#### Group Meeting Update

Y. Okugawa<sup>1</sup> A. Irles<sup>2</sup> R. Yonamine<sup>1</sup> F. Richard<sup>2</sup> R. Pöschl<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Tohoku University

<sup>2</sup>Laboratoire de l'Accélérateur Linéaire

#### February 19, 2019







#### Updates

#### Updates

- Purity distribution of correct charge has been improved with different parameterization on  $\alpha$  and  $d_0$
- $\alpha$  and  $d_0$  and cut criteria is shown.
- Change in parameterization for offset significance. "deviation > 25\*sqrt(angle)+1.0"
  - $\rightarrow$  "deviationD0 > 10.\*sqrt(angle)+1.5"
- Comparison of small and large detector geometries.

## Charge Purity Distribution (Small and Large)



## Charge Purity Distribution (Small and Large)



Okugawa (Tohoku U)

Group Meeting

# Charge Purity (Large)

Confirmation of 5% rise in purity selection efficiency with 4,000 more charged particles. Odd number of charged particle comes from either MC not producing daughter particle or fail to reconstruct b quark.

$$73579 \times 2 - \underbrace{27059}_{b \text{ reco } 0} - \underbrace{28252}_{\overline{b} \text{ reco } 0} = 91847$$

# Charge Purity (Small)

## Track Distribution (Large)



d0 and  $\alpha$ 



Group N

Okugawa (Tohoku U)

#### Missed and Background D0 and Z0



#### Missed and Background D0 and Z0



# Alpha



## Lost Recovered and Tracks (large)



Figure: Lost Tracks before VR



Figure: Lost Tracks after VR

#### Lost Recovered and Tracks (small)



Figure: Lost Tracks before VR



Figure: Lost Tracks after VR

### Top Polar Angle



### b Polar Angle



0.8

cos<sub>b</sub>

## Summary

#### Conclusion

- Comparison of Small and Large sample
- Make sure  $\alpha$  is correctly reconstructed. (Check d0, z0, and momentum of associated particles.)
- Resolving tech issue with VR.