## TB2020 – SRS noise analysis

**VetaGhenescu**\*

\*Institute of Space Science

• Pedestal runs for different configurations

1<sup>st</sup> energy scan - 1 to 5 GeV

SRS run no.	Configuration setup
18	1 <sup>st</sup> energy scan
24	1 <sup>st</sup> energy scan
35	1 <sup>st</sup> energy scan
45	1 <sup>st</sup> energy scan



## • Pedestal runs for different configurations

SRS run number	Configuration setup	
87	Tilted at 2 degree	Tilted setup
94	Tilted at 4 degree	
103	Tilted at 6 degree	
174	A, w/ tungsten abs	2 <sup>nd</sup> energy scan
188	A, w/ tungsten abs	
193	A, w/ tungsten abs	
198	A, w/ tungsten abs	
203	A, w/ tungsten abs	
214	A, w/ tungsten abs	
228	LUXE - A, w/ tungsten abs	LUXE setup
234	LUXE - A, w/ tungsten abs	
238	LUXE - A, w/ tungsten abs	
249	LUXE - A, w/ tungsten abs	
266	LUXE - A, w/ tungsten abs	
270	LUXE - A, w/ tungsten abs	
278	LUXE - A, w/ tungsten abs	
288	LUXE - A, w/ tungsten abs	





3 128 63.62 37.34

Noise 7

7 128 66.75 38.42

128 65.58 40.15

Noise\_15

128 68.27 38.1

Run 214



## Mean value of pedestal standard deviation



## Conclusions

- During the 1<sup>st</sup> energy scan setup the APV\_0 was very noisy, the noise was about 250 ADC.
- After this 1<sup>st</sup> energy scan setup the noise mean value was less than 100 ADC for all APVs.
- During the LUXE setup the noise mean value was almost constant for each APVs.