

2019/9/26



Improving the jet energy reconstruction for Higgs precision measurement at the ILC

The Univ. of Tokyo, Dept. of Phys., The Univ. of Tokyo, ICEPP^A Shogo Kajiwara, Kazuki Fujii, Yu Kato, Tomohiko Tanabe^A, Satoru Yamashita^A



International Linear Collider Project ----

- 250 GeV e⁺e⁻ collidor for Higgs factory
- linear collider for next generation 20km→30km→50km
- precision measurement for Higgs etc.



International Large Detector





Large Detector used at the ILC

- vertex detector
- tracker(TPC)
- high-resolution calorimeter etc.

□ Particle Flow Algorithm(PFA)



Introduction

• Improving Kinematic Fit

- purposes and reasons
- about Kinematic Fit
 - definition of chi-square
 - calculation process
 - MarlinKinfit, a library for Kinematic Fit
 - Kinematic Constraint
- idea of improving Kinematic Fit
 - new definition of chi-square
 - example for my process (ee \rightarrow ZH \rightarrow vvbb)

Outline

purposes

- to apply Kinematic Fit for parameters with non Gaussian resolution on the analysis at the ILC
- to develop Kinematic Fit to introduce non Gaussian distribution as Soft Constraint

reason

- example of quantity with non Gaussian resolution: b jet energy
- example of Soft Constraint
 - mass of Z boson (Breit-Wigner distribution)
 - decline in E_{cm} because of ISR or beam-beam effect
- Conventional Kinematic Fit assumes only Gaussian distribution.

to introduce Kinematic Fit using general distribution

process

ee→ZH→vvbb: BR_{Hbb}

JPS March,2019 17pK104-4

- ${\ensuremath{\mathbb F}}$ Evaluating the Higgs coupling precision using the ee->ZH->vvbb process at the ILC ${\ensuremath{\mathbb J}}$
- statistical error: $\frac{\Delta(\sigma_{ZH} \cdot BR_{Hbb})}{\sigma_{ZH} \cdot BR_{Hbb}} = 0.0103$
- b quark jet: asymmetrical energy resolution →kinematic fit for asymmetrical energy resolution



Recovering neutrinos in jets: E_{jj}



Kinematic Fit

- a means of bringing physical parameters obtained from measured y₂ values close to true values
 - impose kinematic constraint ($E^2 = \vec{p}^2 + m^2$ etc.) among parameters when calculating parameters from measured values and their resolution
- 1. add following constraints to Fit $Object(\chi^2)$
 - Hard Constraint: fixed relation among parameters(2nd term)
 - Soft Constraint: assume distribution among parameters(3rd term)
- 2. decide parameters by calculating numerically extreme problems of total χ^2_T ($\nabla_{\vec{a}}\chi^2_T = \vec{0}, \vec{a} = \vec{\lambda}, \vec{\eta}, \vec{\xi}$)



MarlinKinfit

- a library for Kinematic Fit
- uses Newton's method for chi-square minimization χ_T^2 $y(x) = 0 \Rightarrow y'(x^{\nu})(x^{\nu} - x^{\nu+1}) = y(x^{\nu})$
- Both Hard Constraint Soft Constraint are available.
- following problems

- Soft Constraint: assuming Gaussian distribution, not general distribution
- Fit Object : can use only when measured values follow Gaussian distribution

$$\vec{y}:\text{measured value, } \vec{\eta}:\text{parameter for measured, } V:\text{covariance matrix, } \vec{\xi}:\text{ parameter for unmeasured}$$

$$\chi_T^2(\vec{\lambda}, \vec{\eta}, \vec{\xi}) = (\vec{y} - \vec{\eta})^T V^{-1}(\vec{y} - \vec{\eta}) + 2\sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k h_k(\vec{\eta}, \vec{\xi}) + \sum_{l=1}^L \left(\frac{g_l(\vec{\eta}, \vec{\xi})}{\sigma_{g_l}}\right)^2$$
Fit Object(χ^2)
Hard Constraint Soft Constraint

Constraint



Improving Kinematic Fit

2

conventional Kinematic Fit

• MarlinKinfit is not correct when measured values doesn't follow Gaussian distribution.

$$\chi_T^2(\vec{\lambda}, \vec{\eta}, \vec{\xi}) = (\vec{y} - \vec{\eta})^T V^{-1}(\vec{y} - \vec{\eta}) + 2\sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k h_k(\vec{\eta}, \vec{\xi}) + \sum_{l=1}^L \left(\frac{g_l(\vec{\eta}, \vec{\xi})}{\sigma_{g_l}}\right)^2$$

idea of improving Kinematic Fit

- redefine chi-square to apply following cases using log-likelihood(f, s_l : p.d.f.)
 - Fit Object: measured values doesn't follow Gaussian distribution
 - Soft Constraint: non Gaussian constraint

$$L(\vec{\eta}, \vec{\xi}) = f(\vec{y}; \vec{\eta}) \prod_{k=1}^{K} \delta(h_k(\vec{\eta}, \vec{\xi})) \prod_{l=1}^{L} s_l(\vec{\eta}, \vec{\xi})$$

deform this formula corresponding to format of conventional χ_T^2

$$\chi_T^2(\vec{\lambda}, \vec{\eta}, \vec{\xi}) = -2 \ln f(\vec{y}; \vec{\eta}) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k h_k(\vec{\eta}, \vec{\xi}) - 2 \sum_{l=1}^L \ln s_l(\vec{\eta}, \vec{\xi})$$

Fit Object Hard Constraint Soft Constraint

reproduce conventional χ_T^2 when p.d.f. is Gaussian distribution

2019/9/26

Example for ee
$$\rightarrow$$
ZH \rightarrow vvbb
 $\chi_T^2(\vec{\lambda}, \vec{\eta}, \vec{\xi}) = -2 \ln f(\vec{y}; \vec{\eta}) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k h_k(\vec{\eta}, \vec{\xi}) - 2 \sum_{l=1}^L \ln s_l(\vec{\eta}, \vec{\xi})$
 $-2 \ln f(\vec{y}; \vec{\eta}) = \sum_{i=0}^1 \left\{ -2 \ln \frac{e^{\left(\frac{E_i - \widehat{E_i}}{\eta_{E_i}}\right) - e^{\frac{E_i - \widehat{E_i}}{\eta_{E_i}}}}{\eta_{E_i}} + \left(\frac{\theta_i - \widehat{\theta_i}}{\sigma_{\theta_i}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\phi_i - \widehat{\phi_i}}{\sigma_{\phi_i}}\right)^2 \right\}$ Fit Object

Gumbel distribution $G(x; \mu, \eta) = \frac{1}{-}e^{\frac{x-\mu}{\eta} - e^{\frac{x-\mu}{\eta}}}$

Di-jet Energy REC

vvH->vvbb Entries 74331

200

250 E_{ii} [GeV]

$$\vec{h}(\vec{\eta},\vec{\xi}) = \begin{bmatrix} \overrightarrow{p_{vis}} + \overrightarrow{p_{mis}} = \overrightarrow{p_{cm}} \\ \widehat{M_{vis}} = M_H \end{bmatrix}$$

Hard Constraint for momentum conservation and Higgs mass

$$-2\sum_{l=1}^{L}\ln s_{l}(\vec{\eta},\vec{\xi}) = \ln \frac{1}{\pi\Gamma_{m_{Z}}\left\{1 + \left(\frac{\widehat{M_{mis}} - M_{Z}}{\Gamma_{M_{Z}}}\right)^{2}\right\}}$$

Soft Breit-Wigner constraint

0.045 0.04 0.035 0.03 0.025 0.02 0.025 0.02 0.015 0.01

Summary

- to introduce general distribution to Kinematic Fit
 - b jet energy resolution is asymmetric.
 - Conventional Kinematic Fit assume only Gaussian distribution
- Kinematic Fit

2019/9/26

- impose kinematic constraint and $\nabla_{\vec{a}}\chi_T^2 = \vec{0}$
- Conventional Kinematic Fit cannot be applied to following cases.
 - Fit Object: measured values doesn't follow Gaussian distribution
 - Soft Constraint: non Gaussian constraint
- redefine as log-likelihood

$$\chi_T^2(\vec{\lambda}, \vec{\eta}, \vec{\xi}) = -2 \ln f(\vec{y}; \vec{\eta}) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k h_k(\vec{\eta}, \vec{\xi}) - 2 \sum_{l=1}^L \ln s_l(\vec{\eta}, \vec{\xi})$$

Fit Object Hard Constraint Soft Constraint

• solve $\nabla_{\vec{a}}\chi_T^2 = \vec{0}$ using Newton method and improve kinematic fit to introduce non Gaussian distribution

additional

2019/9/26



偏極率の定義

スピン偏極度: P= $\frac{N_R - N_L}{N_R + N_L}$ (N_R : ヘリシティー正粒子数、 N_L : ヘリシティー負粒子数)



Kinematic Constraint

• $M_H \rightarrow Hard Constraint (M_H=125 [GeV])$

• $M_Z \rightarrow Breit-Wigner Soft Constraint$



$M_7 \rightarrow Soft Constraint$



2019/9/26

P(e, e*)=(-0.8, 0.3), M =125 GeV

€300

Ö100

 \overline{b}

Η

 $\dot{\bar{\nu}}$

SM all ffl - 7h

WW fusior

ZZ fusion

200 250 300 350 400 450 500

√s (GeV)