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Infusion cavity treatments at DESY

No improvement of baseline performance yet

All so far heat treated cavities without post chemical etching:

Infusion recipe:

- **N₂ @25 mTorr**

**Temperature [°C]**

- 800°C (3h)
- 120°C~160°C(48h)

**Pressure [mTorr]**

**Time [h]**
Infusion cavity treatments at DESY

No improvement of baseline performance yet

Infusion recipe:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time [h]</th>
<th>Temperature [°C]</th>
<th>Pressure [mTorr]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3h</td>
<td>800°C</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48h</td>
<td>120°C-160°C</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q₀ 1/Rₛ

Baseline could be reproduced twice:

- 1DE16: Baseline
- 1DE16 After first Infusion attempt
- 1DE27: Baseline
- 1DE27: 1st. Nitrogen Infusion at 120°C
Infusion cavity treatments at DESY

No improvement of baseline performance yet

Infusion recipe:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time [h]</th>
<th>Temperature [°C]</th>
<th>Pressure [mTorr]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>800°C (3h)</td>
<td>120°C~160°C (48h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120°C~160°C</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This cavity has been cut:

- 1DE16: Baseline
- 1DE16 After first Infusion attempt
- 1DE16 after second Infusion attempt
Cavity cutout
Analysis of cutouts from degraded cavity

- Cold and hot spots were identified via Tmap and Hmap at HZB
- SEM image analysis shows higher size distribution of carbides on quench and hot spots compared to cold spots
Cavity cutout

Origin for locally different carbon aggregation

- Electron backscatter diffraction measurement shows more low angle grain boundaries for hot spots compared to cold spots
Infusion cavity treatments at DESY

Tackling the problems

• No successful Infusion at DESY yet

• Sample/Cutout studies revealed carbide formation as cause for degradation

• Assumptions for ‘failing’:
  • Carbide formation due furnace contamination with hydrocarbons
  • Carbide formation due lack of cleanliness and vacuum conductance of ‘line of sight’ protection

• No degradation but also no improvement
  • Recent runs show that we are at the limit of our furnace, the cavities seem to be unchanged after treatment -> now detailed studies on furnace status

• Infusion of DESY-Cavity at FNAL
  • Test at FNAL and DESY for comparison
  • Difference in Q0 mainly due to difference in $R_{res}$; RBCS is the same at the labs
  • Origin for this unclear
    • Magnetic hygiene of test infrastructure? No evidence
    • Cooldown parameters? Infused Cavities don’t show dependency on those

[Wenskat et al., RF2019, MOP025]
Carbide precipitation during heat treatment

Carbide precipitation occurred on niobium samples

- A niobium box for the samples was used to mimic the ‘line of sight’ protection of the niobium caps for the cavities
- For most runs sample inside the box showed carbides while the sample outside showed none
- The box was bcp’ed before each treatment just as the caps
Carbide precipitation during heat treatment

Carbide precipitation occurred on niobium samples

- Besides carbides, dark areas on sample surfaces can be found in the SEM images.
- EDX line scan show increase in carbon signal on those areas showing this must be some thin carbon layers that accumulated on the surface.
- The carbon layers avoid grain boundaries and some certain kind of precipitates.
  - This shows the diffusive absorptive behavior of grain boundaries for carbon.
  - In agreement with known importance of grain boundaries for the N diffusion process.
C-doping

Where does the carbon come from?

- Different phases of carbon precipitation are observed
- They might depend on
  - Crystal orientation
  - The composition of the carbon enriched environment (hydrocarbons)
- Possible sources are:
  - Remains of alcohol or during the bcp of the niobium caps/box could exist
  - The furnace itself or the pumping system
Vacuum conductance of line of sight protection

Molflow simulation on niobium boxes in a pipe

Boxes by Monroy Villa Ricardo - DESY SRF
Vacuum conductance of line of sight protection

Molflow simulation on niobium boxes in a pipe

- Different outgassing values of niobium box were used
- Leading to the different pipe pressures
- The quadratic behavior on the orifice (gap width) is observed
- For the vacuum box, an outgassing value of E-7 mbar leads to a pressure of ~5E-6 mbar is observed
New runs with different line of sight protection

Furnace assessment

1. 800°C w/o caps and w/o Nitrogen:
   - Expectation: A clean furnace would simply re-set the surface to a status prior to 120°C bake; HFQS should re-appear
   - Observation: Strong degradation of Q at low fields, similar to first infusion runs

2. 650°C with modified caps and w/o Nitrogen:
   - Expectation: Lower T leads to a better pressure but T is sufficient to outgas the cavity and break up the oxides. Hence, HFQS should re-emerge.
   - Observation: HFQS re-emerges. Measurements at 1.8K and 1.5K show another loss mechanism kicking in at ~18MV/m before HFQS starts.
Summary

• Evidence for correlation between carbides and cavity performance degradation

• Carbide formation is in connection with
  • Cleanliness and vacuum conductance of line of sight protection
  • Furnace conditions

• Our cavity furnace will undergo an upgrade till Q3 2020 (oil free pre-pumps and software upgrade)

• Magnetic hygiene will be studied and Helmholtz-Coils for active field cancellation will be prepared for future tests.
  • Origin for difference in $R_{\text{res}}$ for FNAL and DESY tests of 1DE20 is unclear
Thank you
Influence from line of sight pre-treatment on sample surface

• To investigate the influence of the line of sight preparation on carbide precipitation a test with 3 samples was done in the sample furnace

• The samples were all bcp’ed
  • Sample 1: placed without line of sight protection
  • Sample 2: Beneath a ‘only bcp’ed’ niobium box
  • Sample 3: Beneath a niobium box that was bcp’ed and pre annealed at 1000°C in the sample furnace

• The samples were then baked at 800°C for 2h (Pressure in sample furnace @800°C about 2*10^-7mbar)
BACKUP - pre-treatment of line of sight protection

Influence from line of sight pre-treatment on sample surface

- The test was repeated 3 times with same results
- Carbide formation on sample without line of sight protection indicate some hydrocarbon contamination in the furnace
- This test shows pre annealing the line of sight protection helps to avoid carbide precipitation
BACKUP - Nitrogen Infusion in sample furnace

So far no nitrogen has been found via TOF-SIMS on our samples

- To force a Nitrogen signal we did Nitrogen Infusion at 400°C (first ramping to 800°C) in the sample furnace
- 1 fine grain and 1 large grain sample were covered each with a niobium box
- The box was pre-annealed at 1000°C after BCP
- Parts of niobium the sheets oxidized and went blue. The samples did not!
- SEM revealed no precipitation
- TOF-SIMS of LG sample
  - No Nitrogen
  - High Oxygen signal in positive polarity
  - RGA Spectrum shows leak at Nitrogen inlet
- Next step TOF-SIMS of FG sample! Diffusive 'behaviour' of grain boundaries might lead to a different result for FG material.
BACKUP - Nitrogen Infusion in sample furnace

- EDX of sheet cutout shows high oxygen peak