



Generic ILC detector model for DELPHES

Aleksander Filip Żarnecki University of Warsaw on behalf of the on behalf of the ILC Delphes task force group

30 June 2020



Introduction



Delphes is a fast simulation framework, which allows to take into account only basic effects:

- detector acceptance,
- detector resolution,
- reconstruction efficiency

and provides also expected results of event reconstruction (as lepton identification, flavor taging and jet clustering).

No technical details are taken into account

Expected performances of ILD and SiD similar → generic ILC detector model

even if developed from the ILD detector concept



Introduction



Delphes simulation results stored on different levels:

- tracker tracks and calorimeter towers
 - momentum/energy smearing applied
 - tracking efficiency applied
- energy flow objects: (for particle flow reconstruction)
 - energy flow tracks, (for all charged particles)
 - photons and neutral hadrons (without matched track)
- reconstructed objects:
 - electrons, muons, photons
 - reconstruction efficiency and isolation cuts applied
 - jets (multiple collections possible)







Calorimeter acceptance:

| η coverage | EM | HAD |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| Central | up to 3.0 | up to 2.8 |
| Forward | 3.0 – 4.0 | 2.8 – 3.8 |
| BeamCal | 4.0 – 5.8 | |

• Tracking acceptance extended up to $|\eta| \le 3$

Most significant change w.r.t. old ILD and SiD models where acceptance was limited to $|\eta| \leq 2.4\text{-}2.5$





Forward calorimetry

• LumiCal + LHCAL + BeamCal

- Only LumiCal and LHCAL included in Particle Flow

Test samples of $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$ events (electron energies of 25, 50 and 100 GeV mixed)







BeamCal acceptance

- outgoing beam opening included in the description

Forward calorimetry







- Forward calorimetry
- BeamCal efficiency
 - Based on ILC IDR and Moritz Hebermehl PhD Thesis







- BeamCal efficiency
 - Based on ILC IDR and Moritz Hebermehl PhD Thesis



Forward calorimetry





- **Tracking performance** Track momentum resolution taken from IDR
 - Dedicated parametrisation used







- **Tracking performance** Track momentum resolution taken from IDR
 - Dedicated parametrisation used

Momentum Resolution

Delphes: simulation results









- Durham (*ee_kt_algorithm* in FastJet) not implemented in Delphes (!)
- Results reproduced with proper VLC algorithm configuration (R=2, β =1, γ =0) for N=2...6

Comparison of Delphes jets (N=2) with Durham clustrisation in FastJet









- Durham (*ee_kt_algorithm* in FastJet) not implemented in Delphes (!)
- Results reproduced with proper VLC algorithm configuration (R=2, β =1, γ =0) for N=2...6

Comparison of Delphes jets (N=4) with Durham clustrisation in FastJet









- Different levels (loose, medium, tight) implemented for both b- and c-tagging
- Stored as different bits in BTag (in jet class)



A.F.Żarnecki







- Different levels (loose, medium, tight) implemented for both b- and c-tagging
- Stored as different bits in BTag (in jet class)









- Different levels (loose, medium, tight) implemented for both b- and c-tagging
- Stored as different bits in BTag (in jet class)



A.F.Żarnecki





Jet energy resolution

- Particle flow very simplified in Delphes
- Jet energy resolution determined by calorimeter and tracking resolutions. Not much to tune...

Results strongly depend on jet definition/selection...





Performance



• First comparison of new Delphes model to SGV and full simulation results for $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH \rightarrow \mu\mu qq$ (many thanks to Jenny)





<u>Performance</u>



• First comparison of new Delphes model to SGV and full simulation results for $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH \rightarrow \mu\mu qq$ (many thanks to Jenny)









- What should still be checked/improved:
 - reconstruction efficiencies and isolation cuts for electrons, muons and photons
 - settings for inclusive jet clustering
 - forward tracking resolution and efficiency
 - response threshold for forward calorimeters
- What is missing:
 - tau tagging (included, but unrealistic)
 - proper documentation
- Tests, tests, tests...







- New ILC detector model for Delphes
 implemented
 - all major developments completed
 - general structure looks like final
 - details can still be adjusted if there are new inputs or test results
- Code is available at github: https://github.com/ILDAnaSoft/ILDDelphes
- Documentation should follow...







Committing of the ILDDelphes model would not be possible without input, contributions and support received from:

Jenny List, Marcel Vos, Pawel Sopicki, Frank Gaede, Carl Mikael Berggren, Daniel Jeans, Ryo Yonamine, Tomohiko Tanabe, André Sailer, Remi Ete, Shin-ichi Kawada

(in order of appearance in my mailbox)

Apologies if I misses someone...





Thank you!



Delphes data flow













- **Tracking performance** Track momentum resolution taken from IDR
 - Smooth description of angular dependence
 - Tracking acceptance extended to $|\eta| = 3$ (95% efficiency assumed for high $|\eta|$ and high p_{τ})

Old ILD model: two resolution bins





A.F.Żarnecki





- **Tracking performance** Track momentum resolution taken from IDR
 - Dedicated parametrisation used

Momentum Resolution

Old ILD model formula







Jet energy resolution

- Particle flow very simplified in Delphes
- Jet energy resolution determined by calorimeter and tracking resolutions. Not much to tune...

Results strongly depend on jet definition/selection...

First look at JER for $Z \rightarrow qq$ events (uds only), clustering with N=2, y_{23} <0.001

