillator



H. Nakamura et al., EPL, 95 (2011) 22001



#### **Characters of PEN**

- Not transparent
- Can't observe emission deep-blue photons

Fig. 2: Light output spectra of polyethylene naphthalate (PEN; black line), commercial organic scintillator (BC-408 (ref. [14]); red line) and a plastic bottle (PET; blue line).



Characters	of	Ware	form	
		vvuic	101111	

- Multiple peak
- Wider signal

Materail	PS	PS	PEN	PEN
Size(mm)	10.09X10.07X3.00	10.13X10.08X3.00	9.96X9.98X3.14	10.00X9.99X3.05
Light Yeild(p.e.)	77.85	83.44	14.46	12.85
Size(mm)	30.04x30.01x3.00	30.04x30.04x3.01		
Light Yeild(p.e.)	22.95	22.27		
ight yield Only 1/7 co				
Size(mm) Light Yeild(p.e.) .ight yield Only 1/7 co Only 4~5 p.	30.04x30.01x3.00 22.95 ompared to sa e. for 30mmx	30.04x30.04x3.01 22.27 ame size of PS 30mmX3mm I	PEN	

injection scintillator based on result of PS.

## Emission spectrum of different scintillator



- **D** The result of *emission spectrum* is consistent with CALICE.
- □ The peak photon counts is only around 1/7 for PEN compared to PS.

#### Two Reasons:

For high light yield of PEN, those measurements were always made with thin plates of PEN, and very large areal coverage by photon sensors.

For low light yield of our pen scintillator, the light is collected after multiple reflections and after traveling some distance in the scintillator.

## Detector cell Automatic assemble system

- Motivation:
  - 7M detector cells;
  - Reflective foils packaging can't be done by manual;
- Progress:
  - Companies give they preliminary design;
  - Robotic arm design is the best way;





It can be used for 3cm\*3cm, 4cm\*4cm and 5cm\*5cm detector cell;

Packaged cell by manual 11, CALICE meeting, Utrecht Netherlands

motivation:

In order to quickly and effectively realize the integration of large area AHCAL detection unit.

Materials:

- 1. Araldite 2011 epoxy glue
- 2.  $3 \times 3$  PCB board
- 3. Detector cell;
- 4. A film used to brush glue

#### **Result:**

- 1. This way is working;
- 2. The detector cell was glued on PCB board fasten;
- 3. Maybe reduce to 4 glue hole;
- 4. Plan to test crosstalk and prototype.

![](_page_15_Figure_13.jpeg)

### Light output

![](_page_15_Figure_15.jpeg)

4-11, CALICE meeting, Utrecht Netherlands

After glued

## Detector cell test system design

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

- About 100 detector cells one batch;
- Electronics under design;
- Mechanical structure under design;

![](_page_16_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_6.jpeg)

### **Cooling is under study**

- CEPC is designed to operate at continuous mode with beam crossing rate: 2.8×10<sup>5</sup> Hz. Power pulsing will not work at CEPC.
- Compare to ILD, the power consumption of VFE readout electronics at CEPC is about two orders of magnitude higher, hence it requires an active cooling

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Rectangle pipe, water temperature: 22°C

# **Cooling Simulation vs Measurements**

**IPNL+SJTU** 

### **Temperature Simulation:**

- PCB+ASIC: 18 19.5 °C
- ∆T: 1.5°C

### **Simulation at SJTU**

### **Temperature Measurements:**

**Measured at IPNL** 

- PCB+ASIC: 18 19 °C
- ∆T: 1.0 °C

![](_page_18_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

- The construction of CEPC-HCAL prototype based on scintillator is started, the direction is NDL SiPM+ new ASIC;
- —Some critical R&D items identified, which will be followed up.
- We need more cooperation and help to finish the CEPC-AHCAL prototype.

# Thanks for your attention!

# Backup

# Model

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **Active Cooling**

- CEPC is designed to operate at continuous mode with beam crossing rate: 2.8×10<sup>5</sup> Hz. Power pulsing will not work at CEPC.
- Compare to ILD, the power consumption of VFE readout electronics at CEPC is about two orders of magnitude higher, hence it requires an active cooling
  - Evaporative CO<sub>2</sub> cooling in thin pipes embedded in Copper exchange plate.
  - For CMS-HGCAL design: heat extraction of 33 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>, allows operation with  $6 \times 6$  mm<sup>2</sup> pixels with a safety margin of 2
- To be modelled for Mokka simulation

Transverse view of the slab with one absorber and two active layers.

➔ The silicon sensors are glued to PCB with VFE chips, cooled by the copper plates with CO₂ cooling pipes.

![](_page_23_Figure_8.jpeg)