Damping Ring and Main Linac for ILC Z-pole operation

LCWS2019 2019.10. K. Kubo

Z-pole High Luminosity Operation

- Collision at Ecm=91.2 GeV, Ebeam=45.6 GeV
- 46GeV is too low for e- beam for positron production
- TDR: RF system of ML (5Hz, Ebeam=250 GeV) can be used for 5Hz (Ebeam 46GeV) +5Hz (Ebeam 150GeV) electron operation
- New design: Ebeam 125 GeV, 5Hz correspond to
 - 3.7 Hz (Ebeam 46GeV) + 3.7 Hz (Ebeam 125GeV)

ISSUES

- Damping Ring
 - Shorter damping time required
- Main Linac
 - Emittance preservation with low accelerating gradient
 - Transport two different beam energies
- Choice of beam parameters at collision
- BDS design (focusing and collimation)

Z-pole High Luminosity Operation

- Collision at Ecm=91.2 GeV, Ebeam=45.6 GeV
- 46GeV is too low for e- beam for positron production
- TDR: RF system of ML (5Hz, Ebeam=250 GeV) can be used for 5Hz (Ebeam 46GeV) +5Hz (Ebeam 150GeV) electron operation
- New design: Ebeam 125 GeV, 5Hz correspond to
 - 3.7 Hz (Ebeam 46GeV) + 3.7 Hz (Ebeam 125GeV)

ISSUES

- Damping Ring
 - Shorter damping time required
- Main Linac
 - Emittance preservation with low accelerating gradient
 - Transport two different beam energies
- Choice of beam parameters at collision \rightarrow Yokoya's plenary talk
- BDS design (focusing and collimation) \rightarrow Okugi's presentation

This report

Reduce damping time of ILCDR

For z-pole operation 3.73Hz+3.73Hz

- Stored time in DR reduce from 0.2 s to 0.134 s
- Present design damping time (25ms) is too long
- Simple way to reduce damping time is strengthen wigglers
 - Equilibrium emittance may increase
 - Dynamic aperture may be affected
- Emittance and damping time calculated using SAD
- Dynamic aperture calculated using SAD.
 - With stronger wiggler
 - Add sextupole component only, no complicated field errors.

Damping time vs. wiggler strength factor (compared with "present" design, "factor" = 1)



(Wiggler strength Factor=1: $1/rho = 0.07745 \ 1/m$, $B = 1.29 \ T$)

Equilibrium and extraction emittance of e+ DR Injected emittance 1E-3 Extract 0.134 s after injection Emittance ratio (emit_y/emit_x) = 0.005 assumed. Include Intra-beam scattering



(Wiggler strength Factor=1: $1/rho = 0.07745 \ 1/m$, $B = 1.29 \ T$)

Equilibrium and extraction emittance of e+ DR Injected emittance 1E-3 Extract 0.134 s after injection Emittance ratio (emit_y/emit_x) = 0.005 assumed. Include Intra-beam scattering

Strengthen wigglers by 15% will reduce extracted emittances acceptable.

(Slightly better emittance ratio needed for design vertical emittance (20 nm).)



(Wiggler strength Factor=1: $1/rho = 0.07745 \ 1/m$, $B = 1.29 \ T$)

TDR optics design.

5+5 Hz operation required ~30% stronger wigglers

Equilibrium and extraction emittance of e+ DR Injected emittance 1E-3

Extract 0.1 s after injection

Emittance ratio (emit_y/emit_x) = 0.003 assumed. Include Intra-beam scattering



Dynamic aperture

Tool prepared by SAD is used

- Set initial orbit and energy deviation
- Perform tracking
- "Accepted", if survived after 1000 turns

Requirement

- Norm. betatron amplitude: Ax + Ay < 0.07 m
 - gamma (Jx + Jy) < 0.035 m
- Energy deviation: 0.75%

Dynamic aperture

Wiggler strength factor 1.15 $(1/rho = 0.0891 m^{-1})$

No sextupole field of wiggler

Add sextupole field: $(\Delta B/B)_{x=10mm}=0.066$ (TDR vol3 partII, p115)



Dynamic aperture

Wiggler strength factor 1.15 $(1/rho = 0.0891 m^{-1})$

No sextupole field of wiggler

Add sextupole field: $(\Delta B/B)_{x=10mm}=0.066$ (TDR vol3 partII, p115)



Main Linac for Ebeam 46GeV and 125 GeV

Preservation of low emittance of 46GeV beam

- "Full gradient in upstream + detune cavities downstream" will be good from beam dynamics. But switching detune – tune cavities cannot be fast enough
- Assume uniform gradient (8.9MeV/m, 28% of nominal)

125 GeV beam (for positron production) for linac with magnets adjusted for 46 GeV beam

- Orbit distortion should be suppressed
- Emittance is not an issue. (the beam is not for collision)

Tracking simulation for emittance

- Linac for 15 GeV to 125 GeV (full gradient 31.5 MV/m)
- Vertically curved, following earth's curvature
- Use tracking code "SLEPT"
- DFS (dispersion free steering), with energy change 20%
- Look at final vertical emittance (linear dispersion corrected)
- Uniformly reduce Eacc for lower energies
- Condition
 - Initial normalized emitt_y: 2E-8
 - Initial sig_z and sig_E:

0.3 mm and 1.2%, or 0.41 mm and 0.9%

- Misalignment: Q-mag offset 0.36 mm*, Cavity offset 0.67 mm*, Cavity tilt 0.3 mrad, BPM offset 0.3 mm (Gaussian, cut 3-sigma).
- BPM resolution 1 um

*(
$$\sqrt{0.2^2 + 0.3^2} \approx 0.36$$
 $\sqrt{0.2^2 \times 9 + 0.3^2} \approx 0.67$)

Vertical Emittance growth vs. final beam energy

Average of 100 random seeds error bar: standard deviation



91th in random 100 seeds (~ 90% CL)



Average emittance growth:6.3e-09 (32% of 2E-8)Standard deviation:4.4e-0991th in 100 random:1.31e-08 (65%)

Vertical orbit





Orbit difference between two beams will be about 10 mm. Probably acceptable. This should be corrected by introducing 4 (2 in each direction) pulse

magnets.

Summary

Damping Ring

- Strengthen wigglers by 15% will make 3.73x2 Hz operation possible.
 - Keep horizontal emittance ~4 um
 - Damping time will be barely OK.
 - Longer storage time for colliding beam is desirable (e.g. 4.5Hz+3Hz)
- Dynamic aperture with stronger wigglers.
 - Larger than requirement. (may be better than "nominal" strength?)
 - Adding sextupole field has no significant effect
 - Simulations with more realistic field errors are desirable.

Main Linac

- Emittance growth of 46GeV beam will be acceptable level
- Orbit difference of two different energy beams will not be a problem.

So far, no problem found.