# Status of R&D for Time projection chamber module and prototype for CEPC

#### Huirong Qi

Haiyun Wang, Yiming Cai, ZhiYang Yuan, Liu Ling, Yulan Li, Zhi Deng, Hui Gong, Yuyan Huang, Xinyuan Zhao, Wei Liu, Yulian Zhang, Manqi Ruan, Ouyang Qun, Jian Zhang

Institute of High Energy Physics, CAS Tsinghua University LCTPC Collaboration meeting, DESY, Jan., 13, 2020

### Outline

CEPC project
Physics requirements
TPC prototype R&D
Summary

## **CEPC** project timeline

### Xin chou's talk

#### **CEPC Project Timeline**





### **CEPC** Site Selections

### Gao Jie's talk



## Detector summary talk from Joao

### Joao's talk

- 6 -

## **CEPC Project Timeline**



Steps in the Detector R&D Program

# Some update parameters of collider Joao's talk

## **Updated** Parameters of Collider Ring since CDR

	Higgs		Z (2T)	
	CDR	Updated	CDR	Updated
Beam energy (GeV)	120		45.5	
Synchrotron radiation loss/turn (GeV)	1.73	1.68	0.036	-
Piwinski angle	2.58	3.78	23.8	33
Number of particles/bunch N <sub>e</sub> (10 <sup>10</sup> )	15.0	17	8.0	15
Bunch number (bunch spacing)	242 (0.68µs)	218 (0.68µs)	12000	15000
Beam current (mA)	17.4	17.8	461.0	1081.4
Synchrotron radiation power /beam (MW)	30	-	16.5	38.6
Cell number/cavity	2		2	1
$β$ function at IP $β_x^* / β_y^*$ (m)	0.36/0.0015	0.33/0.001	0.2/0.001	-
Emittance ε <sub>x</sub> /ε <sub>y</sub> (nm)	1.21/0.0031	0.89/0.0018	0.18/0.0016	-
Beam size at IP $\sigma_x / \sigma_y$ (µm)	20.9/0.068	17.1/0.042	6.0/0.04	-
Bunch length σ <sub>z</sub> (mm)	3.26	3.93	8.5	11.8
Lifetime (hour)	0.67	0.22	2.1	1.8
Luminosity/IP L (10 <sup>34</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	2.93	5.2	32.1	101.6
Luminosity increase to	×	マク		







Some comments from CEPC workshop@2019.Nov.

- Machine Detector Interface
- Luminosity meter (LumiCal)
- **Tracker** 
  - **Time Projection Chamber** 
    - Ion back flow and field distortion is a major problem to operate at the Z pole and 2 Tesla
  - **Drift Chamber** 
    - Can it cope with the high rates at the Z pole? Enough resolution?
- Do we really need a 3 Tesla solenoid? Why?
  - Trade-off of luminosity versus resolution and particle identification needed?
  - Can the same physics goals be achieved some other way?

## TPC possible limitations

- Ions back flow in chamber
- Calibration and alignment
- Low power consumption FEE ASIC chip

## **Readout of TPC**

### $\rightarrow$ Pixel R&D: Peter's talk





Standard charge collection

ASIC chip with sensors

### Feasibility study at Z pole

#### **Goal:**

- Operate TPC at higher luminosity
- No Gating options
- **Gimulation** 
  - **IBF**×Gain default as the factor of 5
  - 9 thousand Z to qq events
  - 60 million hits are generated in sample
  - □ Average hit density: 6 hits/mm<sup>2</sup>
  - Voxel size:  $1mm \times 6mm \times 2mm$
  - □ Average voxel occupancy: 1.33 × 10<sup>-8</sup>
  - □ Voxel occupancy at TPC inner most layer: ~2×10<sup>-7</sup>
  - Validated with 3 ions disks
  - Simulation of the multi ions disk in chamber under the continuous beam structure
  - Without the charge of the beam-beam effects in TPC

#### DOI: 10.1142/S0217751X19400165, 2019 DOI: 10.1088/1748-0221/12/07/P07005, 2017



Deviation with the different TPC radius - 12 -

### TPC detector module R&D

- Study with GEM-MM module
  - New assembled module
  - □ Active area: 100mm×100mm
  - X-tube ray and 55Fe source
  - Bulk-Micromegas assembled from Saclay
  - Standard GEM from CERN
  - Avalanche gap of MM:128μm
  - Transfer gap: 2mm
  - Drift length:2mm~200mm
  - pA current meter: Keithley 6517B
  - Current recording: Auto-record interface by LabView

 $100 \times 100 \text{ mm}^2$ 

2017-2018

- **Standard Mesh: 400LPI**
- High mesh: 508 LPI

50×50mm<sup>2</sup>

2015-2016

DOI: 10.7498/aps.67.20172618.Acta Phys. Sin, 2018 DOI: 10.1088/1748-0221/12/04/P0401 JINST, 2017 DOI: 10.7498/aps.66.072901Acta Phys. Sin. 2017 DOI: 10.1088/1674-1137/41/5/056003, CPC,2016





- 13 -

## **GEM+MM VS TPC@ALICE**

HV4

For e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> machine Primary  $N_{eff}$  is small: ~30<sup>HV2</sup> Pad size:1mm×6mm

GEM+MM module: Photo peak and escape peak are clear! Good electron transmission.

Good energy resolution.

One option for ALICE TPC GEM+GEM+MM Gain of mid GEM: ×0.5

#### GEM+MM IBF suppression detector@55Fe

400

600

800

1000

Counts Counts

2500

2000

1500

1000

Drift

GEM

Anode

Mesh

Drift Region 4mm

Transfer Region 1.4mm

Avalanche Region 0.128mm



2GEM+MM IBF suppression detector@55Fe - 14 -



Data

background MM:Full energy peak

GEM-MM:Escape peak

1200

1400

ADC Channels

GEM-MM:Full energy peak

### **GEM+MM VS DMM@USTC**





How to do it next? Any new ideas? (Lower gain and no IBF)



- 16 -



## High mesh and lower IBF@CEA-Saclay



From July, the high mesh of 508LPI has been assembled with CEA-Saclay collaboration. The preliminary results indicates that it could reach the lower IBF and better performance.
 17 -

### TPC detector prototype R&D

DOI: 10.7498/aps.68.20181613 (SCI) 2019 DOI: 10.1142/S0217751X19400165 (SCI) 2019 DOI: 10.1007/978-981-13-1316-5\_20 (SCIE) 2018

- Study and estimation of the distortion from the IBF and primary ions with the laser calibration system
- Main parameters
  - **Drift length:** ~510mm, Active area:  $200 \text{mm}^2$
  - □ Integrated the laser calibration with 266nm
  - **GEMs/Micromegas as the readout**
  - □ Matched to assembled in the 1.0T PCMAG





### **Electronics and DAQ**

- □ Amplifier (**READY**)
  - CASAGEM chip
  - □ 16Chs/chip
  - 4chips/Board
  - Gain: 20mV/fC
  - □ Shape time: 20ns

#### DAQ (READY)

- **FPGA+ADC**
- 4 module/mothe
- 64Chs/module
- Sample: 40MHz
- **1280chs**



#### FEE Electronics and DAQ setup photos

### Detector setup diagram



#### Setup and photo of the detector module



Q\_distribution



Energy spectrum of 55Fe and the laser

## Operation gases and ionization with the laser

The three operation gases for the detector compared with ILC DESY and KEK working gas

- **T2K**
- **P10**
- Ar/CO2=90/10

### Gas purity

- Ar (99.999%)
- **CO2 (99.999%)**
- **CH4 (99.999%)**
- **CF4 (99.999%)**
- Isobutane (99.9%)

### Ionization

~100 electrons/cm
 at ~1uJ/mm<sup>2</sup>





Preliminary results of Laser tracker energy spectrum and tracker

## Further R&D

#### **Continuous IBF module for CEPC:**

- No Gating device options used for Higgs/Z pole run
- Continuous Ion Back Flow due to the continuous beam structure (Developed in IHEP)
- ~100 μm position resolution in rφ
- Key factor: IBF×Gain=5 and leas than (R&D)
- Low discharge and spark possibility

#### **Prototype with laser calibration for CEPC :**

- Calibrated drift velocity, gain uniformity, ions back in chamber
- Prototype has been designed with laser (Developed in IHEP and Tsinghua)\_
- Nd:YAG laser device@266nm, 42 separated laser beam along 510mm drift length

#### **Collaboration:**

- Joint LCTPC international collaboration to face the general TPC technology R&D
  - Some R&D for pixel TPC:
    - optimal pad size to improve track resolution, Pixel size:(200µm or large), significant reduce cost



Continuous IBF prototype and IBF × Gain



TPC prototype integrated with laser system LCTPC Collaboration Members

The map below shows the LCTPC collaboration member institutes as listed in the second Addendum of the Memorandum of Agreement from 2008.



Joint LCTPC international collaboration

# **CEPC's situation in China?**

### Conference @2020

- **FCPPL**, 27-30 Apr 2020 in Bordeaux
- CEPC Workshop EU Edition, 4-6 May 2020, Marseille
  - https://indico.in2p3.fr/event/20053/
- Ind USA Workshop in Washington, DC April 22-23
  - https://indico.cern.ch/event/863751/
- 4th French Summer School on "Physics of the 2 infinities"
  - □ First 3 weeks of July 2020, in Marseille (CPPM) and Lyon (IP2I)
  - Organized with the support of the French Embassy in China and Campus France