

# Calibration/alignment scenarios for ILD/ILC

Ties Behnke

based on a talk by Jan Timmermans  
at the ILD meeting in Oshu City

# Initial requirements on tracking

- Very lightweight, but stiff detectors
- Stable to electric and magnetic fields (in particular power pulsing effects)
- Robust against temperature and humidity gradients/variations
- Precise alignment of (sub)detectors

## Particular conditions:

- Push-pull of the two detectors

# Initial and track-based alignment

- Si tracking sensors positioned inside module  $\approx 5\mu\text{m}$
- Modules positioned into higher order structures and surveyed/aligned at  $\approx 100\mu\text{m}$
- Pad positions inside TPC pad plane at  $< 20\mu\text{m}$
- Module positions inside TPC endplate (for current LP)  $\approx 20\mu\text{m}$
- Track based alignment precision needed:
  - VTX:  $\approx 2\mu\text{m}$
  - Si inner:  $\approx 4\mu\text{m}$ , Si outer:  $\approx 6\mu\text{m}$
  - TPC:  $\approx 20\mu\text{m}$

This ensures degradation of momentum resolution due to alignment errors w.r.t. nominal of less than 5%

# Track samples

- **Cosmics, but rate limited:**
  - Underground
  - Duty cycle 0.5-1 % due to power pulsing
- **Beam collision data:** tracks with known momentum from Z, J/ $\psi$ ,  $\Upsilon$ .

**Z-peak running @  $L = 10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ :**

- 30k hadronic and 1.5k  $\mu\mu$  per 1  $\text{pb}^{-1}$   
(takes  $\approx$  3 hours of beam)
- LEP experience:
  - 10  $\text{pb}^{-1}$  Z running for commissioning (30 hours of beam)
  - 1  $\text{pb}^{-1}$  per year (depending on “interventions”; 0.5  $\text{pb}^{-1}$  per case)

**But could need more e.g. TPC has more (smaller) modules than at LEP. Need for alignment simulation study.**

# Vertex Detector

- Supported by beam pipe, which is supported by the inner support tube
- During assembly: micron precise pre-alignment via optical survey
- After installation: beam based alignment
  - Within a layer using overlap between ladders (of few 100  $\mu\text{m}$ )
  - Global alignment of layers

# Si tracker

- Internal hardware alignment of microstrip tracker uses infrared lasers passing through consecutive layers: relative resolution (between measurements) of  $10\ \mu\text{m}$  within 1 min
- Deformations/displacements and temperature/humidity monitoring through in-fiber Bragg grating (FBG) sensors, embedded in composite materials (“smart structures”)
- Frequency Scanning Interferometry under investigation
- Track-based alignment:
  - Total number of degrees-of-freedom:  $\sim 10^5$
  - For quick re-alignment: if sensor positions within modules known @  $5\ \mu\text{m}$ :  
ndof =  $\sim 10^4$ - $5 \cdot 10^4$
  - If only sub-detectors need to be re-aligned: ndof = 26

# TPC calibration issues

Need:

- Good B-field map, 1-2 G precision and sufficient number of points ( $> 10^4$  locations)
- Hall probes mounted around TPC; NMR probes?
- UV lasers:
  - generating ionising tracks in drift volume
  - illuminate the calibration spots on the cathode, which then generate electrons drifting over full length
- Cosmics: duty cycle 0.5%, would give  $\approx 10$  Hz through hor. cut plane through TPC of  $14 \text{ m}^2$  at surface; less rate underground
- Z-peak running:  $10 \text{ pb}^{-1}$  commissioning;  $0.5\text{-}1 \text{ pb}^{-1}$  for quick re-alignment check after “incidents” (e.g. Push-pull)

# In-situ calibration calorimetry

- **Absolute calibration ECAL** can be checked/adjusted by comparison with tracker or using electrons and photons from Bhabha's or return-Z + kinematical constraints. **No need for running at Z peak**
- **Cosmic rays may not be sufficient for MIP-scale monitoring**, but MIP-like segments in hadronic showers can be used
- **Z-peak running**:  $1 \text{ pb}^{-1}$  sufficient to have  $>1000$  tracks per layer module AHCAL up to layer 20; To reach out to layer 48 would need  $20 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ , but can be reduced to  $10 \text{ pb}^{-1}$  by adding the mu-pair tracks.
- **500 GeV**: 3% calibration out to layer 20 can be reach with  $\sim 2 \text{ fb}^{-1}$
- **Beam halo muons**: could be useful for endcap detectors; rate depends on shielding



# Conclusions

- Z-peak running:
  - Are the canonical numbers based on LEP experience (10 pb<sup>-1</sup> commissioning, 0.5-1 pb<sup>-1</sup> quick re-alignment) sufficient for ILD detector modularity?
  - Simulation alignment exercise needed?
- Alternatives at nominal beam energy?
  - Z return
  - Momentum calibration from Z, J/ψ, Y (e.g. Graham Wilson at AWLC14)
- Cosmics, yes (LHC has shown importance), but:
  - 0.5% duty cycle due to power pulsing
  - reduced rate, because of underground location, but maybe not so deep
- B-field mapping
  - Can we measure it precisely enough?  
(study on use of detailed map in reconstruction ongoing)